

mortality rates, and similar information useful in the management of the billfish fisheries.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Fax number (202) 395-7285, or David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: April 7, 2008.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-7651 Filed 4-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Prohibited Species Donation Program.

Form Number(s): None.

OMB Approval Number: 0648-0316.

Type of Request: Regular submission.

Burden Hours: 535.

Number of Respondents: 21.

Average Hours Per Response:

Distributor application, 13 hours; distributor list updates, 12 minutes; distributor product tracking and records retention, 12 minutes; processor product tracking and records retention, 6 minutes; product packaging and labeling, 4 minutes.

Needs and Uses: A prohibited species donation (PSD) program for salmon and halibut has effectively reduced regulatory discard of salmon and halibut by allowing fish that would otherwise be discarded to be donated to needy individuals through tax-exempt

organizations. The vessels and processing plants participating in the donation program voluntarily retain and process salmon and halibut bycatch. An authorized, tax-exempt distributor, chosen by National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) is responsible for monitoring the retention and processing of fish donated by vessels and processors. The authorized distributor also coordinates the processing, storage, transportation, and distribution of salmon and halibut. The PSD program requires a collection of information so that NMFS can monitor the authorized distributors' ability to effectively supervise program participants and ensure that donated fish are properly processed, stored, and distributed.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions.

Frequency: Every three years and on occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Fax number (202) 395-7285, or David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: April 7, 2008.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-7652 Filed 4-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Action Affecting Export Privileges; AVIATION SERVICES INTERNATIONAL B.V.; DELTA LOGISTICS, B.V.; ROBERT KRAAIPOEL; NIELS KRAAIPOEL; T.P.C. B.V.; MIA VAN GEMERT; MOJIR TRADING; REZA AMIDI; LAVANTIA, LTD.; and MITA ZAREK

In the matter of: Aviation Services International B.V., P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK; Aviation Services International B.V., Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands

1704SL; Delta Logistics B.V., P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK; Delta Logistics B.V., Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL; Robert Kraaijpoel, P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK; Robert Kraaijpoel, Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL; Niels Kraaijpoel, P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK; Niels Kraaijpoel, Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL; T.P.C. B.V., P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK; T.P.C. B.V., Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL; T.P.C. B.V., P.O. Box 11, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AA; Mia Van Gemert, P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK; Mia Van Gemert, Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL; Mojir Trading, P.O. Box 18118, Jabel-Ali Free Zone, Dubai-UAE; Reza Amidi, P.O. Box 18118, Jabel-Ali Free Zone, Dubai-UAE; Lavantia, Ltd., 16 Kyraikou Matsi Ave, 3rd Floor, 1082 Nicosia, Cyprus; Lavantia, Ltd., Strovoulou 77, Strovoulos Center Suite 202, Strovoulos P.C. 2018, Nicosia, Cyprus; Mita Zarek, 16 Kyraikou Matsi Ave, 3rd Floor, 1082 Nicosia, Cyprus; Mita Zarek, Strovoulou 77, Strovoulos Center Suite 202, Strovoulos P.C. 2018, Nicosia, Cyprus; Respondents.

Order Renewing Temporary Denial Order

Pursuant to Section 766.24(d) of the Export Administration Regulations ("EAR"),¹ the Bureau of Industry and Security ("BIS"), U.S. Department of Commerce, through its Office of Export Enforcement ("OEE"), has requested that I renew for 180 days an Order temporarily denying the export privileges under the EAR ("TDO") of:

(1) AVIATION SERVICES INTERNATIONAL B.V., P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK, and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL.

(2) DELTA LOGISTICS, B.V., P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK, and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL.

(3) ROBERT KRAAIPOEL, P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK, and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL.

(4) NIELS KRAAIPOEL, P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK, and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL.

(5) T.P.C., B.V., P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK,

¹ The EAR are currently codified at 15 CFR Parts 730-774 (2008). The EAR are issued under the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. §§ 2401-2420 (2000)) ("EAA"). Since August 21, 2001, the EAA has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 CFR, 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), as extended by the Notice of August 15, 2007 (72 FR 46137 (Aug. 16, 2007)), has continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701-1706 (2000)) ("IEEPA").

and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL, and P.O. Box 11, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AA.

