

into law on March 22, 1995, the agency has assessed the effects of the proposed directives on state, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. The proposed directives would not compel the expenditure of \$100 million or more by any state, local, or tribal government or anyone in the private sector. Therefore, a statement under section 202 of the act is not required.

Federalism and Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

The agency has considered the proposed directives under the requirements of Executive Order 13132 on federalism and has determined that the proposed directives conform with the federalism principles set out in this Executive order; would not impose any compliance costs on the states; and would not have substantial direct effects on the states, the relationship between the federal government and the states, or the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, the agency has determined that no further assessment of federalism implications is necessary.

Moreover, these proposed directives do not have tribal implications as defined by Executive Order 13175, entitled "Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments," and therefore advance consultation with tribes is not required.

Energy Effects

The proposed directives have been reviewed under Executive Order 13211 of May 18, 2001, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use." It has been determined that the proposed directives would not constitute a significant energy action as defined in the Executive order. To the contrary, the proposed directives could have a positive, rather than a negative effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy to the extent the proposed directives provide direction on processing proposals and applications and issuing special use authorizations for wind energy uses.

Controlling Paperwork Burdens on the Public

The proposed directives do not contain any record-keeping or reporting requirements or other information collection requirements as defined in 5 CFR part 1320 that are not already required by law or not already approved for use. Accordingly, the review provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and

its implementing regulations at 5 CFR part 1320 do not apply.

Text of Proposed Directives

Reviewers may obtain a copy of the proposed revisions to the FSM and FSH from the address cited in the addresses section above or from the Forest Service home page on the World Wide Web at: <http://www.fs.fed.us/recreation/permits/energy.htm>.

Dated: September 6, 2007.

Sally Collins,

Associate Chief, Forest Service.

[FR Doc. E7-18715 Filed 9-21-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

[Docket No.: 070703259-7518-02]

Privacy Act of 1974: System of Records

AGENCY: Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice to amend all Privacy Act System of Records.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the President's Identity Theft Task Force's Strategic Plan, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) publishes this notice to announce the effective date of a new routine use to be added to all Privacy Act System of Records.

DATES: The proposed new routine use becomes effective on September 24, 2007

ADDRESSES: For a copy of the system of records please mail requests to Brenda Dolan, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, 202-482-4258, BDolan1@doc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brenda Dolan, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 1401 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 10, 2007, the Commerce published and requested comments on a proposed new routine use to be added to all Privacy Act System of Records. The new routine use for all Commerce systems of records permits disclosure to appropriate persons or entities for purposes of response and remedial efforts in the event of a suspected or confirmed breach of the data contained in the systems. No comments were received in response to the request for comments. By this notice, the Department is adopting the new routine use as final without changes effective September 25, 2007.

Dated: September 18, 2007.

Brenda Dolan,

U.S. Department of Commerce, Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Officer.

[FR Doc. E7-18750 Filed 9-21-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-17-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-834]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the Republic of Korea; Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 24, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0656.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 3, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 72 FR 36420 (July 3, 2007). On July 30, 2007, DaiYang Metal Co., Ltd. (DMC), a Korean producer/exporter, requested a review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Korea in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2).

On August 20, 2007, the Department initiated an administrative review for DMC. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 48613, 48614 (Aug. 24, 2007).

Rescission of Review

On August 23, 2007, DMC withdrew its request for review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations requires that the Secretary rescind an administrative review if a party requesting a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of