

Land Management Plan, will be made available for review and comment in the spring of 2010. The CER was further supplemented in December 2009 to conform to the Need for Change AMS requirements of the 1982 rule provisions. The needs for change previously identified in the CER have been verified by this supplementary information; no new needs for change were identified.

Although the 2008 planning rule is no longer in effect, information gathered prior to the court's injunction is useful for completing the plan revision using the provisions of the 1982 planning rule. The Cibola National Forest has concluded that the following material developed during the plan revision process to date is appropriate for continued use in the revision process:

- The CER was completed in September 2008. It forms the basis for need to change the existing Forest Plan and the proposed action for the plan revision to develop a National Grasslands-specific plan.

- The Kiowa, Rita Blanca, Black Kettle and McClellan Creek National Grasslands CER Supplementary Document to meet AMS Requirements, December 2009 (as described above).

- The Ecological Sustainability Report (ESR) that was completed in August, 2008 will continue to be used as a reference in the planning process as appropriate to those items in conformance with the 2000 planning rule transition language and 1982 planning rule provisions. This is scientific information and is not affected by the change of planning rule. This information will be updated with any new available information.

- The Socio-economic Sustainability Report that was completed in August 2008 is not affected by the change in planning rule and will continue to be used as a reference in the planning process. This information will be updated with any new available information.

- The Kiowa National Grassland Potential Wilderness Evaluation Report for the Canadian River Potential Wilderness Area, completed in October 2008.

- USDA FS, Southwestern Region, Mid-Scale Vegetation Analysis, June 2009 (BKMC NG); November 2009 (KRB NG); an inventory of current vegetation conditions.

- USDA FS, Southwestern Region, Potential Natural Vegetation Types, 2008. A simulation of vegetation inventory pre-European settlement, which functions as the reference condition for current analysis.

- USDA Cibola National Forest, Kiowa and Rita Blanca National Grasslands, Geographic Area Assessments, v. 1, 1999.

- USDA Cibola National Forest, Black Kettle and McClellan Creek National Grasslands, Geographic Area Assessments, v. 1, 2000.

All of the above described documents are either available on the Forest's Web site: http://www.fs.fed.us/r3/cibola/plan-revision/national_grasslands/index.shtml or by contacting the Cibola National Forest at the address provided in the Address section of this notice.

As necessary or appropriate, the above listed material will be further adjusted as part of the planning process using the provisions of the 1982 planning rule.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1600–1614; 36 CFR 219.35 (74 FR 67073–67074).

Dated: January 11, 2010.

Nancy Rose,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 2010–689 Filed 1–14–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. APHIS-2009-0087]

Wildlife Services; Availability of an Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: We are advising the public that we have prepared an environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact relative to oral rabies vaccination programs in several States. The environmental assessment made available by this notice analyzes the further expansion of the oral rabies vaccination program to include the States of New Mexico and Arizona, which is necessary to effectively combat the gray fox variant of the rabies virus. The environmental assessment provides a basis for our conclusion that the expansion of the oral rabies vaccination program will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Based on its finding of no significant impact, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that an environmental impact statement need not be prepared.

ADDRESSES: To obtain copies of the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact, contact Mr.

Kevin Williams, Operational Support Staff, WS, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 87, Riverdale, MD 20737-1234; phone (301) 734-4937, fax (301) 734-5157, or email:

(Kevin.E.Williams@aphis.usda.gov). The environmental assessment and finding or no significant impact are also posted on the APHIS Web site at (http://www.aphis.usda.gov/regulations/ws/ws_nepa_environmental_documents.shtml).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Dennis Slate, Rabies Program Coordinator, Wildlife Services, APHIS, 59 Chenell Drive, Suite 7, Concord, NH 03301; (603) 223-9623.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Wildlife Services (WS) program in the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) cooperates with Federal agencies, State and local governments, and private individuals to research and implement the best methods of managing conflicts between wildlife and human health and safety, agriculture, property, and natural resources. Wildlife-borne diseases that can affect domestic animals and humans are among the types of conflicts that APHIS-WS addresses. Wildlife is the dominant reservoir of rabies in the United States.

On November 24, 2009, we published a notice¹ in the **Federal Register** (74 FR 61319-61321, Docket No. APHIS-2009-0087) in which we made available, for review and comment, a proposed environmental assessment that analyzed the further expansion of the oral rabies vaccination program to include the States of New Mexico and Arizona, which is necessary to effectively combat the gray fox variant of the rabies virus. In that notice, we stated that the new environmental assessment is intended to facilitate planning and interagency coordination in the event of rabies outbreaks, help streamline program management, and clearly communicate to the public the actions involved in the oral rabies vaccination program.

