opportunities; recreational areas potentially impacted by noise or dust generated during construction; access to the beach or ocean; and permanent and temporary areas of restricted access around the RLNGC.

Hazards and Risk/Safety: LNG releases resulting in potential impacts on third parties from fire, radiant energy, or ignitable gas clouds (mainly to passengers of small craft operating near the RLNGC); and effects of pipeline failures on humans, property, and marine and terrestrial ecosystems. The EIS/EIR will include an independently prepared, site-specific risk assessment.

Noise: Potential increases in noise levels due to project construction and operation; and effects of noise on local residents, recreational visitors, passengers and crews on marine vessels, and marine mammals.

Water Quality: Impacts from LNG or spills, increases in turbidity, or unearthing of contaminated sediments; and increases in shoreline erosion during construction and operation.

Environmental Justice: Potential disproportionate effects on minority and low-income populations within the Project area. The EIS/EIR will identify any relevant populations that might be disproportionately affected by the proposed Project.

Cultural Resources: Potential effects on known and as yet unidentified cultural resources, offshore and onshore.

Energy and Mineral Resources: Restriction of future availability of exploitable oil and gas resources due to infrastructure development and restricted access.

Land Use and Traffic: Impacts to existing land uses, especially in coastal areas designated for recreational purposes; impacts on marine resources off the coast of Los Angeles, including Catalina Island, the Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary and Channel Islands National Park; and disruptions to traffic use and patterns.

Population and Housing: Impacts on the existing community character and development, population, housing, infrastructure and social services, employment, and the regional economic base.

Cumulative Impacts: The EIS/EIR will evaluate the cumulative effects of the project within each resource area examined. These include the incremental effects of past projects, other current and proposed projects, and reasonably foreseeable future projects.

No-Action/No Project Alternative: The EIS/EIR will examine the impacts of not

approving the DWP license/lease/franchise application.

Alternative Offshore Locations: The EIS/EIR will consider an alternative location in the vicinity of the proposed Project and other locations adjacent to the California coast.

Land-Based Alternatives: The California Legislature mandated the evaluation of land-based LNG sites. Land-based alternatives previously considered by California agencies will be considered.

Alternative Technologies: Alternative Project technologies, including open rack vaporizers and a hybrid vaporization system and alternative facility designs will also be evaluated.

Alternative Pipeline Routes: The EIS/ EIR will also evaluate an alternative submarine pipeline route and an alternative onshore pipeline route.

Federal, State, and City Permit, Approval, and Consultation: The major federal and city permit, approval, and consultation requirements for OceanWay include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:

Federal

- DOT/Maritime Administration— DWP license.
- DHS/U.S. Coast Guard—DWP design and operational requirements.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Title V Federal air operating permit; Clean Water Act (CWA) storm water and wastewater discharge permits.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)—Clean Water Act Section 404 and Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 permits.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service— Section 7, Endangered Species Act (ESA) consultation.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Section 7, ESA consultation requirements.
- NOAA Fisheries—Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Management and Conservation Act consultation.
- NOAA Fisheries—Marine Mammal Protection Act consultation.

California

- California Coastal Commission Compliance with California Coastal Act and consistency with California Coastal Management Program.
- California State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 and California historic preservation requirements, consultation and compliance.

City of Los Angeles

- Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)—CWA Section 401 certification.
- Los Angeles RWQCB—Hydrostatic test water discharge permit.
- Pipeline franchise and lease or easement approvals.
- Local coastal development permit in compliance with the California Coastal Act.

(Authority: 49 CFR 1.66)

Dated: September 10, 2007.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator.

Daron T. Threet,

Secretary, Maritime Administration.
[FR Doc. E7–18130 Filed 9–13–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Innovative Technology Administration

[Docket Number: RITA-2007-27185]

Notice of Termination for Approval To Collect Survey Data To Evaluate Close Call Reporting System for Railroad Operations

AGENCY: Volpe National Transportation Systems Center (Volpe Center), Research and Innovative Technology Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that the Volpe Center no longer intends to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve a data collection effort to help in the evaluation of a five-year demonstration project focused on improving rail safety by analyzing information on close calls and other unsafe occurrences in the rail industry.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joyce Ranney, RTV–4G, Room 1–655A, Volpe Center; Kendall Square, 55 Broadway; Cambridge, MA 617–494–2095; Fax No. (617) 494–3622; e-mail: ranney@volpe.dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Volpe Center is notifying the public that it no longer intends to seek OMB approval for the information collection activity described in its February 13, 2007 Notice and Request for Comments (see 72 FR 6808). The agency did not receive any comments to its February 13, 2007 Notice. The Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS) will continue to collect Close Call Reporting System evaluation related survey data under OMB Number 2139–0011.

Issued in Cambridge, Massachusetts on August 7, 2007.

Nelson H. Keeler,

Director, Office of Aviation Programs.
[FR Doc. E7–18177 Filed 9–13–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–HY–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 35075]

Watco Companies, Inc., and Watco Transportation Services, Inc.— Continuance in Control Exemption— Austin Western Railroad, Inc.

