

*Members* (in addition to applicant): None.

Agla Trade Link International seeks a Certificate to cover the following specific Export Trade, Export Markets, and Export Trade Activities and Methods of Operations.

#### Export Trade

##### 1. *Products*

All Products.

##### 2. *Services*

All Services.

##### 3. *Technology Rights*

Technology rights, including, but not limited to, patents, trademarks, copyrights, and trade secrets, that relate to Products and Services.

##### 4. *Export Trade Facilitation Services (as they relate to the export of Products, Services, and Technology Rights)*

Export Trade Facilitation Services include professional services in the areas of government relations and assistance with state and federal programs; foreign trade and business protocol; consulting; market research and analysis; collection of information on trade opportunities; marketing; negotiations; joint ventures; shipping; export management; export licensing; advertising; documentation and services related to compliance with customs requirements; insurance and financing; trade show exhibitions; organizational development; management and labor strategies; transfer of technology; transportation; and facilitating the formation of shippers' associations.

#### Export Markets

The Export Markets include all parts of the world except the United States (the fifty states of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands).

#### Export Trade Activities and Methods of Operation

1. With respect to the sale of Products and Services, licensing of Technology Rights and the provision of Export Trade Facilitation Services, Agla Trade Link International, subject to the terms and conditions listed below, may:

a. Provide and arrange for the provision of Export Trade Facilitation Services;

b. Engage in promotional and marketing activities and collect information on trade opportunities in the Export Markets and distribute such information to clients;

c. Enter into exclusive and/or non-exclusive licensing and/or sales

agreements with Suppliers for the export of Products, Services, and/or Technology Rights to Export Markets;

d. Enter into exclusive and/or non-exclusive agreements with distributors and/or sales representatives in Export Markets;

e. Allocate export sales or divide Export Markets among Suppliers for the sale and/or licensing of Products, Services, and/or Technology Rights;

f. Allocate export orders among Suppliers;

g. Establish the price of Products, Services, and/or Technology Rights for sales and/or licensing in Export Markets;

h. Negotiate, enter into, and/or manage licensing agreements for the export of Technology Rights; and

i. Enter into contracts for shipping.

2. Agla Trade Link International and individual Suppliers may regularly exchange information on an individual one-on-one basis regarding that Supplier's inventories and near-term production schedules in order that the availability of Products for export can be determined and effectively coordinated by Agla Trade Link International with its distributors in Export Markets.

#### Terms and Conditions of Certificate

1. Agla Trade Link International, including its officers, employees or agents, shall not intentionally disclose, directly or indirectly, to any Supplier (including parent companies, subsidiaries, or other entities related to any Supplier) any information about any other Supplier's costs, production, capacity, inventories, domestic prices, domestic sales, terms of domestic marketing or sale, or U.S. business plans, strategies, or methods unless such information is already generally available to the trade or public.

2. Agla Trade Link International, will comply with requests made by the Secretary of Commerce on behalf of the Secretary or the Attorney General for information or documents relevant to conduct under the Certificate. The Secretary of Commerce will request such information or documents when either the Attorney General or the Secretary believes that the information or documents are required to determine that the Export Trade, Export Trade Activities and Methods of Operation of a person protected by this Certificate of Review continue to comply with the standards of Section 303(a) of the Act.

#### Definition

"Supplier" means a person who produces, provides, or sells Products, Services, and/or Technology Rights.

Dated: March 27, 2008.

