(beyond the MMRTG and SRG) that results from the related long-term R&D of technologies (e.g., more efficient systems or systems producing smaller electrical power output), are beyond the scope of this DPEIS, and would be subject to separate NEPA documentation.

The DPEIS may be examined at the following NASA locations by contacting the pertinent Freedom of Information Act Office:

- (a) NASA, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035 (650–604– 1181).
- (b) NASA, Dryden Flight Research Center, P.O. Box 273, Edwards, CA 93523 (661–258–3449).
- (c) NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt Road, Greenbelt, MD 20771 (301–286–6255).
- (d) NASA, Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058 (281–483–8612).
- (e) NASA, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899 (321–867–9280).
- (f) NASA, Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681 (757–864–2497).
- (g) NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812 (256–544– 2030).
- (h) NASA, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529 (228–688–2164).

Any person, organization, or governmental body or agency interested in receiving a copy of NASA's Record of Decision after it is rendered should so indicate by mail or electronic mail to Dr. Misra at the addresses provided above.

Written public input and comments on alternatives and environmental issues and concerns associated with the proposed development of the MMRTG or SRG are hereby requested.

### Jeffrey E. Sutton,

Assistant Administrator for Infrastructure and Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–8280 Filed 1–4–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7510–13–P

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

# NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

Board Meetings: February 1, 2006— Las Vegas, NV; The U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board Will Meet To Discuss Technical and Scientific Issues Related to the U.S. Department of Energy's Efforts To Develop a Repository at Yucca Mountain in Nevada

Pursuant to its authority under section 5051 of Public Law 100–203, Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987, the U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board will meet in Las Vegas, Nevada, on Wednesday,

February 1, 2006. The Board was charged in the Nuclear Waste Amendments Act of 1987 with conducting an independent review of the technical and scientific validity of U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) activities related to disposing of, packaging, and transporting spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. At the meeting, the Board will review DOE efforts to develop a fundamental understanding of phenomena that would affect radionuclide releases from a proposed repository for permanent disposal of the waste at Yucca Mountain in Nevada. A final meeting agenda will be available on the Board's Web site (http:// www.nwtrb.gov) approximately one week before the meeting date. The agenda also may be obtained by telephone request at that time. The meeting will be open to the public, and opportunities for public comment will be provided.

The meeting will be held at the Desert Research Institute; 755 East Flamingo Road; Las Vegas, Nevada 89119; telephone 702–862–5307; fax 702–862–5362. The meeting will begin at 8 a.m. and will continue until approximately 6 p.m.

The meeting agenda will focus on DOE predictions and understanding of fundamental scientific and technical phenomena that affect the flux of water and radionuclides through the unsaturated zone, repository tunnels, and the saturated zone. Geochemical controls on potential radionuclide releases from the waste packages, the NRC's perspective on dose standards beyond 10,000 years, and risk-informed performance assessment also will be discussed.

Time will be set aside at the end of the day for public comments. Those wanting to speak are encouraged to sign the "Public Comment Register" at the check-in table. A time limit may have to be set on individual remarks, but written comments of any length may be submitted for the record.

Transcripts of the meetings will be available on the Board's Web site, by email, on computer disk, and on a library-loan basis in paper format from Davonya Barnes of the Board's staff, beginning on February 25, 2006.

A block of rooms has been reserved for meeting participants at the Palms Casino Resort; 4321 West Flamingo Road; Las Vegas, Nevada 89103; telephone 702–942–7777; fax 702–942–7001. When making a reservation, please state that you are attending the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board meeting. Reservations should be made

by January 6, 2006, to ensure receiving the meeting rate.

For more information, contact Karyn Severson, NWTRB External Affairs; 2300 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1300; Arlington, VA 22201–3367; 703–235– 4473; fax 703–235–4495.

Dated: December 30, 2005.

#### William D. Barnard,

Executive Director, Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.

