

**Deletions****Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification**

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. If approved, the action will not result in additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities.

2. If approved, the action may result in authorizing small entities to furnish a product and a service to the Government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with a product and a service proposed for deletion from the Procurement List.

**End of Certification**

The following product and service are proposed for deletion from the Procurement List:

**Product****Paper Holder & Micro Note Holder**

NSN: 7510-01-484-0011

NPA: The Lighthouse for the Blind, Inc. (Seattle Lighthouse), Seattle, WA

Contracting Activity: Federal Acquisition Service, GSA/FSS OFC SUP CTR—Paper Products, New York, NY

**Service**

Service Type/Location: Facilities Maintenance, NASA Dryden Flight Research Center, Edwards, CA

NPA: PRIDE Industries, Roseville, CA

Contracting Activity: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, NASA Headquarters, Washington, DC

**Patricia Briscoe,**

*Deputy Director, Business Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2010-15489 Filed 6-24-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6353-01-P**

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION****Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review**

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice; Information Collection 3038-0019, Stocks of Grain in Licensed Warehouses.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget

(OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected costs and burden; it includes the actual data collection instruments [if any].

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before July 26, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY**

**CONTACT:** Gary Martinaitis at CFTC, (202) 418-5209; FAX: (202) 418-5527; e-mail: [gmartinaitis@cftc.gov](mailto:gmartinaitis@cftc.gov) and refer to OMB Control No. 3038-0019.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* Stocks of Grain in Licensed Warehouses, OMB Control No. 3038-0019.

This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection.

*Abstract:* Under Commission Regulation 1.44, 17 CFR 1.44, contract markets must require operators of warehouses regular for delivery to keep records on stocks of commodities and make reports on call by the Commission. The regulation is designed to assist the Commission in prevention of market manipulation and is promulgated pursuant to the Commission's rulemaking authority contained in section 5a of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. 7a.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for the CFTC's regulations were published on December 30, 1981. See 46 FR 63035 (Dec. 30, 1981). The **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on April 13, 2010 (75 FR 18824).

*Burden statement:* The respondent burden for this collection is estimated to average 1 hour per response. This estimate includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

*Respondents/Affected Entities:* 3.

*Estimated number of responses:* 156.

*Estimated total annual burden on respondents:* 156 hours.

*Frequency of collection:* Weekly.

Send comments regarding the burden estimated or any other aspect of the

information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the addresses listed below. Please refer to OMB Control No. 3038-0019 in any correspondence.

Gary Martinaitis, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581 and Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Office for CFTC, 725 17th Street, Washington, DC 20503.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 21, 2010.

**David A. Stawick,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2010-15377 Filed 6-24-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6351-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****Office of the Secretary****Draft Environmental Impact Statement Addressing Campus Development at Fort Meade, MD**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; notice of public meeting; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense (DOD) announces the availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) as part of the environmental planning process for a Campus Development Project at Fort George G. Meade, Maryland (hereafter referred to as Fort Meade). The DOD proposes the development of a portion of Fort Meade (referred to as "Site M") as an operational complex and to construct and operate consolidated facilities to meet the National Security Agency's (NSA) continually evolving requirements and for Intelligence Community use. The purpose of the proposed action is to provide facilities that are fully-supportive of the Intelligence Community's mission. The action is driven by the need to co-locate key partnering organizations to ensure required capabilities for current and future missions are achieved.

This notice announces a 45-day comment period and provides information on how to participate in the public review process. The public comment period for the Draft EIS will officially end 45 days after publication of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**.

**DATES:** There will be an open house beginning at 4:30 p.m. followed by a public meeting from 5 p.m. to 7 p.m. on July 21, 2010 (see **ADDRESSES** for meeting location). The public meeting

may end earlier or later than the stated time depending on the number of persons wishing to speak. All materials that are submitted in response to the Draft EIS should be received by August 13, 2010, to provide sufficient time to be considered in preparation of the Final EIS.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the Draft EIS are available for your review at the Fort Meade Main Post Library, 4418 Llewellyn Avenue, Fort Meade, MD 20755. You may also call (301) 688-2970 or send an e-mail to [CampusEIS@hdrinc.com](mailto:CampusEIS@hdrinc.com) to request a copy of the Draft EIS.

The open house and scoping meeting will be held at the Fort Meade Middle School, 1103 26th Street, Fort Meade, Maryland 20755. Oral and written comments will be accepted at the scoping meeting. You can also submit written comments to "Campus Development EIS" c/o HDR|e2M, 2751 Prosperity Avenue, Suite 200, Fairfax, VA 22031 or submitted by e-mail to [CampusEIS@hdrinc.com](mailto:CampusEIS@hdrinc.com).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Jeffrey Williams at (301) 688-2970, or e-mail [jdwill2@nsa.gov](mailto:jdwill2@nsa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Background:* The NSA is a tenant DOD agency on Fort Meade. NSA is a high-technology organization that is on the frontier of communications and data processing. In order to meet evolving mission requirements, the development of a modern operational complex is needed at the NSA campus on Fort Meade.

