erroneously refers to "Footnote 13," and that such reference should be redesignated as "Footnote 14."

After giving full consideration to the entire record, including the written comments, the Department has decided to grant the exemption, as described above. The complete application file is made available for public inspection in the Public Documents Room of the Employee Benefits Security Administration, Room N–1513, US Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

For a more complete statement of the facts and representations supporting the Department's decision to grant this exemption refer to the proposed exemption published in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 2011 at 76 FR 3167.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Warren Blinder of the Department, telephone (202) 693–8553. (This is not a toll-free number.)

The Parvin Nahvi, M.D., Inc. 401(k) Profit Sharing Trust (the Plan); Located in Templeton, CA; [Prohibited Transaction Exemption 2011–09; Exemption Application No. D–11635]

Exemption

The restrictions of sections 406(a), 406(b)(1) and (b)(2) of the Act and the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975, by reason of section 4975(c)(1)(A) through (E) of the Code, shall not apply, in connection with the cash sale by the Plan (the Sale) of a parcel of improved real property (the Property), to Dr. Parvin Nahvi and Dr. Javad Sani (the Applicants), the 100% owners of the Plan sponsor, Parvin Nahvi, M.D., Inc. (the Employer), and parties in interest with respect to the Plan; provided that:

(a) All terms and conditions of the Sale are at least as favorable to the Plan as those that the Plan could obtain in an arm's length transaction with an unrelated party;

- (b) The Plan's obligations with respect to the remaining principal balance of a loan (the Loan) on the Property that is secured by a first deed of trust (the Deed of Trust) with Santa Lucia Bank, an unrelated lender, are:
- (1) satisfied in full out of the proceeds of the Sale, or
- (2) assumed in full by the Applicants, who indemnify and hold the Plan harmless for any further payment on, or any claims arising in connection with, the Loan;
- (c) The Plan receives an amount in cash, equal to the greater of:
- (1) the original purchase price paid by the Plan for the Property, plus

additional contributions or expenses paid by the Plan relating to the holding of the Property, less any income generated by the Property and paid to the Plan, less the Loan principal assumed by the Applicants pursuant to Section (b)(2), or

(2) the Property's appraised value of \$1,825,000, which represents the fair market value of the Property, less the Loan principal assumed by the Applicants pursuant to Section (b)(2);

- (d) The fair market value of the Property has been determined by a qualified independent appraiser (the Appraiser) and is updated by such appraiser on the date the Sale is consummated;
- (e) The Sale is a one-time transaction for cash;
- (f) The Plan incurs no real estate fees, or commissions, in connection with the Sale; and
- (g) The Plan fiduciaries (1) Determine whether it is in the interest of the Plan to proceed with the Sale, (2) review and approve the methodology used in the appraisal that is being relied upon, and (3) ensure that such methodology is applied by the Appraiser in determining the fair market value of the Property on the date of the Sale.

After giving full consideration to the entire record, the Department has decided to grant the exemption, as described above. The complete application file is made available for public inspection in the Public Documents Room of the Employee Benefits Security Administration, Room N–1513, US Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210.

For a more complete statement of the facts and representations supporting the Department's decision to grant this exemption refer to the proposed exemption published in the **Federal Register** on February 17, 2011, at 76 FR 9370.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Warren Blinder of the Department, telephone (202) 693–8553. (This is not a toll-free number.)

General Information

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

(1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption under section 408(a) of the Act and/or section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person from certain other provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which among other things require a fiduciary to discharge his

duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does it affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that the plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries;

- (2) This exemption is supplemental to and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and/or the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transactional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption is not dispositive of whether the transaction is in fact a prohibited transaction; and
- (3) The availability of this exemption is subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in the application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction which is the subject of the exemption.

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of May, 2011.

