

promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. section 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by October 18, 2005. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: August 2, 2005.

**Robert E. Roberts,**

*Regional Administrator, Region VIII.*

■ 40 CFR part 52 is amended to read as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart G—Colorado

■ 2. Section 52.320 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(107) to read as follows:

##### § 52.320 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(107) On July 21, 2004, the Governor submitted revisions to the Colorado State Implementation Plan for Colorado's Common Provisions Regulation that contained a definition for condensate. On July 21, 2004, and on March 24, 2005, the Governor also submitted revisions to the Colorado State Implementation Plan for

Colorado's Regulation No. 7 "Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds" that made several changes and additions to sections I.A., I.B., II.A and added new sections XII and XVI. The March 24, 2005 version of Regulation No. 7 superceded and replaced portions of the July 21, 2004 version of Regulation No. 7. On June 20, 2003, April 12 2004, and July 21, 2004, the Governor of Colorado submitted revisions to the Colorado State Implementation Plan for Colorado's Regulation No. 11 "Motor Vehicle Emissions Inspection Program."

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Common Provisions Regulation, 5 CCR 1001-2, as adopted on March 12, 2004, effective on May 30, 2004, as follows: Section I.G, definition of "Condensate."

(B) Regulation No. 7 "Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds," 5 CCR 1001-9, as adopted on March 12, 2004, effective on May 31, 2004, as follows: Sections I.A.1, I.A.1.a, I.A.1.b, I.A.1.c, I.B.1.b, and I.B.2.f. As adopted on December 16, 2004, effective March 2, 2005, as follows: Sections I.A.2, II.A.16, II.A.17, XII, and XVI.

(C) Regulation No. 11 "Motor Vehicle Emissions Inspection Program," 5 CCR 1001-13, with changes most recently adopted on March 12, 2004, effective May 31, 2004, as follows: Part A, Part B, Part C, Part D, Part E, Part F, and Appendices A and B, except for the following sentence in Part A.I, which is being acted on separately: "The provisions of this regulation applicable to Larimer and Weld counties shall not be included in the state implementation plan after January 1, 2004."

(ii) Additional material.

(A) March 22, 2005, letter from Margie Perkins, Director, Air Pollution Control Division, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, to Richard Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, EPA Region VIII. This letter contained commitments from the State to adhere to and address the continuing planning process requirements contained in the "Maintenance for Growth" provisions of EPA's "Protocol for Early Action Compacts Designed to Achieve and Maintain the 8-Hour Ozone Standards."

■ 3. Section 52.350 is amended by designating the existing text as paragraph (a) and by adding paragraph (b) to read as follows:

##### § 52.350 Control strategy: Ozone.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Revisions to the Colorado State Implementation Plan, 8-hour ozone NAAQS Early Action Compact plan for the metropolitan Denver area entitled "Early Action Compact Ozone Action

Plan," excluding sections entitled "Introduction" and "Ozone Monitoring Information," as adopted by the Colorado Air Quality Control Commission on March 12, 2004, and submitted by the Governor to us on July 21, 2004.

[FR Doc. 05-16485 Filed 8-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 62

[R01-OAR-2005-ME-0005; FRL-7956-4]

### Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Maine; Negative Declaration

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is approving the Sections 111(d)/129 negative declaration submitted by the Maine Department of Environmental Protection (MEDEP) on May 2, 2005. This negative declaration adequately certifies that there are no existing hospital/medical/infectious waste incinerators (HMIWIs) located within the boundaries of the state of Maine. EPA publishes regulations under Sections 111(d) and 129 of the Clean Air Act requiring states to submit control plans to EPA. These state control plans show how states intend to control the emissions of designated pollutants from designated facilities (e.g., HMIWIs). The state of Maine submitted this negative declaration in lieu of a state control plan.

**DATES:** This direct final rule is effective on October 18, 2005 without further notice unless EPA receives significant adverse comment by September 19, 2005. If EPA receives adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Regional Material in EDocket (RME) ID Number R01-OAR-2005-ME-0005 by one of the following methods:

A. Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

B. Agency Web site: <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/> Regional Material in EDocket (RME), EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, is EPA's preferred method for

receiving comments. Once in the system, select "quick search," then key in the appropriate RME Docket identification number. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

C. E-mail: [brown.dan@epa.gov](mailto:brown.dan@epa.gov).

D. Fax: (617) 918-0048.

E. Mail: "RME ID Number R01-OAR-2005-ME-0005", Daniel Brown, Chief, Air Permits, Toxics & Indoor Programs Unit, Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. EPA, One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (CAP), Boston, Massachusetts 02114-2023.