**Jeffrey Anspacher,**

*Director, Export Trading Company Affairs.*

[FR Doc. E8-6822 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-274-804]

#### Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Trinidad and Tobago: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has received information sufficient to warrant initiation of a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod ("steel wire rod") from Trinidad and Tobago.<sup>1</sup> Based on this information, we preliminarily determine that Arcelor Mittal Point Lisas Limited ("AMPL") is the successor-in-interest to Mittal Steel Point Lisas Limited ("MSPL"), formerly Caribbean Ispat Limited ("CIL"), a respondent in the less-than-fair-value investigation and that, as such, AMPL is entitled to receive the same antidumping duty treatment accorded MSPL.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 2, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dennis McClure or Stephanie Moore, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14<sup>th</sup> Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5973 and (202) 482-3692, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On October 29, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on steel wire rod from Trinidad and Tobago. See *Antidumping Order*. The current scope of the merchandise subject to this order was published in the *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Trinidad and Tobago: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 62824 (November 7, 2007). One of the

<sup>1</sup> See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine, 67 FR 65945 (October 29, 2002) ("Antidumping Order").

companies subject to the investigation was CIL. On July 6, 2005, the Department determined that MSPL was the successor-in-interest to CIL. *See Notice of Final Result of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Trinidad and Tobago*, 70 FR 38871 (July 6, 2005). The Department has completed administrative reviews covering (1) April 2002 through September 2003, (2) October 2003 through September 2004, (3) October 2004 through September 2005, and (4) October 2005 through September 2006, and is currently conducting an administrative review covering October 2006 through September 2007.<sup>2</sup>

On January 30, 2008, AMPL requested that the Department initiate and conduct an expedited changed circumstances review to determine that for purposes of the antidumping law, AMPL is the successor-in-interest to MSPL. *See* January 30, 2008, letter from AMPL to the Secretary of Commerce. AMPL claimed that counsel for petitioners<sup>3</sup> in this proceeding indicated their consent to this request. On February 8, 2008, AMPL provided correspondence from counsel to the petitioners confirming petitioners' consent to this request.

#### Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and 19 CFR 351.216, the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review upon receipt of information concerning, or a request from an interested party for review of, an antidumping duty order which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review of the order. In this case, the Department finds that the information submitted by the respondent provides sufficient evidence of changed circumstances to warrant a review to determine whether AMPL is the successor-in-interest to MSPL.

<sup>2</sup> *Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Trinidad and Tobago*, 70 FR 12648 (March 15, 2005); *Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Trinidad and Tobago*, 70 FR 69512 (November 16, 2005); *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Trinidad and Tobago: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 9922 (March 6, 2007); *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Trinidad and Tobago: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 62824 (November 7, 2007); and *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 65938 (November 26, 2007), respectively.

<sup>3</sup> Gerdau Ameristeel US Inc., Nucor Connecticut Inc., Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc., Rocky Mountain Steel Mills, U.S.

Thus, in accordance with section 751(b) of the Act, the Department is initiating a changed circumstances review to determine whether AMPL is the successor-in-interest to MSPL for purposes of determining antidumping duty liability with respect to imports of steel wire rod from Trinidad and Tobago.

Furthermore, 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii) permits the Department to combine the notice of initiation of a changed circumstances review and the notice of preliminary results in a single notice if the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted. In this case, we find that the evidence provided by AMPL is sufficient to preliminarily determine that its change of corporate name from MSPL to AMPL did not affect the company's operations.

In making a successor-in-interest determination, the Department examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in: (1) management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. *See, e.g., Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polychloroprene Rubber From Japan*, 67 FR 58 (January 2, 2002); *Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 57 FR 20460, 20462 (May 13, 1992). While no single factor or combination of factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication of a successor-in-interest relationship, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if the new company's resulting operation is not materially dissimilar to that of its predecessor. *See, e.g., Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon from Norway: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 64 FR 9979 (March 1, 1999); *Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 59 FR 6944 (February 14, 1994). Thus, if the evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as the former company, the Department will accord the new company the same antidumping treatment as its predecessor.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii), we preliminarily determine that AMPL is the successor-in-interest to MSPL. In its January 30, 2008, submission AMPL provided evidence supporting its claim to be the successor-in-interest to MSPL. Documentation attached to AMPL's

