dockets other than rulemaking; from there you can select the appropriate subtype, such as "Peer Review".

### III. Additional Information

A. Information on Use of FDMS

Additional details about FDMS, as well as detailed instructions and assistance for using the system, are available at http://www.regulations.gov DOT will also have available online by October 1, 2007, a new site that will provide helpful information about the use of FDMS for DOT dockets. The site will also contain other helpful information, such as reports that were available on DMS but will not be available on FDMS. The site will be at http://DocketsInfo.dot.gov. In addition, if you are interested in attending informational sessions regarding FDMS that DOT will be offering on October 3, 2007, (2-4 pm for the public) and October 4, 2007, (9-11 am for the public) in the DOT Conference Center/ Multi-Media Room, West Building, Room W11-130 at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC. Sign up is available at http://www.dms.dot.gov.

# B. Agencies Covered

This notice applies to: the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), the Research and Innovative Technology Administrative (RITA), the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), the Maritime Administration (MARAD), the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation (SLSDC), and the Office of the Secretary (OST). Please note that the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) and the United States Coast Guard (USCG) also use DMS and their dockets will be transferring with the DOT dockets to FDMS.

# Renee V. Wright,

Program Manager, Docket Operations.

Dated: September 19, 2007.

[FR Doc. 07–4709 Filed 9–19–07; 2:26 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910–9X–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Notice of Submission Deadlines for Schedule Information for John F. Kennedy International Airport and Newark Liberty International Airport for the Summer 2008 Scheduling Season

**AGENCY:** Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). **ACTION:** Notice of submission deadline.

**SUMMARY:** Under this notice, the FAA announces that New York's John F. Kennedy International Airport (JFK) and Newark Liberty International Airport (EWR) have been designated Level 2 Schedules Facilitated Airports for the summer 2008 scheduling season in accordance with the International Air Transport Association (IATA) Worldwide Scheduling Guidelines. Accordingly, the FAA announces an October 11, 2007, deadline for submitting schedule information for all planned flights at JFK and EWR between the hours of 6 a.m. and 11 p.m., local time or 1000 and 0300 UTC. The FAA deadline coincides with the submission deadline established by IATA for the Summer 2008 Schedules Conference.

The U.S. summer scheduling season is from March 9, 2008, through November 1, 2008, in recognition of the U.S. daylight saving time dates. The FAA understands the IATA summer 2008 season is March 30, 2008, through October 25, 2008. The FAA will accept schedules that coincide with the IATA scheduling season, rather than U.S. daylight saving dates, in order to ease the administrative burdens on carriers conducting international operations and in order to ensure that FAA has the most accurate schedule information.

The Level 2 designations for JFK and EWR are necessary because of increased levels of air traffic operations, congestion and delay at the airports and a tangible decrease in operational performance (performance data for each airport is provided below). The FAA is implementing a number of initiatives for JFK and EWR to improve air traffic control (ATC) efficiency and reduce delays at those and other airports. For instance, ATC has increased use of a second departure runway at JFK when conditions permit. Other measures for both airports will improve routing options during periods of adverse weather conditions. And, over the next several years, the FAA will redesign airspace in the New York/New Jersey/ Philadelphia areas in order to improve efficiency and reduce delays. These near term measures, however, are not

sufficient to meet the current peak hour operational demands at these airports.

## John F. Kennedy Airport

Operations at JFK were previously limited by the FAA under the High Density Rule. This rule was eliminated at JFK after January 1, 2007, in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 41715(a)(2). The FAA advised IATA and carriers that this effectively changed the FAA determination for JFK under IATA guidelines to Level 1 as of January 1, 2007. However, the FAA now redesignates JFK as Level 2 for the summer 2008 season and requests carriers to provide schedule information in accordance with this notice.

JFK is experiencing increased congestion with a corresponding decrease in on-time performance. Comparing the period of October 2006 through July 2007 to the same period in the previous year, the average daily operations at JFK increased 23 percent; the average daily arrivals with delays greater than one hour increased 114 percent; and on-time gate arrivals within 15 minutes of scheduled time decreased from 69.7 percent to 61.2 percent. Average taxi-out delay increased 19 percent from 30 to almost 36 minutes on average. The metrics for the months of June and July 2007 show even further deterioration of performance. A number of carriers communicated their concerns to the FAA about the impact the delayed flights are having on operational reliability, flight connections and

network planning.

The FAA intends to work with carriers to review operations, particularly during the morning hours of 7 a.m. to 10 a.m. and afternoon and evening hours from 2 p.m. to 10 p.m. local time. Capacity exists for new operations or retiming of existing flights at many periods of the day. The FAA is currently completing a capacity and demand assessment of JFK and considering steps to address the timing of flights on the airport's operation. This could result in operational limits during peak hours and a change of JFK's designation to Level 3.

