

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2010).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the amended complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on October 8, 2010, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain liquid crystal display devices, including monitors, televisions, and modules, and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1 and 4 of the '941 patent; claims 1–4, 8, 11, 12, 14, 17, and 18 of the '063 patent; claims 1, 7–9, 11, 13, 14, and 16–18 of the '674 patent; claim 3 of the '556 patent; and claims 4, 7–10, and 14 of the '006 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Thomson Licensing SAS, 1–5 rue Jeanne d'Arc, 92130 Issy-les-Moulineaux, France.

Thomson Licensing LLC, 2 Independence Way, Princeton, New Jersey 08543.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the amended complaint is to be served:

Chimei Innolux Corporation, No. 160 Kesyue Road, Jhunan Science Park, Miaoli County 350, Taiwan.

Innolux Corporation, 2525 Brockton Drive, Suite 300, Austin, TX 78758.

Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., 101 Metro Drive, Suite 510, San Jose, CA 95110.

MStar Semiconductor, Inc., 4F–1, No. 26, Tai-Yuan Street, Chupei, Hsinchu Hsien, Taiwan 302.

Qisda Corporation, 157 Shan-Ying Road, Gueishan, Taoyuan 333, Taiwan.

Qisda America Corporation, 8941 Research Drive, Suite 200, Irvine, CA 92618.

Qisda (Suzhou) Co., Ltd., No. 169 Zhujiang Road, Suzhou, China 215015.

BenQ Corporation, 16 Jihu Road, Neihu, Taipei 114, Taiwan.

BenQ America Corp., 15375 Barranca Parkway, Suite A–205, Irvine, CA 92618.

BenQ Latin America, 8200 NW 33rd Street, Suite 301, Miami, FL 33122.

Realtek Semiconductor Corporation, No. 2 Innovation Road II, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Daniel L. Girdwood, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the amended complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the amended complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the amended complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the amended complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the amended complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the amended complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: October 12, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2010–26110 Filed 10–15–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–742]

### In the Matter of: Certain Digital Televisions and Components Thereof; Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 15, 2010, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of LG Electronics, Inc. of Korea. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital televisions and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. RE 37,070 (“the ‘070 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 6,785,906 (“the ‘906 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 6,598,233 (“the ‘233 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Kecia R. Reynolds, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International

Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2580.

*Authority:* The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2010).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on October 8, 2010, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital televisions and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1, 4-9, 12-14, and 17-30 of the '070 patent; claims 1-20 and 22-26 of the '906 patent; and claims 1-25 of the '233 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: LG Electronics, Inc., LG Twin Towers, 20, Yeouido-dong, Yeongdeungpo-gu, Seoul, 150-721, Korea.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Vizio, Inc., 39 Tesla, Irvine, CA 92618. AmTRAN Technology Co., Ltd., 17f, 268, Lien Cheng Rd., Chungho City, Taipei, 23553, Taiwan.

AmTRAN Logistic, Inc., 9531 Irvine Center Dr., Irvine, CA 92618-4654.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Kecia R. Reynolds, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a),

such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: October 12, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2010-26111 Filed 10-15-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on October 8, 2010 a proposed consent decree ("proposed Decree") in *United States v. Albert Investment Co., Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 08-637C, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma.

In this action under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a) ("CERCLA"), the United States and State of Oklahoma's complaint seeks reimbursement of response costs incurred or to be incurred for response actions taken and damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, including the reasonable costs of assessing such injury destruction or loss, at or in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Double Eagle Superfund Site, in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

The proposed Decree is an amended version of an earlier Decree which was lodged in the case, and which has now been withdrawn. The proposed Decree

requires the defendants to pay approximately \$6,268,643 to the United States and the State in reimbursement of past response costs and \$329,929 in state and federal natural resource damages. The proposed Decree provides the defendants with a covenant not to sue under Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607(a) that are subject various reopeners and reservations.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Albert Investment Co., Inc., et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-2-857/5.

The proposed Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Oklahoma, 210 Park Avenue, Suite 400, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202. During the public comment period, the proposed Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the proposed Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$20.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

**Maureen Katz,**

*Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2010-26136 Filed 10-15-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**