Ivan Strasfeld,

Director of Exemption Determinations, Employee Benefits Security Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) for the Impact Evaluation of the YouthBuild Program; Comment Request

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (the Department or DOL), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and other Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that required data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and

the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

The Department notes that a Federal agency cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it is approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB control number, and the public is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Also, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if the collection of information does not display a currently valid OMB control number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and

A copy of the proposed ICR can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this notice or by accessing: http://www.doleta.gov/OMBCN/OMBControlNumber.cfm.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before July 11, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Eileen Pederson, U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Office of Policy Development and Research, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Frances Perkins Bldg., Room N–5641, Washington, DC 20210, telephone number (202) 693–3647 (this is not a toll-free number); e-mail address is Pederson.eileen@dol.gov and fax number is (202) 693–2766 (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Impact Evaluation of the YouthBuild program is a seven-year experimental design impact evaluation funded by DOL/ETA. This information collection covers the first year of the project. YouthBuild is a youth and community development program that addresses several core issues facing lowincome communities: available housing, and youth education, employment and criminal behavior. The program primarily serves high school dropouts and focuses on helping them attain a high school diploma or general educational development, or GED, and teaching them construction skills geared toward career placement. The evaluation will measure core program outcomes including educational attainment, postsecondary planning, employment, earnings, delinquency and involvement with the criminal justice system, and youth social and emotional

development. The evaluation represents an important opportunity for DOL to add to the growing body of knowledge about the impacts of "second chance" programs for youth who have dropped out of high school. Compared to peers who remain in school, high school dropouts are more likely to be disconnected from school and work, be incarcerated, be unmarried, and have children outside of marriage.

The evaluation of the YouthBuild program will address the following

research questions:

Operation: How is YouthBuild designed in each participating site? What are the key implementation practices that affect how the program operates? How does the local context affect program implementation and the services available to members of the control group?

Participation: What are the characteristics of youth who enroll in the study? How are these characteristics shaped by YouthBuild recruitment and

screening practices?

Impacts: What are YouthBuild's impacts on educational attainment, planning, and aspirations? What are YouthBuild's impacts on employment, earnings, and job characteristics? What are YouthBuild's impacts on crime and delinquency? What are the program's impacts on social-emotional development, identity development, and self-regulation?

Costs: How does the net cost per participant compare with the impacts

the program generates?

The evaluation study started in June 2010 and is scheduled to continue until July 2017. MDRC, the prime contractor, is working with Mathematica Policy Research and Social Policy Research Associates to design and implement the evaluation. The study includes a baseline information collection, a Webbased questionnaire and a Web-based survey of YouthBuild grantees, sitespecific qualitative and cost data, and three mixed-mode (Web and computerassisted telephone interviewing) surveys of youth that will take place 12, 30, and 48 months after random assignment.

The target population for the study is out-of-school youth aged 16–24, who are from low-income families; in foster care; offenders; migrants; disabled; or are children of incarcerated parents. Of the universe of YouthBuild programs, the study team will recruit 77 sites (60 DOLfunded sites and 17 sites that are not currently funded by DOL but do receive funding from the Corporation for National and Community Service [CNCS], referred to hereafter as CNCSfunded programs) and will seek to enroll 3,465 eligible participants into

the study. Study participants will be randomly assigned to either the treatment group, which will be eligible for YouthBuild services, or to the control group which will not be eligible. Study participants will be followed for four years after random assignment.

Data for the study will be collected from YouthBuild grantees and from study participants through the following

methods:

Grantee Questionnaire and Survey and Site Visits. A brief grantee questionnaire and subsequent grantee survey will provide information about the grantee sites that run individual YouthBuild programs. The questionnaire will be a mandatory component of grant awards for all DOLfunded and CNCS-funded YouthBuild programs funded in 2011, and will request general information about the staff and participants at each site. Information provided in this questionnaire will be used to inform selection of those grantees which will participate in the participant random assignment component of the evaluation. The grantee survey is also mandatory and will be administered after programs are fully operational. It will request detailed information about the services each program offers, including the frequency and location of particular services, as well as more indepth information about the staff and participants. The information from the grantee survey will be used to support the implementation analysis and will assess how outcomes may vary across YouthBuild program models. As part of the implementation analysis, the evaluation team will conduct site visits to at least 60 sites. These visits will include classroom observations to assess the quality of instruction, youth focus groups, and semi-structured in-depth interviews with program staff and collect cost data to ascertain the cost of the program.

Baseline Data Forms Completed by Sample Group Members. Prior to random assignment in the sites selected for this component of the study, all eligible youth participants will complete baseline data forms, which will include an Informed Consent Form, a Baseline Information Form, and a Contact Information Form. Taken together, these will provide participants with information about the study while collecting information for both future subgroup analysis and locating study participants during future study followups.

