

Station (NAS) Jacksonville, four fleet squadrons at NAS Whidbey Island, and three fleet squadrons at Marine Corps Base (MCB) Hawaii Kaneohe Bay, with periodic squadron detachments at NAS North Island (Alternative 5). A notice of the Record of Decision (ROD) was published in the **Federal Register** on January 2, 2009 (74 FR 100). At the time, Alternative 5 was considered to best meet mission requirements because it optimized operational efficiencies related to training and contractor logistics support functions at the three established maritime patrol homebase locations.

To meet the DoN's current and future requirements and maximize the efficiency of support facilities, simulation training equipment, and on-site support personnel, the DoN proposes to analyze additional alternatives for P-8A aircraft homebasing. The DoN has determined that a dual-siting alternative, rather than homebasing the aircraft at three locations, now best meets current requirements. The two potential homebase locations for the P-8A MMA are NAS Jacksonville, located in Duval County, Florida, and NAS Whidbey Island, located in Island County, Washington.

Homebasing the P-8A MMA at two locations would result in differences in numbers of aircraft and personnel, as well as associated facility requirements at several Naval installations when compared to the 2008 ROD. For NAS Jacksonville, this would mean an increase in P-8A MMA aircraft and personnel permanently assigned, but would require no new facilities. For NAS Whidbey Island, this would mean an increase in P-8A MMA aircraft and personnel permanent assigned, as well as an expanded facility footprint. For MCB Hawaii Kaneohe Bay, the proposed dual-siting would result in the new assignment of two rotating P-8A MMA detachments, the elimination of permanently assigned P-8A MMA aircraft and personnel, and a reduced facility footprint. For NAS North Island, there would be no change in P-8A MMA aircraft, personnel or facility requirements from the 2008 ROD.

The environmental analysis in the Supplemental EIS will focus on several aspects of the proposed action: facility and infrastructure renovation and construction, personnel changes, and aircraft operations at the homebase airfields. Resource areas to be addressed in the Supplemental EIS will include, but not be limited to: air quality, noise environment, land use, socioeconomic, infrastructure and community services, natural resources, biological resources,

cultural resources, safety and environmental hazards. The analysis will evaluate direct and indirect impacts, and will account for cumulative impacts from other relevant activities near the installations. Relevant and reasonable measures that could avoid or mitigate environmental effects will also be analyzed. Additionally, the DoN will undertake any consultation applicable by law and regulation. No decision will be made to implement any alternative for homebasing the P-8A aircraft until the Supplemental EIS process is completed and a new ROD is signed by the DoN.

During the 45-day public comment and agency review period following release of the Draft Supplemental EIS, anticipated in Summer 2013, the DoN will schedule public meetings to discuss findings of the Draft Supplemental EIS and to receive public comments. The public meetings will be held near each of the homebasing locations. Dates, locations, and times for the public meetings will be announced in the **Federal Register** and local media at the appropriate time.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The DoN has established a public Web site for the Supplemental EIS: <http://www.mmaseis.com>. This public web site includes up-to-date information on the project and schedule, as well as related documents associated with the Supplemental EIS and 2008 Final EIS. To be included on the DoN's mailing list for the Supplemental EIS (or to receive a copy of the Draft Supplemental EIS), submit an electronic request through the project Web site under "mailing list" or a written request to: P-8A MMA EIS Project Manager (Code EV21/CZ); Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) Atlantic, 6506 Hampton Blvd., Norfolk, VA 23508.

Dated: November 8, 2012.

**S.F. Thompson,**

*Captain, Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2012-27762 Filed 11-14-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### **Notice of Extension of Comment Period for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Military Training Activities at the Naval Weapons Systems Training Facility Boardman, OR**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** A notice of availability was published by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 55213) on September 7, 2012 for Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Military Training Activities at the Naval Weapons Systems Training Facility. The public comment period ended on November 6, 2012. This notice confirms a 30 day extension of the public comment period until December 6, 2012 as announced by EPA in the **Federal Register** on November 9, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mrs. Amy Burt, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Northwest, 1101 Tautog Circle Suite 203, Silverdale, Washington, 98315-1101, Attn: NWSTF Boardman Project Manager; or <http://www.NWSTFBoardmanEIS.com>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The public comment period on the NWSTF Boardman EIS will be extended until December 6, 2012. Comments may be submitted in writing to Naval Facilities Engineering Command Northwest, Attention: Mrs. Amy Burt, NWSTF Boardman EIS Project Manager, 1101 Tautog Circle Suite 203, Silverdale, Washington, 98315-1101. In addition, comments may be submitted online at <http://www.NWSTFBoardmanEIS.com> during the comment period. All written comments must be postmarked by December 6, 2012, to ensure they become part of the official record. All written comments will be addressed in the Final EIS.