Watco Companies, Inc. (Watco Companies) and its wholly owned subsidiary, Watco Transportation Services, Inc. (Watco Transportation) (collectively, Watco), both noncarriers, jointly have filed a verified notice of exemption to continue in control of Austin Western Railroad, Inc. (AWRR), upon AWRR's becoming a Class III rail carrier.¹

This transaction is related to a concurrently filed verified notice of exemption in STB Finance Docket No. 35072, Austin Western Railroad, Inc.—Operation Exemption—Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority. In that proceeding, AWRR seeks an exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to operate 164.83 miles of rail line owned by Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority between specified points in Texas.

The parties intend to consummate the transaction on or shortly after October 1,

Watco currently controls 16 Class III rail carriers: South Kansas and Oklahoma Railroad Company, Palouse River & Coulee City Railroad, Inc., Timber Rock Railroad, Inc., Stillwater Central Railroad, Inc., Eastern Idaho Railroad, Inc., Kansas & Oklahoma Railroad, Inc., Pennsylvania Southwestern Railroad, Inc., Great Northwest Railroad, Inc., Kaw River Railroad, Inc., Mission Mountain Railroad, Inc., Mississippi Southern Railroad, Inc., Yellowstone Valley Railroad, Inc., Louisiana Southern Railroad, Inc., Arkansas Southern Railroad, Inc., Alabama Southern Railroad, Inc., and Vicksburg Southern Railroad, Inc.2

Watco represents that: (1) The rail lines to be operated by AWRR do not connect with any other railroads in the Watco corporate family; (2) the continuance in control is not part of a series of anticipated transactions that would connect these rail lines with any other railroad in the Watco corporate family; and (3) the transaction does not involve a Class I rail carrier. Therefore, the transaction is exempt from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11323. See 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2).

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. Section 11326(c), however, does not provide for labor protection for transactions under sections 11324 and 11325 that involve only Class III rail carriers. Accordingly, the Board may not impose labor protective conditions here because all of the carriers involved are Class III carriers.³

If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Stay petitions must be filed no later than September 21, 2007 (at least 7 days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 35075, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, one copy of each pleading must be served on Karl Morell, Of Counsel, Ball Janik, LLP, Suite 225, 1455 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at http://www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: September 6, 2007.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–17978 Filed 9–13–07; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4915–01–P**

(Michigan Central), once Michigan Central becomes a Class III rail carrier. The effectiveness of the exemption was delayed by decision served August 8, 2007, to coincide with the effectiveness of any exemption that is granted by the Board in the related line acquisition proceeding in Michigan Central Railway, LLC—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—Lines of Norfolk Southern Railway Company, STB Finance Docket No. 35063, petition filed on July 13, 2007.

³ Watco notes that it will consummate this transaction before it consummates the transaction in STB Finance Docket No. 35064.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 35072]

Austin Western Railroad, Inc.— Operation Exemption—Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Austin Western Railroad, Inc. (AWRR), a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to operate, pursuant to an agreement with Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority (CMTA), CMTA's approximately 164.83 miles of railroad extending between (1) Milepost 0.0 west of Giddings, TX, and milepost 56.4 near Austin, TX; (2) milepost 56.4 near Austin, TX, and milepost 154.1 near Llano, TX; and (3) milepost 0.0 near Fairland, TX, and milepost 6.5 near Marble Falls, TX, including the 3.3-mile Scobee Spur and the 0.93-mile Burnett Spur. The agreement also will allow Veolia Transportation Services, Inc. (Veolia) to provide commuter rail operations over a portion of the lines.2

This transaction is related to a concurrently filed verified notice of exemption in STB Finance Docket No. 35075, Watco Companies, Inc., and Watco Transportation Services, Inc.—Continuance in Control Exemption—Austin Western Railroad, Inc. In that proceeding, Watco Companies, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Watco Transportation Services, Inc., jointly have filed a verified notice of exemption to continue in control of AWRR upon its becoming a rail carrier.

The transaction is expected to be consummated on October 1, 2007, after the September 30, 2007 effective date of the exemption.

AWRR certifies that its projected annual revenues as a result of the transaction will not result in AWRR becoming a Class II or Class I rail carrier. However, because its projected annual revenues will exceed \$5 million, AWRR also certifies that it has complied with the notice requirements of 49 CFR 1150.32(e).³

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption

 $^{^{\}rm 1}\,\text{Watco}$ owns 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of AWRR.

² In Watco Companies, Inc., and Watco Transportation Services, Inc.—Continuance in Control Exemption—Michigan Central Railway, LLC, STB Finance Docket No. 35064, (STB served July 27, 2007), Watco was authorized to continue in control of Michigan Central Railway, LLC

¹CMTA retains the residual common carrier obligation with respect to these lines. See Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority— Acquisition Exemption—City of Austin, TX, STB Finance Docket No. 33596 (STB served May 27, 1998)

² Veolia will not be providing, and will not have the ability to provide, common carrier freight service to customers on the lines. Because the Board does not have licensing jurisdiction over the commuter operations to be provided by Veolia, it is not seeking Board authority.

³ AWRR certified its compliance with the notice requirements of 49 CFR 1150.32(e) on July 31, 2007.