

providers providing adoption services in intercountry adoptions under the Hague Convention.

(b) Nothing in this agreement shall be deemed to be a commitment or obligation to provide any Federal funds. The Department, consistent with the IAA, may not provide any funds to the accrediting entity for the performance of accreditation and approval functions.

(c) All accrediting entity functions and responsibilities authorized by this agreement are to occur only during the duration of this agreement.

(d) Nothing in this agreement shall release COA from any legal requirements or responsibilities imposed on the accrediting entity by the IAA, 22 CFR Part 96, or any other applicable laws or regulations.

(2) Duration: COA's designation as an accrediting entity and this agreement shall remain in effect for 5 years from signature, unless terminated earlier by the Department in conjunction with the suspension or cancellation of the designation of COA. The Parties may mutually agree in writing to extend the designation of the accrediting entity and the duration of this agreement. If either Party does not wish to renew the agreement, it must provide written notice no less than one year prior to the termination date, and the Parties will consult to establish a mutually agreed schedule to transfer adoption service providers to another accrediting entity, including by transferring a reasonable allocation of collected fees for the remainder of the accreditation or approval period of such adoption service providers.

(3) Changed Circumstances: If unforeseen circumstances arise that will render COA unable to continue to perform its duties as an Accrediting Entity, COA will immediately inform the Department of State. The Parties will consult and make an effort to find a solution that will enable COA to continue to perform until the end of the contract period. If no such solution can be reached, the contract may be terminated on a mutually agreed date or, if mutual agreement can not be reached, on not less than 14 months written notice from COA.

(4) Severability: To the extent that the Department determines, within its reasonable discretion, that any provision of this agreement is inconsistent with the Convention, the IAA, the regulations implementing the IAA or any other provision of law, that provision of the agreement shall be considered null and void and the remainder of the agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if the

offending portion had not been a part of it.

(5) Entirety of Agreement: This agreement is the entire agreement of the Parties and may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties.

Attachment 1—Resolution Unanimously Adopted by the Board of Trustees of the Council on Accreditation

June 30, 2006.

“Be it resolved, that Richard Klarberg is authorized to execute a Memorandum of Agreement by and between the Council on Accreditation (COA) and the Department of State, Bureau of Consular Affairs pursuant to which COA is designated as an accrediting entity under the Intercountry Adoption Act of 2000 (IAA), Public Law 106–279 and 22 C.F.R. Part 96.”

Dated: July 12, 2006.

Maura Harty,

Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Consular Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. E6–11362 Filed 7–17–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Request Revision From the Office of Management and Budget of a Currently Approved Information Collection Activity, Request for Comments; Final Rule Amending the Antidrug and Alcohol Misuse Prevention Programs for Personnel Engaged in Specified Aviation

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve a current information collection. This information is needed to identify and track regulated entities required to implement antidrug and alcohol misuse prevention programs as well as those companies that opt to implement programs. A notice for this collection appeared in the **Federal Register** on July 12, 2006, Vol. 71, No. 133, pgs. 39385–39386 with two incorrect titles attached to it: “Operating Requirements: Commuter and On-Demand Operation” and “FAA Research and Development Grants”. The correct title is “Final Rule Amending the Antidrug and Alcohol Misuse Prevention Programs for Personnel Engaged in Specified Aviation”.

DATES: Please submit comments by September 18, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Carla Mauney on (202) 267–9895, or by e-mail at: *Carla.mauney@faa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

Title: Final Rule Amending the Antidrug and Alcohol Misuse Prevention Programs for Personnel Engaged in Specified Aviation.

Type of Request: Revision of an approved collection.

OMB Control Number: 2120–0685.

Forms(s): There are no FAA forms associated with this collection.

Affected Public: A total of 7240 Respondents.

Frequency: The information is collected as needed.

Estimated Average Burden Per Response: Approximately 10 minutes per response.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 1,066 annually.

Abstract: This information is needed to identify and track regulated required to implement anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention programs as well as those companies that opt to implement programs. The respondents are aviation employees operating under 14 CFR parts 121, 135, and 145, Air traffic control facilities not operated by the FAA or the U.S. military, operators as defined in 14 CFR 135(c), and certain contractors.

Addresses: Send comments to the FAA at the following address: Ms. Carla Mauney, Room 1033, Federal Aviation Administration, Information Systems and Technology Services Staff, ABA–20, 800 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20591.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimates of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Carla Mauney,

FAA Information Collection Clearance Officer, Information Systems and Technology Services Staff, ABA–20.

[FR Doc. 06–6284 Filed 7–17–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M