Three Follow-up Surveys of Sample Group Members. Members of both the treatment and control groups will complete follow-up surveys at 12, 30, and 48 months following random assignment. These surveys will request information about the services that participants have received through YouthBuild and other community service providers, as well as information about their educational attainment, postsecondary planning and engagement, employment, earnings, delinquency and involvement with the criminal justice system, and social and emotional development.

At this time, clearance is requested for the site selection questionnaire and grantee survey and the study participant baseline data forms. A future request will be submitted for the follow-up surveys, site visit protocols and cost data collection forms.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

Currently, DOL is soliciting comments concerning the above data collection for the Impact Evaluation of the YouthBuild program. Comments are requested to:

- * Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- * Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- * Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- * Minimize the burden of the information collection on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions

At this time, DOL is requesting clearance for the three study participant enrollment forms—the informed consent form, the baseline information form, and the contact information form—as well as the initial Site Selection Questionnaire and subsequent Grantee Survey. A future request for comment (and OMB clearance) will be submitted for the site visit protocols, cost data forms and follow-up surveys.

Type of review: New information collection request.

Title of collection: Impact Evaluation of the YouthBuild Program.

OMB Control Number: 1205–0NEW. Affected Public: Low-income, disadvantaged youth and DOL- and CNCS-funded YouthBuild programs.

Cite/Reference/Form/etc: Workforce Investment Act Section 172.

1. The Site Selection Questionnaire: Frequency: Once.

Total Responses: 117 sites (all 2011 DOL-funded YouthBuild grantees and all 17 of the CNCS-funded grantees [excluding those which receive DOL funding]).

Average Time per Response: 10 minutes per staff for each response.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 19.5 (117 responses \times 10 minutes).

Total Burden Cost: \$487.50 (19.5 hours \times \$25/hour).

2. The study member enrollment forms:

Frequency: Once.

Total Responses: 3,465 study participants.

Average Time per Response: 15 minutes per study participant.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 866.25 (3,465 participants × 15 minutes each).

Total Burden Cost: \$6,280.31 (866.25 hours \times \$7.25/hour).

3. The Grantee Survey:

Frequency: Once.

Total Responses: 117 sites (all 2011 DOL-funded YouthBuild grantees and all 17 of the CNCS-funded grantees [excluding those which receive DOL funding]).

Average Time per Response: 30 minutes per staff for each response.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 58.5 (117 responses \times 30 minutes).

Total Burden Cost: \$1,462.50 (58.5 hours \times \$25/hour).

Note that, due to rounding, the total amounts may differ from the sum of the components.

Comments submitted in response to this request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval; they will also become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of May, 2011.

Jane Oates,

Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training.

[FR Doc. 2011-11531 Filed 5-10-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-75,099]

West, A Thomson Reuters Business, Thomson Reuters Legal Division, Including On-Site Leased Workers From ADECCO, Albuquerque, NM; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated April 12, 2011, a Trade Adjustment Assistance Coordinator from the State of New Mexico requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of West, A Thomson Reuters Business, Thomson Reuters Legal Division, Albuquerque, New Mexico (subject firm). The determination was issued on March 4, 2011. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the Federal Register on March 17, 2011 (76 FR 14693). The workers are engaged in activities related to the supply of legal, business, and regulatory information services.

The negative determination was based on the findings that the group eligibility requirements under Section 222(a) and (c) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a) and (c), have not been satisfied because the investigation revealed that only one worker has been totally or partially separated from the subject firm. 29 CFR 90.2 states that a significant number or proportion of the workers means at least three workers in a firm (or appropriate subdivision thereof) with a workforce of fewer than 50 workers, or five percent of the workers or 50 workers, whichever is less, in a workforce of 50 or more workers. Finally, the group eligibility requirements under Section 222(f) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(f), have not been satisfied because the workers' firm has not been identified in an affirmative finding of injury by the International Trade Commission.

In the request for reconsideration, the TAA Coordinator alleges a mistake in fact with regards to the number and/or proportion of workers separated, or threatened with separation.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.