*Proposed Action and Alternatives:* The Campus Development Project was initiated to provide a modern operational complex to meet the evolving mission requirements of NSA and the Intelligence Community. Development is proposed for a portion of Fort Meade (referred to as "Site M") adjacent to the NSA campus. Site M is divided into northern (Site M-1, 137 acres) and southern (Site M-2, 90 acres) portions. DOD proposes that development of Site M occur in three option phases over a horizon of approximately 20 years.

- *Proposed Action (Phase I).* Development would occur in the near term (approximately 2012 to 2014) on the eastern half of Site M-1, supporting 1.8 million square feet (ft<sup>2</sup>) of facilities for NSA to consolidate mission elements, enabling services, and support services across the campus based on function; servicing the need for more collaborative environment and optimal adjacencies, including associated infrastructure (e.g., electrical substation and generator plants providing 50

megawatts of electricity) and administrative functions for up to 6,500 personnel. This phase would also include a steam and chilled water plant, water storage tower, and electrical substations and generator facilities capable of supporting the entire operational complex on Site M.

- *Alternative 1 (Phases I and II).* Alternative 1 would include the implementation of the Proposed Action (Phase I) along with Phase II. Phase II would occur in the mid-term (approximately 2020) on the western half of Site M-1, supporting 1.2 million ft<sup>2</sup> of administrative facilities.

- *Alternative 2 (Phases I, II, and III).* Alternative 2 would include the implementation of the Proposed Action (Phase I) along with Phases II and III. Development would occur on Site M-2 in the long term (approximately 2029), supporting an additional 2.8 million ft<sup>2</sup> of administrative facilities, bringing built space to 5.8 million ft<sup>2</sup> for up to 11,000 personnel.

Alternatives identified include each of the development phases identified above, as well as three options for redundant emergency backup power generation and various pollution control systems. The No Action Alternative (not undertaking the Campus Development Project) will also be analyzed in detail.

*Summary of Environmental Impacts:* The level of potential environmental impacts resulting from the Proposed Action and alternatives would primarily be dependent on the alternative ultimately selected. Environmental impacts would generally be more adverse for Alternatives 1 and 2 than for the Proposed Action due to the increase in building footprint and the number of additional personnel associated with the alternatives.

Generally, construction and demolition activities would be expected to result in some amount of ground disturbance. Short-term adverse on-site impacts on soil and water resources as a result of sedimentation, erosion, and storm water runoff are unavoidable. Construction and demolition activities also generate solid waste. These kinds of impacts would be expected regardless of the alternative chosen. Long-term operation of the complex would be expected to result in negligible to moderate impacts on land use, transportation, noise, air quality, biological resources, infrastructure, hazardous materials and waste, and socioeconomic resources. Potential significant impacts on cultural resources could occur under Alternative 2 if potentially historic properties are not treated as a design constraint and avoided.

*Best Management Practices and Mitigation Measures.* The Proposed Action has the potential to result in adverse environmental impacts. The Proposed Action includes best management practices, mitigation measures, and design concepts to avoid adverse impacts to the extent practicable. Unavoidable impacts would be minimized or compensated for, to the extent practicable. In accordance with Council on Environmental Quality regulations, mitigation measures must be considered for adverse environmental impacts. Once a particular impact associated with a proposed action is considered significant, then mitigation measures must be developed where it is feasible to do so.

Copies of the Draft EIS are available for public review at local repositories and by request (see **ADDRESSES**). The DOD invites public and agency input on the Draft EIS. Please submit comments and materials during the 45-day public review period to allow sufficient time for consideration in development of the Final EIS (see **DATES**).

Dated: June 22, 2010.

**Mitchell S. Bryman,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2010-15457 Filed 6-24-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Advisory Panel on Department of Defense Capabilities for Support of Civil Authorities After Certain Incidents

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Homeland Defense and America's Security Affairs), DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of multiple meetings by audio teleconference.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102-3.150, the Department of Defense announces that the Advisory Panel on Department of Defense Capabilities for Support of Civil Authorities after Certain Incidents (hereinafter referred to as the Advisory Panel) will take place by audio teleconference on July 7, 8, 9, and 12, 2010.

**DATES:** The meetings will be held: Wednesday, July 7, 2010, from 11:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time (hereinafter referred to as EDT).