

The following is a list of off-the-record communications recently received by the Secretary of the Commission. The communications listed are grouped by docket numbers in ascending order. These filings are

available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the eLibrary link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits, in the

docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC, Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Docket number	Date received	Presenter or requester
Exempt:		
1. CP06-275-000	10-19-06	Hon. Tim Murphy
CP06-369-000		
2. ER05-116-000	10-19-06	Dennis Dickman
3. Project No. 1494-000	10-31-06	Hon. Dan Boren
4. Project No. 1971-079	10-31-06	Alan Mitchnick
5. Project No. 2539-000	10-19-06	Hon. Charles E. Schumer, Hon. Hillary Rodham Clinton, Hon. Michael R. McNulty
6. Project No. 2545-000	10-19-06	Gregory Griffith
7. Project No. 2545-000	10-19-06	Kevin J. Lyons
8. Project No. 2545-000	10-19-06	Susan Pengilly Neitzel
9. Project No. 2545-000	10-19-06	Jill Wagner, Ph.D.
10. Project No. 11858-000	10-24-06	Eric Ginney
11. Project No. 11858-002	10-31-06	Richard and Victoria Bogard
12. Project No. 11858-000	11-2-06	Lucy and Harvey Miles
13. Project No. 11858-002	11-2-06	Katy Miles
14. Project No. 11858-002	11-2-06	Lois Nisporic
15. Project No. 11858-002	11-2-06	Christopher Oates
16. Project No. 11858-002	11-2-06	Orba Smith
17. Project No. 11858-002	11-2-06	Sandra M. Weaver
18. Project No. 11858-002	11-2-06	Sharon West
19. Project No. 11858-002	11-2-06	Bryan Groth
20. Project No. 11858-002	11-2-06	Mr. and Mrs. Matthew Miles

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-18992 Filed 11-8-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM05-30-000]

Rules Concerning Certification of Electronic Reliability Organization; and Procedures for the Establishment, Approval and Enforcement of Electric Reliability Standards; Notice of Availability of Filing

November 2, 2006.

Take notice that, on October 23, 2006, the Commission received the 2006 Long-Term Reliability Assessment prepared by the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC).

Section 39.11 of the Commission's regulations provides that the Electric Reliability Organization (ERO) shall conduct assessments of, among other things, the adequacy of the Bulk-Power System in North America and report its findings to the Commission, the Secretary of Energy, each Regional Entity, and each Regional Advisory Body annually or more frequently if so ordered by the Commission. According

to NERC, the 2006 Long-Term Reliability Assessment is the first assessment filed by NERC in its capacity as the ERO.

This assessment is filed under Docket No. RM05-30-000 and is accessible online at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room. For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-18928 Filed 11-8-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPPT-2006-0807; FRL-8098-2]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Request for Contractor Access to TSCA CBI; EPA ICR No. 1250.08, OMB Control No. 2070-0075

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit a request to renew an existing approved Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). This ICR, entitled: "Request for Contractor Access to TSCA CBI" and identified by EPA ICR No. 1250.08 and OMB Control No. 2070-0075, is scheduled to expire on August 31, 2007. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 8, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2006-0807, by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Document Control Office (7407M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- *Hand Delivery:* OPPT Document Control Office (DCO), EPA East Bldg., Rm. 6428, 1201 Constitution Ave., NW.,

Washington, DC. Attention: Docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2006-0807. The DCO is open from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the DCO is (202) 564-8930. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2006-0807. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available on-line at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or e-mail. The [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) website is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or, if only available in hard copy, at the OPPT Docket, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC). The EPA/DC suffered structural damage due to flooding in June 2006. Although the EPA/DC is continuing operations, there will be temporary changes to the EPA/DC during the clean-up. The EPA/DC Public Reading Room, which was

temporarily closed due to flooding, has been relocated in the EPA Headquarters Library, Infoterra Room (Room Number 3334) in the EPA West Bldg., located at 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA/DC Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number of the EPA/DC Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OPPT Docket is (202) 566-0280. EPA visitors are required to show photographic identification and sign the EPA visitor log. Visitors to the EPA/DC Public Reading Room will be provided with an EPA/DC badge that must be visible at all times while in the EPA Building and returned to the guard upon departure. In addition, security personnel will escort visitors to and from the new EPA/DC Public Reading Room location. Up-to-date information about the EPA/DC is on the EPA web site at <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information contact: Colby Lintner, Regulatory Coordinator, Environmental Assistance Division (7408M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (202) 554-1404; e-mail address: TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov.