F. Hand Delivery or Courier. Deliver your comments to: Daniel Brown, Chief, Air Permits, Toxics & Indoor Programs Unit, Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. EPA, One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (CAP), Boston, Massachusetts 02114-2023. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30 excluding federal holidays.

*Instructions:* Direct your comments to Regional Material in EDocket (RME) ID Number R01-OAR-2005-ME-0005. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through Regional Material in EDocket (RME), [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov), or e-mail. The EPA RME Web site and the federal [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov) website are "anonymous access" systems, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through RME or [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov), your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of

encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

*Docket:* All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the Regional Material in EDocket (RME) index at <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/>. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in RME or in hard copy at the Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Boston, MA. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section below to schedule your review. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30 excluding federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John J. Courcier, Office of Ecosystem Protection (CAP), EPA-New England, Region 1, Boston, Massachusetts 02203, telephone number (617) 918-1659, fax number (617) 918-0659, email [courcier.john@epa.gov](mailto:courcier.john@epa.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Table of Contents**

- I. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?
- II. What Is the Origin of the Requirements?
- III. When Did the Requirements First Become Known?
- IV. When Did Maine Submit Its Negative Declaration?
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### **I. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?**

EPA is approving the negative declaration of air emissions from HMIWI units submitted by the state of Maine.

EPA is publishing this negative declaration without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve this negative declaration should relevant adverse comments be filed. If EPA receives no significant adverse comment by September 19, 2005, this action will be effective October 18, 2005.

If EPA receives significant adverse comments by the above date, we will withdraw this action before the effective

date by publishing a subsequent document in the **Federal Register** that will withdraw this final action. EPA will address all public comments received in a subsequent final rule based on the parallel proposed rule published in today's **Federal Register**. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. If EPA receives no comments, this action will be effective October 18, 2005.

#### **II. What Is the Origin of the Requirements?**

Under section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act, EPA published regulations at 40 CFR part 60, Subpart B which require states to submit plans to control emissions of designated pollutants from designated facilities. In the event that a state does not have a particular designated facility located within its boundaries, EPA requires that a negative declaration be submitted in lieu of a control plan.

#### **III. When Did the Requirements First Become Known?**

On June 20, 1996 (61 FR 31736), EPA proposed emission guidelines for HMIWI units. This action enabled EPA to list HMIWI units as designated facilities. EPA specified particulate matter, opacity, sulfur dioxide, hydrogen chloride, oxides of nitrogen, carbon monoxide, lead, cadmium, mercury, and dioxins/furans as designated pollutants by proposing emission guidelines for existing HMIWI units. These guidelines were published in final form on September 15, 1997 (62 FR 48348).

#### **IV. When Did Maine Submit Its Negative Declaration?**

On May 2, 2005, the Maine Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) submitted a letter certifying that there are no existing HMIWI units subject to 40 CFR part 60, subpart B. Section 111(d) and 40 CFR 62.06 provide that when no such designated facilities exist within a state's boundaries, the affected state may submit a letter of "negative declaration" instead of a control plan. EPA is publishing this negative declaration at 40 CFR 62.4985.

#### **V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not

subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely approves a state rule implementing a federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing section 111(d) submissions, EPA's role is to approve state plans, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a state plan submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a state plan submission, to use VCS in place of a state plan submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*)

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. section 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by October 18, 2005. Interested parties should comment in response to the proposed rule rather than petition for judicial review, unless the objection arises after the comment period allowed for in the proposal. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does

not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Waste treatment and disposal.

Dated: August 11, 2005.

Ira W. Leighton,

Acting Regional Administrator, EPA New England.

#### Identification of Action: Approval and Promulgation of Maine State Plan for Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators; Negative Declaration

- 40 CFR Part 62 is amended as follows:

#### PART 62—[AMENDED]

- 1. The authority citation for Part 62 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7642

#### Subpart U—Maine

- 2. Subpart U is amended by adding a new § 62.4985 and a new undesignated center heading to read as follows:

Air Emissions From Existing Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators

#### § 62.4985 Identification of Plan-negative declaration.

On May 2, 2005, the Maine Department of Environmental Protection submitted a letter certifying that there are no existing hospital/medical/infectious waste incinerators in the state subject to the emission guidelines under part 60, subpart Ce of this chapter.

[FR Doc. 05-16484 Filed 8-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P