(6) MIA VAN GEMERT, P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK, and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL.

(7) MOJIR TRADING, P.O. Box 18118, Jabel-Ali Free Zone, Dubai-UAE.

(8) REZA AMIDI, P.O. Box 18118, Jabel-Ali Free Zone, Dubai-UAE.

(9) LAVANTIA, Ltd., 16 Kyriakou Matsi Ave, 3rd Floor, 1082 Nicosia, Cyprus, and Strovolou 77, Strovolos Center Suite 202, Strovolos P.C. 2018, Nicosia, Cyprus.

(10) MITA ZAREK, 16 Kyriakou Matsi Ave, 3rd Floor, 1082 Nicosia, Cyprus, and Strovolou 77, Strovolos Center Suite 202, Strovolos P.C. 2018, Nicosia, Cyprus. (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Respondents") for 180 days.

On October 1, 2007, I found that the evidence presented by BIS demonstrated that the Respondents have knowingly violated the EAR on multiple occasions between 2005 and 2007, by making false statements regarding the end-user and country of ultimate destination involving the export of items subject to the EAR from the United States. The evidence showed that Respondents concealed the true ultimate destination for the items, which was Iran, and that Respondents did not have the required U.S.

Government authorization for the transactions. Additionally, in August 2007, Respondents Aviation Services International B.V. ("ASI"), Robert Kraaiipoel, Delta Logistics B.V. ("Delta") and T.P.C. B.V. ("TPC") were criminally charged with five counts for similar misconduct involving the unlicensed export of U.S. origin items to Iran, including criminal violations of IEEPA and false statements as well. I further found that such violations had been significant, deliberate and covert, and were likely to occur again, especially given the nature of the transactions. For these reasons, I found that an imminent violation existed within the meaning of Section 766.24(b)(2). As such, a Temporary Denial Order ("TDO") was needed to give notice to persons and companies in the United States and abroad that they should cease dealing with the Respondents in export transactions involving items subject to the EAR. Issuance of the TDO, rendered effective as of October 10, 2007, the date of publication in the **Federal Register**, was consistent with the public interest to preclude future violations of the EAR.

OEE has presented additional evidence showing that on multiple occasions Lavantia, Ltd. and its owner,

Mita Zarek, have knowingly violated the TDO by continuing to engage in unauthorized transactions involving items subject to the EAR. Specifically, evidence shows that on or about November 26, 2007 and on or about February 9, 2008, shipper's export declarations ("SEDs") were filed in the Automated Tracking System, listing Lavantia, Ltd. as the ultimate consignee for exports involving U.S. origin items subject to the EAR. The SEDs show that Respondents Lavantia, Ltd. and Mita Zarek are using a different address in Cyprus to receive the items. The new address is Strovolou 77, Strovolos Center Suite 202, Strovolos P.C. 2018, Nicosia, Cyprus.

In addition, the criminal charges against ASI, Robert Kraaiipoel, Delta and TPC are still pending, and an arrest warrant is outstanding for Robert Kraaiipoel.

I now find, based on the circumstances that led to the initial issuance of the TDO and the additional evidence supplied by OEE, that the renewal of this TDO for a period of the 180 days is necessary and in the public interest, to prevent an imminent violation of the EAR. I also find it necessary to add the additional address for Respondents Lavantia, Ltd. and Mita Zarek to the Order. All parties to this TDO have been given notice of the request for renewal.

It is therefore ordered:

First, that the Respondents, AVIATION SERVICES INTERNATIONAL B.V., P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK, and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL; DELTA LOGISTICS, B.V., P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK, and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL; ROBERT KRAAIPOEL, Director and Principal Officer of Aviation Services International B.V., P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK, and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL; NIELS KRAAIPOEL, Aviation Services International Sales Manager, P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK, and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL; T.P.C., B.V., P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK, and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL, and P.O. Box 11, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AA; MIA VAN GEMERT, Managing Director of Aviation Services International, P.O. Box 418, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1700AK, and Fleming Straat 36, Heerhugowaard, Netherlands 1704SL; MOJIR TRADING, P.O. Box 18118,

