

comments by any one of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web Site*: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for documents filed under Docket ID NRC–2011–0109. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher, telephone: 301–492–3668; *e-mail*: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.

- *Mail comments to*: Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB), Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWB–05–B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

- *Fax comments to*: RADB at 301–492–3446.

You can access publicly available documents related to this notice using the following methods:

- *NRC's Public Document Room (PDR)*: The public may examine and have copied, for a fee, publicly available documents at the NRC's PDR, O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS)*: Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this page, the public can gain entry into ADAMS, which provides text and image files of the NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC's PDR reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. NUREG/CR–XXXX is available electronically under ADAMS Accession Number ML111020087.

- *Federal Rulemaking Web Site*: Public comments and supporting materials related to this notice can be found at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching on Docket ID NRC–2011–0109.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan Kuritzky, Division of Risk Analysis, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001. Telephone: 301–251–7587, *e-mail*: Alan.Kuritzky@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NRC is conducting research to support development of regulatory guidance for using risk information related to digital systems in the licensing actions of nuclear power plants (NPPs). The objective of this research is to identify and develop methods, analytical tools, and regulatory guidance for (1)

including models of digital systems into NPP probabilistic risk assessments (PRAs), and (2) incorporating digital systems in the NRC's risk-informed licensing and oversight activities.

A previous Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) technical report, entitled "Review of Quantitative Software Reliability Methods," BNL–94047–2010 (ADAMS Accession No. ML102240566), documented a review of currently available quantitative software reliability methods (QSRMs) that can be used to quantify software failure rates and probabilities of digital systems at NPPs for use in PRAs and identified a set of desirable characteristics for QSRMs. The current draft report documents a comparison of the previously-identified QSRMs against the set of desirable characteristics. Three candidate QSRMs were identified for further literature review to assess their suitability for estimating demand-failure probabilities of safety-critical protection systems and to formulate an approach for applying each of them to an example system in a case study. The example digital protection system to be used in the case studies is also identified. The actual case studies will be documented in separate reports. Completion of the case studies is expected to provide a much better understanding of the existing capabilities and limitations in treating software failure in digital system reliability models for use in NPP PRAs.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of May, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Kevin A. Coyne,
*Chief, Probabilistic Risk Assessment Branch,
Division of Risk Analysis, Office of Nuclear
Regulatory Research.*

[FR Doc. 2011–12200 Filed 5–17–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: US Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213.

Extension:

Rule 611; SEC File No. 270–540; OMB Control No. 3235–0600.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget

("OMB") a request for approval of extension of the existing collection of information provided for in the following rule: Rule 611 (17 CFR 242.611).

On June 9, 2005, effective August 29, 2005 (*see* 70 FR 37496, June 29, 2005), the Commission adopted Rule 611 of Regulation NMS under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) to require any national securities exchange, national securities association, alternative trading system, exchange market maker, over-the-counter market maker and any other broker-dealer that executes orders internally by trading as principal or crossing orders as agent, to establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent the execution of a transaction in its market at a price that is inferior to a bid or offer displayed in another market at the time of execution (a "trade-through"), absent an applicable exception and, if relying on an exception, that are reasonably designed to assure compliance with the terms of the exception. Without this collection of information, respondents would not have a means to enforce compliance with the Commission's intention to prevent trade-throughs pursuant to the rule.

There are approximately 658 respondents¹ per year that will require an aggregate total of 39,480 hours to comply with this rule.² It is anticipated that each respondent will continue to expend approximately 60 hours annually; two hours per month of internal legal time and three hours per month of internal compliance time to ensure that its written policies and procedures are up-to-date and remain in compliance with Rule 611. The estimated cost for an in-house attorney is \$354 per hour and the estimated cost for an assistant compliance director in the securities industry is \$320 per hour. Therefore the estimated total cost of compliance for the annual hour burden is as follows: [(2 legal hours × 12 months × \$354) × 658] + [(3 compliance hours × 12 months × \$320) × 658] = \$13,170,528.³ There are no longer any start-up costs associated with Rule 611.

¹ This estimate includes thirteen national securities exchanges and one national securities association that trade NMS stocks. The estimate also includes the approximately 601 firms that were registered equity market makers or specialists at year-end 2009, as well as 43 alternative trading systems that operate trading systems that trade NMS stocks.

