unique land use concept. The BLM will estimate the costs of processing the lease application. Before the BLM begins to process the application, the lease applicant must pay the full amount of the estimated costs to the United States. If a lease is not granted, the lease applicant must pay to the United States, in addition to the estimated costs, the reasonable costs incurred by the BLM in processing the lease in excess of the estimated costs.

The current, appraised rental value relative to the above described land, is \$94.74 per acre. Rent, payable annually or otherwise in advance, will be determined by the BLM, if and when a lease application is granted and periodically thereafter. If a lease is granted, the lessee shall reimburse the United States for all reasonable administrative and other costs incurred by the United States in processing the lease application and for monitoring construction, operation, maintenance and rehabilitation of the land and facilities authorized. The reimbursement of costs shall be in accordance with the provisions of 43 CFR 2920.6.

The lease application must include a reference to this notice and comply in all other respects with the regulations pertaining to land use authorization applications at 43 CFR 2920.5–2 and 2920.5–(5)(b).

The applicable regulations, and further details concerning the foregoing are available for review in the BLM, Farmington Field Office at the address stated above.

If authorized, a lease would be subject to valid existing rights, including but not limited to the following:

1. A right-of-way for a natural gas pipeline granted to El Paso Natural Gas by right-of-way New Mexico 57925, under the Act of February 25, 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185).

2. A right-of-way for a natural gas pipeline granted to El Paso Natural Gas by right-of-way New Mexico 07301, under the Act of February 25, 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185).

3. A right-of-way for a natural gas pipeline granted to El Paso Natural Gas by right-of-way New Mexico 08538, under the Act of February 25, 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185).

4. A right-of-way for a natural gas pipeline granted to El Paso Natural Gas by right-of-way New Mexico 08545, under the Act of February 25, 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185).

5. A right-of-way for a natural gas pipeline granted to El Paso Natural Gas by right-of-way New Mexico 021702, under the Act of February 25, 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185). On or before September 12, 2005, interested parties may submit comments to the BLM at the address stated above with respect to:

(1) The decision of the BLM regarding the availability of the lands described herein and

(2) The decision of the BLM to entertain an application from Nageezi Enterprises for a non-competitive lease.

Adverse comments will be evaluated by the BLM Field Manager, Farmington, NM, who may sustain, vacate or modify this realty action. In the absence of any adverse comment, this realty action will become a final determination of the BLM as to each one of the two decisions stated above.

(Authority: 43 CFR 2920.4)

Dated: June 20, 2005.

# Joel E. Farrell,

Assistant Field Manager. [FR Doc. 05–14943 Filed 7–27–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–VB–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### **Bureau of Land Management**

[UT-080-1430-ES; UTU-79052]

## Classification and Conveyance of Public Lands for Shooting Range Purposes, Uintah County, UT

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of realty action.

**SUMMARY:** Public lands located in Uintah County, Utah, have been examined and found suitable for classification for lease or conveyance to Uintah County under the provisions of the Recreation and Public Purposes Act as amended (43 U.S.C. 869 *et seq.*) for a public shooting range complex.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Naomi Hatch, BLM Realty Specialist at (435) 781–4454.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Uintah County proposes to use the following described public lands in Uintah County, Utah to construct, operate and maintain a public shooting range complex. The land is not needed for Federal purposes. Leasing or conveying title to the affected public land is consistent with current BLM land use planning and would be in the public's interest.

## Salt Lake Meridian, Utah

T. 4 S., R. 22 E.,

Sec. 3, Lots 2, 3, 4, W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>,W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, S<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>,W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>. Sec. 4, Lots 1, E<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, E<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>E<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, E<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, E<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, E<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>. Sec. 9, NE, N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>S<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>.

Sec. 10, W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>S<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>,

W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>.

NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>.

Containing 1074.92 acres, more or less.

The lease or patent, when issued, would be subject to the following terms conditions and reservations:

1. Provisions of the Recreation and Public Purposes Act and all applicable regulations of the Secretary of the Interior.

2. All minerals shall be reserved to the United States, together with the right to prospect for, mine, and remove the minerals.

3. A right-of-way for ditches or canals constructed by the authority of the United States.

4. Those rights for a natural gas pipeline granted by right-of-way UTU– 018084 to Questar Gas Company.

5. Those rights for a telephone line granted by right-of-way UTU–09017 to Qwest Corporation.

6. Those rights for a natural gas pipeline granted by right-of-way UTU– 049527 to Questar Gas Company and Questar Regulated Service Company.

7. Those rights for road purposes granted by right-of-way UTU–73611 to Uintah County.

8. Any other valid and existing rights of record not yet identified.

9. A cultural resource site will be fenced outside of the project area and be shielded by the proposed tree line.

10. If any vertebrate fossils are discovered during construction of the proposed shooting range complex, work shall cease and a BLM permitted paleontologist should be called in to evaluate the find.

11. Sign the fence boundary on the shooting range clearly stating "Do Not Enter—Live Fire Arms being Discharged within this Boundary".