## **Newark Liberty International Airport**

EWR has been one of the most consistently delayed airports in the National Airspace System (NAS). For example, for the period of October 2006 through July 2007, EWR had an on-time arrival performance of 60.17 percent, the worst among the top 35 airports. Therefore, based on the airport's performance metrics and the imbalance between ATC capacity and demand that is expected to continue in the near term,

the FAA has decided to designate EWR as an IATA Level 2 Schedules Facilitated Airport for the summer 2008 scheduling season. The FAA understands EWR is currently Level 2 for certain international passenger terminal facilities, and this notice does not replace that schedule facilitation process done at the local airport level.

The FAA intends to work with carriers to review operations, particularly during the morning hours of 7 a.m. to 10 a.m. and afternoon and evening hours from 2 p.m. to 10 p.m. local time. The FAA is considering options to further address congestion and improve operational performance at EWR, including the timing of flights at the airport, and their impact on the airport's operation.

**DATES:** Schedules must be submitted no later than October 11, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Schedules may be submitted by mail to Slot Administration Office, AGC–240, Office of the Chief Counsel, 800 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20591; facsimile: 202–267–7277; ARINC: DCAYAXD; or by e-mail to: 7–AWA-slotadmin@faa.gov.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Komal Jain, Regulations Division, Office of the Chief Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone number: 202–267–3073.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 19th, 2007.

## James W. Whitlow,

Deputy Chief Counsel.

[FR Doc. 07–4711 Filed 9–19–07; 2:26 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Highway Administration**

Environmental Impact Statement: Proposed Dickson Southwest Bypass from US-70 to State Route 46 and/or Interstate 40, Dickson County, TN

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared for a proposed transportation project in Dickson County, Tennessee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Laurie S. Leffler, Assistant Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration—Tennessee Division

Office, 640 Grassmere Park Road, Suite 112, Nashville, TN 37211, or by phone at 615–781–5770.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA in cooperation with the Tennessee Department of Transportation will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on a proposal to construct a bypass around the southwest side of the City of Dickson, for a distance of approximately 10 miles.

Alternatives to be considered include: (1) No-build; (2) a Transportation System Management (TSM) alternative (3) one or more build alternatives that could include constructing a roadway on a new location, upgrading existing US-70 and State Route 46, or a combination of both, and (4) other alternatives that may arise from public input. Public scoping meetings will be held for the project corridor. As part of the scoping process, federal, state, and local agencies and officials; private organizations; citizens; and interest groups will have an opportunity to identify issues of concern and provide input on the purpose and need for the project, range of alternatives, methodology, and the development of the Environmental Impact Statement. A Coordination Plan will be developed to include the public in the project development process. This plan will utilize the following outreach efforts to provide information and solicit input: Newsletters, an internet website, e-mail and direct mail, informational meetings and briefings, public hearings, and other efforts as necessary and appropriate. A public hearing will be held upon completion of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and public notice will be given of the time and place of the hearing. The Draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public hearings.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are identified and taken into account, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments and questions concerning the proposed action should be directed to the FHWA contact person identified above at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this proposed program). Issued on: September 18, 2007.

#### Laurie S. Leffler,

Assistant Division Administrator, Nashville, TN.

[FR Doc. E7–18796 Filed 9–21–07; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–22–P** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Transit Administration**

Preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement for the Van Ness Avenue Bus Rapid Transit Project in San Francisco, CA

**AGENCY:** Federal Transit Administration (FTA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Council of Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR part 1505.6), and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 151710, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), in cooperation with the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA), will prepare a joint Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) for the Van Ness Avenue Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Project, an approximately two-mile transit improvement along Van Ness Avenue through the City and County of San Francisco, California. The Project would create dedicated bus lanes from approximately South Van Ness Avenue and Mission Street (south end) to Van Ness Avenue and Lombard Street (north end). The project would also establish high capacity stations with passenger amenities and low-level boarding platforms; real time bus arrival information systems; proof-of-payment fare verification; transit signal priority; and modern, high-capacity, low-floor, multi-door buses.

The EIS/EIR will evaluate the following alternatives: (1) No-Project/ Baseline Alternative; (2) Van Ness Avenue BRT Project, which will include design options for the configuration of the BRT transitway and stations; and (3) any additional reasonable alternatives that emerge from the study process. The EIS will be prepared in accordance with FTA regulations (23 CFR 771 et seq.) implementing the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) as well as provisions of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). The EIR will be prepared in accordance with the