Jabel-Ali Free Zone, Dubai-UAE; REZA AMIDI, P.O. Box 18118, Jabel-Ali Free Zone, Dubai-UAE; LAVANTIA, LTD., 16 Kyriakou Matsi Ave, 3rd Floor, 1082 Nicosia, Cyprus, and Strovolou 77, Strovolos Center Suite 202, Strovolos P.C. 2018, Nicosia, Cyprus; and MITA ZAREK, owner of Lavantia, Ltd., 16 Kyriakou Matsi Ave, 3rd Floor, 1082 Nicosia, Cyprus, and Strovolou 77, Strovolos Center Suite 202, Strovolos P.C. 2018, Nicosia, Cyprus (collectively the "Denied Persons") may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Export Administration Regulations ("EAR"), or in any other activity subject to the EAR, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or in any other activity subject to the EAR; or

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or in any other activity subject to the EAR.

Second, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Persons any item subject to the EAR;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Persons of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Persons acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Persons of any item subject to the EAR that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Persons in the United States any item subject to the EAR with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the EAR that has

been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Persons, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Persons if such service involves the use of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the EAR, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to any of the Denied Persons by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

Fourth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the EAR where the only items involved that are subject to the EAR are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(e) of the EAR, the Respondents may, at any time, appeal this Order by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(d) of the EAR, BIS may seek renewal of this Order by filing a written request with the Assistant Secretary not later than 20 days before the expiration date and serving the request on the Respondents. The Respondents may oppose a request to renew this Order by filing a written submission with the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement, which must be received not later than seven days before the expiration date of the Order.

A copy of this Order shall be served on the Respondents and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order is effective as of the date that it is signed and shall remain in effect for 180 days.

Entered this 4th day of April 2008.

Darryl W. Jackson,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. E8-7683 Filed 4-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-533-838

Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

SUMMARY: On December 7, 2007, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbazole violet pigment 23 from India. The review covers exports of this merchandise to the United States by Alpanil Industries for the period of review December 1, 2005, through November 30, 2006. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments we received from interested parties and the information we obtained after the preliminary results, we have made changes in the margin calculation for the final results of this review. The final weighted-average margin is listed below in the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 11, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yang Jin Chun at (202) 482-5760 or Richard Rimlinger at (202) 482-4477, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 7, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of review on carbazole violet pigment 23 (CVP 23) from India and invited interested parties to comment. See *Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 69184 (December 7, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). On January 10, 2008, Alpanil Industries (Alpanil) filed a case brief in which the company raised two substantive issues. On January 15, 2008, the petitioners¹ and a domestic interested party² filed rebuttal briefs.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this antidumping duty order is CVP 23

identified as Color Index No. 51319 and Chemical Abstract No. 6358-30-1, with the chemical name of diindolo [3,2 b:3 ,2 -m]³ triphenodioxazine, 8,18-dichloro-5, 15-diethyl-5, 15-dihydro-, and molecular formula of C₃₄H₂₂Cl₂N₄O₂. The subject merchandise includes the crude pigment in any form (e.g., dry powder, paste, wet cake) and finished pigment in the form of presscake and dry color. Pigment dispersions in any form (e.g., pigment dispersed in oleoresins, flammable solvents, water) are not included within the scope of the investigation. The merchandise subject to this antidumping duty order is classifiable under subheading 3204.17.90.40 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Analysis of the Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by interested parties to this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (Decision Memo) from Deputy Assistant Secretary Stephen J. Claeys to Assistant Secretary David M. Spooner dated April 7, 2008, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded in the Decision Memo is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Decision Memo, which is a public document, is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), main Department of Commerce building, Room 1117, and is accessible on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received and based on our own analysis of the preliminary results, we have made changes to the margin calculation with respect to three issues.

Sales Analyzed

Data we obtained from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) after we received the case and rebuttal briefs indicated that additional sales of subject merchandise Alpanil reported in its U.S. sales database entered the United States

³ The bracketed section of the product description, [3,2-b:3 ,2 -m], is not business-proprietary information. In this case, the brackets are simply part of the chemical nomenclature. See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India*, 69 FR 77988 (December 29, 2004) (*Antidumping Duty Order*).

¹ Nation Ford Chemical Company and Sun Chemical Corporation.

² Clariant Corporation.