We solicited comments on the proposed environmental assessment for 30 days ending on December 24, 2009. We received 102 comments by that date. The comments, which were almost entirely supportive of the vaccination program, are addressed in an attachment to the finding of no significant impact.

In this document, we are advising the public of our finding of no significant impact regarding the further expansion

¹To view the notice, environmental assessment, finding of no significant impact, and comments, go to (<http://www.regulations.gov/fdmspublic/component/main?main=DocketDetail&d=APHIS-2009-0087>).

of the oral rabies vaccination program to include the States of New Mexico and Arizona. The finding, which is based on the environmental assessment, reflects our determination that this expansion of the oral rabies vaccination program will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

The environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact may be viewed on the Regulations.gov Web site (see footnote 1). Copies are also available from the individual listed under **ADDRESSES** and may be viewed in our reading room at USDA, room 1141, South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Persons wishing to inspect copies are requested to call ahead on (202) 690-2817 to facilitate entry into the reading room. In addition, copies may be obtained by calling or writing to the individual listed under **ADDRESSES**.

The environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact have been prepared in accordance with: (1) The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), (2) regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), (3) USDA regulations implementing NEPA (7 CFR part 1b), and (4) APHIS' NEPA Implementing Procedures (7 CFR part 372).

Done in Washington, DC, this 12th day of January 2010.

Kevin Shea

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 2010-806 Filed 1-14-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-S

THE BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Submission for OMB; Comment Request

AGENCY: The Broadcasting Board of Governors.

ACTION: Submission for OMB review; comment request.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 [Pub. L. 104-13; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 3506(c)(2)(A)], this notice announces that the information collection activity titled, "Surveys and Other Audience Research for Radio and TV Marti" has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for

review and comment. The Broadcasting Board of Governors (BBG) is requesting reinstatement of this collection for a three-year period and approval of a revision to the burden hours. The information collection activity involved with this program is conducted pursuant to the mandate given to the BBG (formerly the United States Information Agency) in accordance with Public Law 98-111, the Radio Broadcasting to Cuba Act, dated, October 4, 1983, to provide for the broadcasting of accurate information to the people of Cuba and for other purposes. This act was amended by Public Law 101-246, dated, February 16, 1990, which established the authority for TV Marti.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before February 16, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Cathy Brown, the BBG Clearance Officer, BBG, IBB/A, Room 1274, 330 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20237, telephone (202) 203-4664, e-mail address cbrown@IBB.GOV; or Mr. Nicholas Fraser, the OMB Desk Officer for BBG, via fax at 202-395-7285 or by e-mail at: Nicholas_A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov.

Copies: Copies of the proposed collection submitted to OMB for approval may be obtained from the BBG Clearance Officer or the OMB Desk Officer for BBG.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on October 19, 2009, Volume 74, Number 200, Pages 53464-53465.

Public reporting burden for this proposed collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes (.50 of an hour) per response for field survey respondents (600), and 240 minutes (4 hours) for Focus Group Study respondents (48), and 153 minutes (2.33 hours) for 120 Panel Group Study respondents based on one panel study, 10 respondents per month for 12 months, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Responses are voluntary and respondents will be required to respond only one time. Comments are requested on the proposed information collection concerning:

(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility;

(b) The accuracy of the Agency's burden estimates;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information to Ms. Cathy Brown, the BBG Clearance Officer, BBG, IBB/A, Room 1274, 330 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20237, telephone (202) 203-4664, e-mail address cbrown@ibb.gov; or to Mr. Nicholas Fraser, the OMB Desk Officer for BBG, via fax at 202-395-7285 or by e-mail at: Nicholas_A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov.

Current Actions: BBG is requesting reinstatement of this collection for a three-year period and approval for a revision to the burden hours.

Title: Interviews and Other Audience Research for Radio and TV Marti.

Abstract: Data from this information collection are used by BBG's Office of Cuba Broadcasting (OCB) in fulfillment of its mandate to evaluate effectiveness of Radio and TV Marti operations by estimating the audience size and composition for broadcasts; and assess signal reception, credibility and relevance of programming through this research.

Proposed Frequency of Responses

Number of Respondents—600 Field Study + 48 Group Study + 120 Panel Study = 768
Recordkeeping Hours—.50 Field Study + 4 Group Study + 2.38 Panel Study Group = (300) + (192) + (280) = Total Annual Burden—772

Dated: January 8, 2010.

Marie Lennon,

Chief of Staff, IBB.

[FR Doc. 2010-706 Filed 1-14-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8610-01-P

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

Sunshine Act; Notice of Meeting

In connection with its investigation into a natural gas explosion that occurred at the ConAgra production facility in Garner, North Carolina the