For technical information contact: Pam Moseley, Information Management Division (7407M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (202) 564-8956; fax number: (202) 564-8955; e-mail address: moseley.pamela@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. What Information is EPA Particularly Interested in?

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, EPA specifically solicits comments and information to enable it to:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who

are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. In particular, EPA is requesting comments from very small businesses (those that employ less than 25) on examples of specific additional efforts that EPA could make to reduce the paperwork burden for very small businesses affected by this collection.

II. What Should I Consider when I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

1. Explain your views as clearly as possible and provide specific examples.
2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
6. Offer alternative ways to improve the collection activity.
7. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline identified under **DATES**.
8. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

III. What Information Collection Activity or ICR Does this Action Apply to?

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are companies under contract to EPA to provide certain services and whose employees must have access to the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) CBI to perform their duties.

Title: Request for Contractor Access to TSCA CBI.

ICR numbers: EPA ICR No. 1250.08, OMB Control No. 2070-0075.

ICR status: This ICR is currently scheduled to expire on August 31, 2007. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the **Federal Register** when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the **Federal Register** or

by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

Abstract: Certain employees of companies working under contract to EPA require access to TSCA CBI collected under the authority of TSCA in order to perform their official duties. The Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT), which is responsible for maintaining the security of TSCA confidential business information, requires that all individuals desiring access to TSCA CBI obtain and annually renew official clearance to TSCA CBI. As part of the process for obtaining TSCA CBI clearance, OPPT requires certain information about the contracting company and about each contractor employee requesting TSCA CBI clearance, primarily the name, social security number and EPA identification badge number of the employee, the type of TSCA CBI clearance requested and the justification for such clearance, and the signature of the employee to an agreement with respect to access to and use of TSCA CBI.

Responses to the collection of information are voluntary, but failure to provide the requested information will prevent a contractor employee from obtaining clearance to TSCA CBI. EPA will observe strict confidentiality precautions with respect to the information collected on individual employees, based on the Privacy Act of 1974, as outlined in the ICR and in the collection instrument.

Burden statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1.6 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal Agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of this estimate, which is only briefly summarized here:

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 28.

Frequency of response: On occasion (one time only per individual employee needing TSCA CBI clearance).

Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent: 10.

Estimated total annual burden hours: 446 hours.

Estimated total annual costs: \$20,466. This includes an estimated burden cost of \$20,466 and an estimated cost of \$0 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs.

IV. Are There Changes in the Estimates from the Last Approval?

There is an increase of 31 hours in the total estimated respondent burden compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. This increase reflects an increase in the number of contractor employees needing TSCA CBI clearance. This change is an adjustment.

V. What is the Next Step in the Process for this ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: November 1, 2006.

James B. Gulliford,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. E6-19014 Filed 11-8-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6681-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental

Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the FR dated April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17845).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20060366, ERP No. D1-COE-D39028-00, Mid-Chesapeake Bay Island Ecosystem Restoration Integrated Feasibility Study, Using Uncontaminated Dredged Material from the Upper Chesapeake Bay Approach Channels to the Port of Baltimore to Restore and Protect Island Habitat in the Middle Portion of Chesapeake Bay, Dorchester County, MD.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Rating LO. EIS No. 20060284, ERP No. D-FHW-E40808-KY, I-66 Somerset to London Project, Construction from the Vicinity of the Northern Bypass (I-66) in Somerset, KY to I-75 between London and Corbin Cities, Pulaski, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Rockcastle and Laurel Counties, KY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to wetlands, karst features and associated water resources, stream and river crossings, and potential construction-related impacts to the Daniel Boone National Forest. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060356, ERP No. D-COE-F32198-00, Lock and Dam 3 Mississippi River Navigation Safety and Embankments, To Reduce Related Navigation Safety and Embankment Problems, Upper Mississippi River, Goodhue County, MN and Pierce County, WI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposed mitigation for impacts to forested floodplains and water quality, and requested additional information regarding the analysis of cumulative impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060358, ERP No. D-AFS-K39101-CA, Lake Davis Pike Eradication Project, To Eradicate Pike and Re-Establish Trout Fishery in the Tributaries, Special-Use-Permit, Plumas National Forest, Plumas County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about drinking water impacts, the possible presence of toxic blue-green algae, discharge permit requirements, neutralization options, and the overall effectiveness of the eradication project. Rating EC2.