[FR Doc. 06–84 Filed 1–4–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-AM-M

#### POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

#### **Plant Tours**

**AGENCY:** Postal Rate Commission. **ACTION:** Notice of Commission tours.

**SUMMARY:** Postal Rate Commissioners and advisory staff members will tour postal and mailers' facilities in January. The purpose of the tours is to observe mailing operations

**DATES:** 1. Friday, January 6, 2006: U.S. Postal Service bulk mail facility, Largo, Maryland.

- 2. Tuesday, January 10, 2006: U.S. Postal Service Priority Mail processing area, Dulles, Virginia postal facility.
- 3. Thursday, January 12, 2006: FedEx mail processing operations, Memphis, Tennessee.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, Postal Rate Commission, (202) 789– 6818.

#### Garry J. Sikora,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 06–67 Filed 1–4–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-M

#### RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

### Actuarial Advisory Committee With Respect to the Railroad Retirement Account; Notice of Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Public Law 92–463 that the Actuarial Advisory Committee will hold a meeting on January 23, 2006, at 11:30 a.m. at the office of the Chief Actuary of the U.S. Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the conduct of the 23rd Actuarial Valuation of the Railroad Retirement System. The agenda for this meeting will include a discussion of the assumptions to be used in the 23rd Actuarial Valuation. A report containing recommended assumptions and the experience on which the

recommendations are based will have been sent by the Chief Actuary to the Committee before the meeting.

The meeting will be open to the public. Persons wishing to submit written statements or make oral presentations should address their communications or notices to the RRB Actuarial Advisory Committee, c/o Chief Actuary, U.S. Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611–2092.

Dated: December 29, 2005.

#### Beatrice Ezerski,

Secretary to the Board.

[FR Doc. 06-60 Filed 1-4-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-M

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon written request, copies available from: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Regulation AC; SEC File No. 270–517; OMB Control No. 3235–0575.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit a request for approval of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

# Regulation Analyst Certification (Regulation AC)

Regulation Analyst Certification requires that any research report disseminated by broker, dealer, or person associated with a broker or dealer include certifications by the research analyst that the views expressed in the research report accurately reflect the analyst's personal views, and whether the analyst received compensation in connection with his or her specific recommendations or views. A research analyst would also be required to provide certifications and disclosures in connection with public appearances. Although research analysts are often viewed by investors as experts and as important sources of information about the securities and companies they cover, many factors can create pressure on their independence and objectivity. By requiring these certifications and disclosures, Regulation AC should promote the integrity of research reports

and investor confidence in the recommendations contained in those reports. Commission estimates that Regulation AC would result in a total annual time burden of approximately 11,296 hours (10,950 hours to comply with research report requirements + 346 hours to comply with public appearance requirements).

The collections of information under Regulation AC are necessary for covered persons to obtain certain benefits or to comply with certain requirements. The collections of information are necessary to provide investors with information with which to determine the value of the research available to them. The Commission may review this information during periodic examinations or with respect to investigations. Covered persons must also promptly provide copies of statements that the analyst is unable to provide the certifications in connection with public appearances to its examining authority, designated pursuant to Section 17(d) of the Exchange Act and Rule 17d–2 thereunder. Further, broker-dealers must keep and maintain these records pursuant to Rule 17a-4(b)(4).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the agency displays a valid OMB control number.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: December 28, 2005.

#### Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-8284 Filed 1-4-06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–53036; File No. SR–FICC–2005–18]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Fixed Income Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto To Enhance the Repo Collateral Substitution Process of FICC's Government Securities Division

December 29, 2005.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ notice is hereby given that on September 30, 2005, the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation ("FICC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") and on December 20, 2005, amended the proposed rule change described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by FICC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested parties.

### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to enhance the repo collateral substitution process of FICC's Government Securities Division ("GSD").

### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FICC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FICC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.<sup>2</sup>

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Initial Substitution Notification Without Replacement Collateral Information

The GSD's repo collateral substitution process provides a mechanism for a repo dealer to process its right to substitute the original collateral it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

 $<sup>^{2}\,\</sup>mathrm{The}$  Commission has modified the text of the summaries prepared by FICC.