Copies of the Draft EIS are available for public review at the following libraries:

1. Multnomah County Library—Central Library, 801 Southwest 10th Avenue, Portland, Oregon.
2. Oregon Trail Library District—Boardman Library, 200 South Main Street, Boardman, Oregon.
3. Oregon Trail Library District—Heppner Branch, 444 North Main Street, Heppner, Oregon.
4. Salem Public Library—Central Branch, 585 Liberty Street Southeast, Salem, Oregon.
5. Salem Public Library—West Salem Branch, 395 Glen Creek Road Northwest, Salem, Oregon.
6. Stafford Hansell Government Center, 915 Southeast Columbia Drive, Hermiston, Oregon.

Copies of the Draft EIS are available for electronic viewing at <http://www.NWSTFBoardmanEIS.com>.

Dated: November 8, 2012.

**S.F. Thompson,**

*Captain, Office of the Judge Advocate  
General, U.S. Navy, Alternate Federal Register  
Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2012-27764 Filed 11-14-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP13-14-000; PF12-10-000]

#### Millennium Pipeline Company, L.L.C.; Notice of Application

Take notice that on November 1, 2012, Millennium Pipeline Company, L.L.C. (Millennium), One Blue Hill Plaza, Seventh Floor, P.O. Box 1565, Pearl River, New York 10965, filed an application pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations, for authorization to construct, own, and operate the Hancock Compressor Station and related facilities (Project) located in the Town of Hancock, Delaware County, New York. This filing may also be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits, in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call (866) 208-3676 or TTY, (202) 502-8659.

The Project will consist of a new 15,900 horsepower gas-fired turbine compressor and related facilities located on a 35.8 acre parcel of land (Millennium owns 10.8 acres and has an option to purchase the remaining 25 acres). The purpose of the Project is to provide 107,500 dekatherms per day (Dth/d) of firm transportation service on Millennium's system to the existing interconnection with Algonquin Gas Transmission, L.L.C. in Ramapo, New York and points further east. In addition, depending upon election of primary receipt points by Millennium's shippers, up to an additional 115,000 Dth/d of firm transportation service could be created between a recently completed interconnection with Laser Gathering and an existing interconnection with Columbia Gas Transmission, L.L.C. at Wagoner in Deerpark, New York. Millennium estimates that the project facilities will cost approximately \$45.8 million and proposes to charge its existing system rates as recourse rates for the project. However, Millennium specifically does not seek a pre-determination of rolled-in rate treatment for the project's costs.

The expansion shippers have elected to pay negotiated rates for the service.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Gary A. Kruse, Vice President—General Counsel & Secretary, Millennium Pipeline Company, L.L.C., One Blue Hill Plaza, Seventh Floor, P.O. Box 1565, Pearl River, New York 10965, by telephone at (845) 620-1300, by facsimile at (845) 620-1320, or by email at [kruse@millenniumpipeline.com](mailto:kruse@millenniumpipeline.com), or Jessica Fore, Baker Botts L.L.P., 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20004-2400, by telephone at (202) 639-7727, by facsimile at (202) 585-1080, or by email at [jessica.fore@bakerbotts.com](mailto:jessica.fore@bakerbotts.com).

On May 1, 2012, the Commission staff granted Millennium's request to use the pre-filing process and assigned Docket No. PF12-10-000 to staff activities involving the Project. Now, as of the filing of this application on November 1, 2012, the NEPA Pre-Filing Process for this project has ended. From this time forward, this proceeding will be conducted in Docket No. CP13-14-000, as noted in the caption of this Notice.

Pursuant to Section 157.9 of the Commission's rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice the Commission staff will either: complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission's public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding, or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff's issuance of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) or EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission's public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff's FEIS or EA.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party

status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

Motions to intervene, protests and comments may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper; see, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: November 29, 2012.

Dated: November 8, 2012.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012-27734 Filed 11-14-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**