

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Parts 239 and 258**

[EPA-EPA-R10-RCRA-2010-0953; FRL-9247-5]

**Alaska: Adequacy of Alaska's Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Permit Program****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA Region 10 proposes to approve Alaska's modification of its approved Municipal Solid Waste Landfill (MSWLF) permit program. On March 22, 2004, EPA issued final regulations allowing Research, Development, and Demonstration (RD&D) permits to be issued to certain MSWLFs by approved states. On September 7, 2010 Alaska submitted an application to EPA Region 10 seeking Federal approval of its RD&D requirements.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing on or before February 3, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R10-RCRA-2010-0953, by one of the following methods:

- <http://www.regulations.gov>: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- *E-mail:* [calabro.domenic@epa.gov](mailto:calabro.domenic@epa.gov).
- *Fax:* (206) 553-6640, to the attention of Domenic Calabro
- *Mail:* Send written comments to Domenic Calabro, Office of Air, Waste, and Toxics, U.S. EPA, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Mailstop: AWT-122, Seattle, WA 98101.
- *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Deliver your comments to: Domenic Calabro, Office of Air, Waste, and Toxics, U.S. EPA, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Mailstop: AWT-122, Seattle, WA 98101. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Office's normal hours of operation.

For detailed instructions on how to submit comments, please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Domenic Calabro at (206) 553-6640 or by e-mail at [calabro.domenic@epa.gov](mailto:calabro.domenic@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving Alaska's Research, Development, and Demonstration (RD&D) permit program through a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a

noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments to this action. Unless we get written adverse comments which oppose this approval during the comment period, the direct final rule will become effective on the date it establishes, and we will not take further action on this proposal. If EPA receives written adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 22, 2010.

**Dennis J. McLerran,***Regional Administrator, EPA Region 10.*

[FR Doc. 2010-33195 Filed 1-3-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P****DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration****49 CFR Part 195**

[Docket ID PHMSA-2010-0229]

**RIN 2137-AE66****Pipeline Safety: Safety of On-Shore Hazardous Liquid Pipelines****AGENCY:** Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking; Extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On October 18, 2010, (75 FR 63774), PHMSA published in the **Federal Register** an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) titled: "Safety of On-Shore Hazardous Liquid Pipelines" seeking comments on the need for changes to the regulations covering hazardous liquid onshore pipelines. PHMSA has received requests to extend the comment period in order to have more time to evaluate the ANPRM. PHMSA has concurred in part with these requests and has extended the comment period from January 18, 2011, to February 18, 2011.

**DATES:** The closing date for filing comments is extended from January 18, 2011, until February 18, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should reference Docket No. PHMSA-2010-0229 and may be submitted in the following ways:

- *E-Gov Web Site:* <http://www.Regulations.gov>. This site allows

the public to enter comments on any **Federal Register** notice issued by any agency.

- *Fax:* 1-202-493-2251.
- *Mail:* DOT Docket Management System: U.S. DOT, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590-0001.

*Hand Delivery:* DOT Docket Management System; West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590-0001 between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

*Instructions:* You should identify the Docket No. PHMSA-2010-0229 at the beginning of your comments. If you submit your comments by mail, submit two copies. To receive confirmation that PHMSA received your comments, include a self-addressed stamped postcard. Internet users may submit comments at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**Note:** Comments are posted without changes or edits to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. There is a privacy statement published on <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information contact Mike Israni at 202-366-4566 or by e-mail at [mike.israni@dot.gov](mailto:mike.israni@dot.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On October 18, 2010, (75 FR 63774), PHMSA published an ANPRM seeking comments on the need for changes to the regulations covering hazardous liquid onshore pipelines. In particular, PHMSA is interested in knowing whether it should extend regulation to certain pipelines currently exempt from regulation; whether other areas along a pipeline should be identified for extra protection or be included as additional high consequences areas (HCAs) for Integrity Management (IM) protection; whether to establish and/or adopt standards and procedures for minimum leak detection requirements for all pipelines; whether to require the installation of emergency flow restricting devices (EFRDs) in certain areas; whether revised valve spacing requirements are needed on new construction or existing pipelines; whether repair timeframes should be specified for pipeline segments in areas outside the HCAs that are assessed as part of IM; and whether to establish and/or adopt standards and procedures for improving the methods of preventing, detecting, assessing and remediating stress corrosion cracking in