January 30, 2008, submission shows that the merger of Arcelor and Mittal Steel (MSPL's parent company) and the following name change to AMPL resulted in little or no change in management, production facility, supplier relationships, or customer base. This documentation consists of: (1) a press releases regarding the name change of Mittal Steel and Arcelor; (2) the merger proposal between Arcelor Mittal and Mittal Steel; (3) Form 425 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission explaining the first step of the merger; (4) excerpts of ArcelorMittal's proxy statement concerning the merger of Mittal Steel; (5) a press release concerning details of the merger of ArcelorMittal into Arcelor; (6) excerpts of ArcelorMittal's proxy statement concerning the merger into Arcelor; (7) a news article explaining the merger of ArcelorMittal into Arcelor; (8) an ArcelorMittal news release concerning the merger of ArcelorMittal into Arcelor; (9) a certificate of amendment concerning MSPL's name change to AMPL; (10) documentation certifying that AMPL maintains the same VAT tax ID number; (11) a letter from the bank confirming that AMPL maintains the same bank account MSPL had; (12) an organizational chart reflecting the organization of MSPL and AMPL; (13) certification from company officials at AMPL stating that neither management nor corporate structure of AMPL has changed because of the name change; (14) a letter from AMPL's lessor acknowledging that AMPL will occupy the same premises and continue MSPL's lease; (15) a list of suppliers before and after the name change; and (16) a list of customers identifying the same customers before and after the name change. The documentation described above demonstrates that there was little to no change in management structure, supplier relationships, production facilities, or customer base.

Therefore, we determine that expedited action is warranted and we preliminarily find that AMPL is the successor-in-interest to MSPL and, thus, should receive the same antidumping duty treatment with respect to steel wire rod from Trinidad and Tobago as the former MSPL. Because we have concluded that expedited action is warranted, we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results.

#### Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 44 days after the date of publication of this notice, or

the first workday thereafter. Case briefs from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to the issues raised in those comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. Persons interested in attending the hearing, if one is requested, should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of this changed circumstances review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e), including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on all subject merchandise will continue unless and until it is modified pursuant to the final results of this changed circumstances review.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) and (2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: March 27, 2008.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-6842 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-821-801]

#### **Solid Urea From the Russian Federation: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 2, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Schauer or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0410 and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

At the request of EuroChem, a Russian producer and exporter of solid urea from the Russian Federation, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative

review of the antidumping duty order on solid urea from Russia for the period July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 48613 (August 20, 2007). The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due no later than April 1, 2008.

#### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the month in which the anniversary of the date of publication of the order occurs. The Act provides further that, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the 245 days, the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results by the current deadline of April 1, 2008. Specifically, we are conducting a concurrent new-shipper review which covers the same entry as is covered by this administrative review and it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review prior to the conclusion of the new-shipper review.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 120 days to July 30, 2008. The final results of this review continue to be due 120 days after the date of issuance of the preliminary results of the administrative review.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: March 26, 2008.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**  
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-6839 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### **Rice University, Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument**

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by

Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, D.C.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. *Docket Number: 08-003.* Applicant: Rice University, Houston, TX 77005. Instrument: Variable Temperature High Magnetic Field Nanometer-Precision Probe Station. Manufacturer: Attocube Systems AG, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 73 FR 12375, March 7, 2008. Reasons: This instrument can supply a cryostat and magnet system with four independently nanopositionable probes. This variable temperature probe system is unique and is essential to enable a variety of physics and chemistry research efforts involving nanomaterials.

Dated: March 28, 2008.

**Faye Robinson,**  
Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff,  
Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-6843 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### **VA Connecticut Healthcare System, et al., Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes**

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C.

*Docket Number: 08-004.* Applicant: VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT 06516. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1011. Manufacturer: Jeol, Inc., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 73 FR 12078, March 6, 2008.

*Docket Number: 08-005.* Applicant: University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model 600 Quanta FEG. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 73 FR 12078, March 6, 2008.