12. Design projects should blend with topographic forms and existing vegetation patterns in shape and placement, and use both to screen developments. Color selection chart will be furnished to Uintah County.

Upon publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, the public lands described above are segregated from all other forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws and leasing under the mineral leasing laws, except for leasing or conveyance under the Recreation and Public Purposes Act. For a period of 45 days from the date of publication of this

Notice, interested parties may submit comments regarding the proposed classification, leasing or conveyance of the land to the Field Manager, Bureau of Land Management Vernal Field Office, 170 South 500 East, Vernal, Utah 84078.

## **Classification Comments**

Interested parties may submit comments regarding the suitability of the land for a shooting range complex. Comments on the classification are restricted to whether the land is physically suited for the proposal, whether the use will maximize the future use or uses of the land, whether the use is consistent with local planning and zoning, or if the use is consistent with State and Federal programs.

### **Application Comments**

Interested parties may submit comments regarding the specific use proposed in the application, whether the BLM followed proper administrative procedures in reaching the decision, or any other factor not directly related to the suitability of the land for a shooting range. Any adverse comments will be reviewed by the State Director. In the absence of any adverse comments, the classification will become effective 60 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**.

## William Stringer,

Field Manager.

[FR Doc. 05–14945 Filed 7–27–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-\$\$-P

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### Bureau of Land Management

[ES-915-1220-PM]

# Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rules for Meadowood Special Recreation Management Area, Fairfax County, VA

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed supplementary rules for Visitor Use and Permits—Bureau of Land Management-Eastern States (BLM–ES), Springfield, Virginia.

**SUMMARY:** These proposed supplementary rules, applicable to specified public lands administered by BLM, would implement the management decisions made in the Meadowood Farm Proposed Program Analysis/Environmental Assessment, and the Meadowood Integrated Activity Management Plan for the Meadowood Special Recreation Management Area (SRMA). The purposes of the proposed supplementary rules are to protect natural resources and provide for the safety of visitors and property on public land located in Fairfax County, Virginia. **DATES:** Comments on the proposed

supplementary rules must be received or postmarked by August 29, 2005 to be assured consideration. In developing final supplementary rules, BLM may not consider comments postmarked or received in person or by electronic mail after this date.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed or hand-delivered to the BLM–ES Lower Potomac Field Station, 10406 Gunston Road, Lorton, Virginia 22079. You may also comment via Internet e-mail to: *jeff\_mccusker@es.blm.gov.* 

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gary Cooper, BLM–ES, Lower Potomac Field Station Manager, 10406 Gunston Road, Lorton, Virginia 22079, at (703) 339– 8009.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **I. Public Comment Procedures**

Written comments on the proposed supplementary rules should be specific, confined to issues pertinent to the proposed supplementary rules, and should explain the reason for any recommended change. Where possible, comments should reference the specific section or paragraph of the proposal which the comment is addressing. The comments that the BLM receives after the close of the comment period (see **DATES**), unless they are postmarked or electronically dated before the deadline, or comments delivered to an address not listed above (see ADDRESSES), may not be considered or included in the Administrative Record for the final rule.

Comments, including names, street addresses, and other contact information of respondents, will be available for public review at the BLM-ES Lower Potomac Field Station, 10406 Gunston Road, Lorton, Virginia 22079, during regular business hours, 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish to request that the BLM consider withholding your name, street address, and other contact information (such as internet address, fax, or phone number) from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. The BLM will honor requests for confidentiality on a case-bycase basis to the extent allowed by law. The BLM will make available for public inspection in their entirety all submissions from organizations or

businesses and their representatives or officials.

## II. Background

The BLM-ES Lower Potomac Field Station staff has developed the supplementary rules listed below to implement the management decisions made in the Meadowood Farm Proposed Program Analysis/Environmental Assessment, and the Meadowood Integrated Activity Management Plan for the Meadowood SRMA. These plans were both developed through a series of public meetings, and public comment and appeal periods were allowed. No protests or appeals were received on the decisions in either document. Upon publication of these supplementary rules in final form, the Meadowood SRMA will be fully open for the uses and purposes identified in the Meadowood Farm Proposed Program Analysis/Environmental Assessment, and the Meadowood Special Recreation Management Area Integrated Activity Management Plan/Environmental Assessment. The publication of this rule will also rescind the temporary closure of these public lands as provided in a Federal Register notice on October 22, 2001 (66 FR 53431).

## **III. Procedural Matters**

## *Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review*

These proposed supplementary rules do not constitute a significant regulatory action and are not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866. The proposed supplementary rules will not have an effect of \$100 million or more on the economy. They will not adversely affect in a material way the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or state, local, or Tribal governments or communities. These proposed supplementary rules will not create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency. They do not alter the budgetary effects of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights or obligations of their recipients, nor do they raise novel legal or policy issues. They merely impose rules of conduct and impose other limitations on certain recreational activities on certain public lands to protect natural resources and human health and safety.

## Clarity of the Supplementary Rules

Executive Order 12866 requires each agency to write regulations that are simple and easy to understand. We