

Nations NOI also examines public safety and interoperability challenges on Tribal lands, including the widespread lack of 911 and E-911 services.

The *Native Nations NOI* also seeks comment on how to improve the Commission's processes and Best Practices—pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act—for the protection of Native sacred sites and consultation with Native Nations and Native Hawaiian Organizations in the review of communications tower sitings. In addition, the *Native Nations NOI* seeks comment on ways to make satellite-based services available for Native Nations, by addressing issues of cost, equipment, and market-entry points for Native Nations.

The *Native Nations NOI* seeks comment on the extent to which persons with disabilities living on Tribal lands experience barriers in using communications services and advanced technologies, and asks how the Commission can address those barriers. The *Native Nations NOI* also asks how the Commission can best structure a productive and efficient nation-to-nation consultation process unique to the mission of the Commission and the needs of Native Nations, recognizing that many consultations with the Federal government are occurring on many different and inter-related issues at any given time.

Finally, recognizing that the *Native Nations NOI* may not cover all of the communications challenges facing Native Nations and their communities, the document invites comment on other matters involved in the provision of communications services to Native communities that may warrant future Commission action.

Ordering Clauses

Pursuant to sections 1, 2, 4(i), 4(j), 7(a), 11, 214, 225, 254, 255, 301, 303(c), 303(f), 303(g), 303(r), 303(y), 308, 332, 403, 706, and 716 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 151, 152, 154(i), 154(j), 157(a), 161, 214, 225, 254, 255, 301, 303(c), 303(f), 303(g), 303(r), 303(y), 308, 332, 404, 706, and 716, and section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, 16 U.S.C. 470f, document FCC 11–30 is adopted.

Federal Communications Commission.

Bulah P. Wheeler,
Deputy Manager.

[FR Doc. 2011–7961 Filed 4–4–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 11–04]

Worldwide Logistics Co., Ltd.; Possible Violations of Sections 10(a)(1) and 10(b)(2) of the Shipping Act of 1984; Order of Investigation and Hearing

Worldwide Logistics Co., Ltd. (Worldwide) is a company based in the People's Republic of China, providing service as a non-vessel-operating common carrier (NVOCC). Worldwide registered with the FMC as a foreign-based NVOCC in September 2004. Worldwide's reported address is 14F–16F Junjiang International Tower, No. 228 Ning Guo Road, Yangpu District, Shanghai, PRC 200090. It is a part of the Worldwide Logistics Group, said to be one of the leading integrated logistics service providers in China.¹

Worldwide currently holds itself out as an NVOCC pursuant to its automated tariff No. 019194–001. Its tariff is maintained by Distribution Publications, Inc., and is published electronically at <https://www.dpiusa.com>. Worldwide currently maintains an NVOCC bond with Navigators Insurance Company, 6 International Drive, Rye Brook, NY 10573.

It appears that Worldwide originated and substantially participated in an ongoing practice of misdescribing cargo to the transporting ocean common carrier since at least April 2008. With respect to those shipments apparently misdescribed, Worldwide was identified as the shipper signatory to various service contracts with ocean common carriers² and as the person for whose account the transportation was being provided. Contemporaneous documentation such as the commercial invoice or the NVOCC house bill of lading reflect that shipments declared to the vessel operator as “fabric” or “cotton fabric” actually were loaded with garments or with other miscellaneous finished textile goods. Due to the difference between the rate Worldwide paid to ship the misdescribed goods and the rate at which the cargo should have moved under the various service contracts used by Worldwide, it appears that Worldwide obtained lower than applicable rates for these shipments, in

¹ <http://www.worldwide-logistics.cn/en/ourservice.aspx?id=8>.

² As relevant herein, these contracts include, but are not limited to: Evergreen S/C # SC325398, # SC34303, and # SC37000; Hanjin S/C # AEF24208; K Line S/C # 41033; Maersk S/C # 275214; NYK S/C # SC0109828, # SC0114261, and # SC0114580; and OOCL S/C # PE084981.

violation of section 10(a)(1) of the Shipping Act.

It also appears that for these same shipments, Worldwide acted as a common carrier in relation to its NVOCC customers and issued its own NVOCC bill of lading. Worldwide has maintained an electronic tariff since September 17, 2004. However, as indicated by Worldwide's debit notes, the rate assessed by Worldwide to its NVOCC customers appears to differ substantially from its published rates. Accordingly, it appears that Worldwide provided service that was not in accordance with its published tariff, in violation of 10(b)(2) of the Shipping Act.

