#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

#### Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Public Law 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before August 21, 2008. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 2104.

Docket Number: 08–022. Applicant: National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 1617 Cole Blvd., Golden, CO 80401. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Quanta 600 FEG. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study the microstructure and composition of material used in photovoltaic (solar cell) applications (mostly semiconductor materials). It will also be used to characterize a variety of nano-structured materials such as quantum dots and carbon nanotubes. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: May 8, 2008.

Docket Number: 08–025. Applicant: Oklahoma State University, 203 Whitehurst, Stillwater, OK 74078-3011. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Quanta 600 FEG. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: This instrument will be used to study the basic properties of various types of nanomaterials. Specifically, the instrument is intended to be used to investigate microbial interactions with geologic media, including the role of microbial nanowires in geoelectrical properties of biostimulated sediments. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: May 9, 2008.

Docket Number: 08–028. Applicant: National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 1617 Cole Blvd., Golden, CO 80401. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model NOVA 630 NanoSEM. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study the microstructure and composition of material used in photovoltaic (solar cell) applications, mostly semiconductor materials. It will also be used to characterize a variety of nano-structured materials such as quantum dots and carbon nanotubes. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 12, 2008.

Docket Number: 08-031. Applicant: University of Rochester Medical Center, 575 Elmwood Avenue, Box 626, Rochester, NY 14642. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Hitachi H-7650. Manufacturer: Hitachi High-Technologies Corp., Japan. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to perform nanotoxicology experiments on biological tissues and cells. Specifically, the instrument will be used to find and confirm the presence of nanoparticle elements in biological tissue. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 19, 2008.

Docket Number: 08–035. Applicant: Washington State University, French Administration Building, 220, P.O. Box 641020, Pullman, Washington 99164-1020. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model FEI Quanta 200. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used for several purposes, including the following: Researching the cell biology of certain plant tissue (e.g., the phloem) and studying plant systematics and evolution, researching particle and microbial material surface interactions, and studying the physical chemistry of polymers and composites. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: July 3, 2008.

Docket Number: 08-037. Applicant: Duke University, 2351 Erwin Rd., Durham, NC 27710. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1400. Manufacturer: JEOL, Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used for ultra structural analysis and immunolocalization of proteins. The experiment aims at defining the ultra structures of normal and diseased tissues of the visual system and at the localization of specific proteins important for function within the tissues, in an effort to preserve and restore sight. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: July 18, 2008.

Dated: July 29, 2008.

## Faye Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. E8–17702 Filed 7–31–08; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

# Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is automatically initiating a five-year review ("Sunset Review") of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders listed below. The International Trade Commission ("the Commission") is publishing concurrently with this notice its notice of *Institution of Five-year Review* which covers the same orders.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 1, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Department official identified in the Initiation of Review section below at AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230. For information from the Commission contact Mary Messer, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission at (202) 205–3193.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

The Department's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in its Procedures for Conducting Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) and 70 FR 62061 (October 28, 2005). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3 - Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year (≥Sunset'') Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders: Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998).

#### **Initiation of Review**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(c), we are initiating the Sunset Review of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders:

DOC Case No.	ITC Case No.	Country	Product	Department Contact
A-570-849	731-TA-753 (Second Review)	PRC	Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel	Demitrios Kalogeropoulos (202) 482–2623
A-821-808	731-TA-754 (Second Review) (Suspended)	Russia	Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel	Sally Gannon (202) 482-0162
A-823-808	731–TA–756	Ukraine	Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel (Second Review) (Suspended)	Judith Rudman (202) 482-0192

#### Filing Information

As a courtesy, we are making information related to Sunset proceedings, including copies of the pertinent statute and Department's regulations, the Department schedule for Sunset Reviews, a listing of past revocations and continuations, and current service lists, available to the public on the Department's sunset Internet Web site at the following address: "http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset/." All submissions in these Sunset Reviews must be filed in accordance with the Department's regulations regarding format, translation, service, and certification of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103 (c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Because deadlines in Sunset Reviews can be very short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order ("APO") immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The Department's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306.

# **Information Required from Interested Parties**

Domestic interested parties defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)) wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate.

The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Department's regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to

participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically revoke the order without further review. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department's regulations provide that all parties wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must file complete substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal **Register** of this notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order–specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Also, note that the Department's information requirements are distinct from the Commission's information requirements.<sup>1</sup> Please consult the Department's regulations for information regarding the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews. Please consult the Department's regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218 (c).

Dated: July 24, 2008.

## Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–17709 Filed 7–31–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

A-533-809

### Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India; Preliminary Intent to Rescind Administrative Review and Rescission in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration,

Department of Commerce. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges (stainless steel flanges) from India manufactured by Pradeep Metals Limited (Pradeep), Echjay Forgings Pvt., Ltd. (Echjay) and Hotmetal Forge (India) Pvt, Ltd. (Hotmetal). The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2007, through January 31, 2008. We preliminarily determine that Pradeep had no bona fide U.S. sales during the period of review (POR), and therefore, we intend to rescind the review. We are also rescinding the review with respect to Echjay and Hotmetal because they both withdrew their requests for the review, and no other party requested a review of these companies.

We invite interested parties to comment on this preliminary intent to rescind with respect to Pradeep. Parties who submit argument in these proceedings are requested to submit with the argument: (1) a statement of the issues; and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 1, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2924 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On February 9, 1994, the Department published the antidumping duty order

¹In comments made on the interim final sunset regulations, a number of parties stated that the proposed five-day period for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation was insufficient. This requirement was retained in the final sunset regulations at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b), however, the Department will consider individual requests to extend that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.