² The one-time hour burden associated with developing the required policies and procedures is no longer applicable.

³ The total cost of compliance for the annual hour burden has been revised to reflect updated

The Commission may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.

The public may view the background documentation for this information collection at the following Web site, <http://www.reginfo.gov>. Comments should be directed to (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or by sending an e-mail to: Shagufta_Ahmed@omb.eop.gov; and (ii) Thomas Bayer, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312 or send an e-mail to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov. Comments must be submitted within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: May 13, 2011.

Cathy H. Ahn,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-12208 Filed 5-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available
From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549-0213.

Extension:

Rule 203A-2(f); SEC File No. 270-501; OMB Control No. 3235-0559.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) ("PRA"), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget requests for extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

estimated cost figures for an in-house attorney and an assistant compliance director. These figures are from SIFMA's *Management & Professional Earnings in the Securities Industry 2010*, modified by Commission staff for an 1800-hour work-year and multiplied by 5.35 to account for bonuses, firm size, employee benefits and overhead.

Rule 203A-2(f),¹ which is entitled "Internet Investment Advisers," exempts from the prohibition on Commission registration an Internet investment adviser who provides investment advice to all of its clients exclusively through computer software-based models or applications termed under the rule as "interactive Web sites." These advisers generally would not meet the statutory thresholds currently set out in section 203A of the Advisers Act² or the thresholds set out in section 203A as amended by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank Act") beginning on July 21, 2011³— they do not manage \$25 million or more in assets and do not advise registered investment companies,⁴ or they manage between \$25 million and \$100 million in assets, do not advise registered investment companies or business development companies, and are required to be registered as investment advisers with the states in which they maintain their principal offices and places of business and are subject to examination as an adviser by such states.⁵ Eligibility under rule 203A-2(f) is conditioned on an adviser maintaining in an easily accessible place, for a period of not less than five years from the filing of Form ADV relying on the rule,⁶ a record demonstrating that the adviser's advisory business has been conducted through an interactive Web site in accordance with the rule.⁷

This record maintenance requirement is a "collection of information" for PRA purposes. The Commission believes that approximately 58 advisers are registered with the Commission under rule 203A-2(f), which involves a recordkeeping requirement manifesting in approximately four burden hours per year per adviser and results in an

¹ 17 CFR 275.203A-2(f). Included in rule 203A-2(f) is a limited exception to the interactive Web site requirement which allows these advisers to provide investment advice to no more than 14 clients through other means on an annual basis. 17 CFR 275.203A-2(f)(1)(i). The rule also precludes advisers in a control relationship with the SEC-registered Internet adviser from registering with the Commission under the common control exemption provided by rule 203A-2(c) (17 CFR 275.203A-2(c)). 17 CFR 275.203A-2(f)(1)(iii).

² 15 U.S.C. 80b-3a(a).

³ Public Law 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010).

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 80b-3a(a).

⁵ See section 410 of the Dodd-Frank Act. A mid-sized adviser managing between \$25 million and \$100 million also will be permitted to register with the Commission if it would be required to register with 15 or more states. These amendments are effective on July 21, 2011.

⁶ The five-year record retention period is a similar recordkeeping retention period as imposed on all advisers under rule 204-2 of the Adviser Act. See rule 204-2 (17 CFR 275.204-2).

⁷ 17 CFR 275.203A-2(f)(1)(ii).

estimated 232 of total time burden (4 × 58) for all advisers.

This collection of information is mandatory, as it is used by Commission staff in its examination and oversight program in order to determine continued Commission registration eligibility of advisers registered under this rule. Responses generally are kept confidential pursuant to section 210(b) of the Advisers Act.⁸ An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

The public may view the background documentation for this information collection at the following Web site, <http://www.reginfo.gov>. Comments should be directed to: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, or by sending an e-mail to: Shagufta_Ahmed@omb.eop.gov; and (ii) Thomas Bayer, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312 or send an e-mail to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: May 13, 2011.

Cathy H. Ahn,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-12207 Filed 5-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available
From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549-0213.

Extension:

Rule 19b-1; SEC File No. 270-312; OMB Control No. 3235-0354.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 80b-10(b).