Now therefore, it is ordered, That pursuant to sections 10, 11, and 13 of the Shipping Act, 46 U.S.C. 41102, 41104, and 41107–41109, an investigation is instituted to determine:

(1) whether Worldwide Logistics Co., Ltd. violated section 10(a)(1) of the Shipping Act by obtaining transportation at less than the rates and charges otherwise applicable by an unjust or unfair device or means;

(2) whether Worldwide Logistics Co., Ltd. violated section 10(b)(2) of the Shipping Act by providing service other than at the rates, charges, and classifications set forth in its published NVOCC tariff or applicable NSA;

(3) whether, in the event violations of sections 10(a)(1) or 10(b)(2) of the Shipping Act are found, civil penalties should be assessed against Worldwide Logistics Co., Ltd. and, if so, the amount of penalties to be assessed;

(4) whether, in the event violations of sections 10(a)(1) or 10(b)(2) of the Shipping Act are found, the tariff(s) of Worldwide Logistics Co., Ltd. should be suspended; and

(5) whether, in the event violations are found, an appropriate cease and desist order should be issued.

It is further ordered, That a public hearing be held in this proceeding and that this matter be assigned for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge of the Commission's Office of Administrative Law Judges at a date and place to be hereafter determined by the Administrative Law Judge in compliance with Rule 61 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 46 CFR 502.61. The hearing shall include oral testimony and cross-examination in the discretion of the presiding Administrative Law Judge only after consideration has been given by the parties and the presiding Administrative Law Judge to the use of alternative forms of dispute resolution, and upon a proper showing that there are genuine issues of material fact that cannot be resolved on the basis of sworn

statements, affidavits, depositions, or other documents or that the nature of the matters in issue is such that an oral hearing and cross-examination are necessary for the development of an adequate record;

It is further ordered, That Worldwide Logistics Co., Ltd. is designated Respondent in this proceeding;

It is further ordered, That the Commission's Bureau of Enforcement is designated a party to this proceeding;

It is further ordered, That notice of this Order be published in the Federal Register, and a copy be served on parties of record;

It is further ordered, That other persons having an interest in participating in this proceeding may file petitions for leave to intervene in accordance with Rule 72 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 46 CFR 502.72;

It is further ordered, That all further notices, orders, and/or decisions issued by or on behalf of the Commission in this proceeding, including notice of the time and place of hearing or prehearing conference, shall be served on parties of record;

It is further ordered, That all documents submitted by any party of record in this proceeding shall be directed to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, in accordance with Rule 2 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 46 CFR 502.2 (formerly § 502.118), and shall be served on parties of record; and

It is further ordered, That in accordance with Rule 61 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the initial decision of the Administrative Law Judge shall be issued by March 29, 2012 and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by July 27, 2012.

By the Commission.

Karen V. Gregory,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-7999 Filed 4-4-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-P

FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Notice

March 30, 2011.

TIME AND DATE: 11 a.m., Tuesday, April 12, 2011.

PLACE: The Richard V. Backley Hearing Room, 9th Floor, 601 New Jersey Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission will consider and act upon the following in open session: *Secretary of Labor v. Cumberland Coal Resources, LP*, Docket No. PENN 2008-189. (Issues include whether the judge erred in determining that four violations of 30 CFR 75.380(d)(7)(iv), which requires effective escapeway lifelines, were not "significant and substantial.")

Any person attending this meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 29 CFR 2706.150(a)(3) and 2706.160(d).

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFO: Jean Ellen (202) 434-9950/(202) 708-9300 for TDD Relay/1-800-877-8339 for toll free.

Emogene Johnson,
Administrative Assistant.

[FR Doc. 2011-8129 Filed 4-1-11; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 6735-01-P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 102 3136]

Google, Inc.; Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 2, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments electronically or in paper form. Comments should refer to "Google, File No. 102 3136" to facilitate the organization of comments. Please note that your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including on the publicly accessible FTC Web site, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtml>.

Because comments will be made public, they should not include any sensitive personal information, such as an individual's Social Security Number; date of birth; driver's license number or

other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. Comments also should not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, comments should not include any "[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which is obtained from any person and which is privileged or confidential * * * as provided in Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and Commission Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2)." Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled "Confidential," and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).¹

Because paper mail addressed to the FTC is subject to delay due to heightened security screening, please consider submitting your comments in electronic form. Comments filed in electronic form should be submitted by using the following weblink: <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/googlebuzz> and following the instructions on the web-based form. To ensure that the Commission considers an electronic comment, you must file it on the web-based form at the weblink: <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/googlebuzz>. If this Notice appears at <http://www.regulations.gov/search/index.jsp>, you may also file an electronic comment through that Web site. The Commission will consider all comments that regulations.gov forwards to it. You may also visit the FTC Web site at <http://www.ftc.gov/> to read the Notice and the news release describing it.

A comment filed in paper form should include the "Google, File No. 102 3136" reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Room H-113 (Annex D), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to

¹ The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission's General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. See FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).