

## EXHIBIT 3—ESTIMATED TOTAL AND ANNUALIZED COST—Continued

Cost component	Total cost	Annual cost
Overhead .....	85,629	57,086
Total .....	449,976	299,984

*Request for Comments*

In accordance with the above-cited Paperwork Reduction Act legislation, comments on AHRQ's information collection are requested with regard to any of the following: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of AHRQ healthcare research and healthcare information dissemination functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of AHRQ's estimate of burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection(s) of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information upon the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the Agency's subsequent request for OMB approval of the proposed information collection. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 8, 2010.

**Carolyn M. Clancy,**  
*Director.*

[FR Doc. 2010-14864 Filed 6-18-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-90-M

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60-Day-10-10EG]

#### Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and

Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404-639-5960 and send comments to Maryam I. Daneshvar, CDC Acting Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to [omb@cdc.gov](mailto:omb@cdc.gov).

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

#### Proposed Project

Audience Analysis for Biomonitoring—New—National Center for Environmental Health/Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (NCEH/ATSDR), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

#### Background and Brief Description

People's exposure to environmental chemicals can be a risk to their health. Scientists at the CDC use biomonitoring, which is the measurement of environmental chemicals in human tissues and fluids, to assess such exposure. Biomonitoring findings, however, do not typically provide information on health risks and toxicity data often lag behind new biomonitoring data. The health effects on humans are, therefore, often uncertain or unknown, particularly, for many new or "emerging" chemicals. Nevertheless, communicating biomonitoring findings for those

charged with this task is necessary, especially due to the growing media coverage and public concern about chemicals found in the human body. The demand for answers and decreasing patience with uncertainty characterizes the interpretation of such results. This poses enormous challenges to those tasked to communicate such findings to both scientific and non-scientific audiences without a biomonitoring background.

The CDC is, therefore, interested in developing a framework for communicating health risk messages, particularly about emerging environmental chemicals, to the attentive public audience such as selected women who are pregnant or have very young children. The three environmental chemicals, Bisphenol A (BPA), phthalates, and mercury have been selected for this study. They are of particular interest to these selected women as the risks of exposure are higher for very young children because of their hand-to-mouth behaviors and direct oral (mouth) contact with materials containing these chemicals. Furthermore, young children eat and drink more per pound of body weight than adults.

Focus groups will be conducted in different parts of the country with selected women. During phase one, eight exploratory focus groups will be conducted to develop messaging strategies and the results will be used in the development of preliminary messages about the emerging chemicals. The second phase will include six message testing focus groups to determine which messages are most attractive and compelling in terms of communicating health risk information about emerging chemicals.

Participants will be recruited via standard focus group recruitment methods. Most will come from an existing database (or list) of potential participants maintained by the focus group facility. There is no cost to respondents.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden (in hours)
Recruitment screener .....	252	1	5/60	21
Exploratory Focus Groups .....	72	1	2	144
Message Testing Focus Groups .....	54	1	2	108
Total .....				273

Dated: June 3, 2010.  
**Maryam I. Daneshvar,**  
*Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*  
 [FR Doc. 2010-14873 Filed 6-18-10; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4163-18-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**National Institutes of Health**

**National Institute of Child Health and Human Development; Revision to Proposed Collection; Comment Request; The National Children’s Study (NCS), Vanguard (Pilot) Study**

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of Section 3507(a)(1)(D) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review and approval of the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on March 22, 2010, pages 14165–14168, and allowed 60 days for public comment. One comment was received. The comment questioned the value and utility of the proposed data collection, stating that this type of research is not needed. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment. The National Institutes of Health may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after

October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.  
*Proposed Collection: Title:* Pilot Study for the National Children’s Study, *Type of Information Collection Request:* Revision, *Affected entities:* Households and individuals. *Types of respondents:* People potentially affected by this action are pregnant women, women age 18–49 years of age, their husbands or partners, and their children who live in selected areas within National Children’s Study sites. Health care professionals, community leaders, and child care personnel are also potentially affected. *Frequency of Response:* On occasion. See burden table for estimated number of annual responses for each respondent. *Need and use of information collection:* The purpose of the proposed methodological study is to evaluate the feasibility, acceptability, and cost of three separate recruitment strategies for enrollment of women into a prospective, national longitudinal study of child health and development. This Recruitment Substudy is a component of the Vanguard Phase of the National Children’s Study (NCS). In combination, the studies in the Vanguard Phase will be used to inform the design of the Main Study of the National Children’s Study.

This data collection will evaluate the feasibility, acceptability and cost of three separate recruitment strategies for enrollment of women into the NCS. Up to 30 additional sites will be added to the NCS Vanguard Cohort, as reflected in the burden table, in order to ensure an adequate cohort size. These additional sites will be chosen from among those already identified for the

Main Study of the NCS. Across these additional sites, three alternate recruitment strategies will be assessed:

- An enhanced household enumeration strategy that builds on the lessons learned in the existing Vanguard Study by enhancing enumeration techniques and employing a more streamlined recruitment process;
- A provider based recruitment strategy that relies on health care providers for assistance in participant identification and recruitment; and
- A two-tiered recruitment strategy that relies on larger secondary sampling units to increase the number of geographically-eligible women in a given area, and allows for both higher-intensity and lower-intensity forms of data collection.

The feasibility (technical performance), acceptability (respondent tolerance and impact on study infrastructure), and cost (operations, time, and effort) of each of these three strategies will be evaluated using pre-determined measures. The findings will be assessed and used to inform the strategies, or combinations of strategies, that might be used in the Main Study of the NCS. Further details pertaining to the NCS background and planning can be found at: <http://www.nationalchildrensstudy.gov>.

*Burden statement:* The public burden for this study will vary depending on the eligibility and pregnancy status of potential participants at the time of household screening and the method of recruitment. The table below provides an annualized average burden per person for each stage of the Recruitment Substudy.

TABLE A.2—ESTIMATED HOUR BURDEN AND COST FOR RECRUITMENT SUBSTUDY RESPONDENTS—STAGE 1 [July 2010 to December 2010]

Recruitment strategy	Activity	Type of respondent	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Hours per response	Annual hour burden
<b>Provider-based: 10 Study Locations</b>			<b>Projected for Stage 1 (July 2010–December 2010)</b>			
	Screening Activities Address Look-Up .....	Age-Eligible Women.	7,500	1	0.1	750