

- An agreement among bidders not to bid for a particular LA;
- An agreement among bidders not to bid against each other; and
- Other agreements among bidders that have the effect of limiting the final auction price.

Pursuant to 43 U.S.C. 1337(c), BOEM will decline to award a lease if it is determined by the Attorney General in consultation with the Federal Trade Commission that doing so would be inconsistent with the antitrust laws.

For more information on whether specific communications or agreements could constitute a violation of Federal antitrust law, please see: <http://www.justice.gov/atr/public/business-resources.html>, or consult counsel.

Bidder's Financial Form Self-Certification: Each bidder is required to sign the self-certification, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 1001 (Fraud and False Statements) in the BFF, which can be found on BOEM's Web site: <http://www.boem.gov/State-Activities-Massachusetts/>. The form must be filled out and returned to BOEM in accordance with the "Deadlines and Milestones for Bidders" section of this notice.

Non-Procurement Debarment and Suspension Regulations

Pursuant to regulations at 43 CFR part 42, subpart C, an OCS renewable energy lessee must comply with the Department of the Interior's non-procurement debarment and suspension regulations at 2 CFR 180 and 1400 and agree to communicate the requirement to comply with these regulations to persons with whom the lessee does business as it relates to this lease, by including this term as a condition in their contracts and other transactions.

Force Majeure: The Program Manager of BOEM's Office of Renewable Energy Programs has the discretion to change any auction details, such as the date and time, specified in the FSN in case of a *force majeure* event that the Program Manager deems may interfere with a fair and proper lease sale process. Such events may include, but are not limited to: natural disasters (*e.g.*, earthquakes, hurricanes, floods), wars, riots, acts of terrorism, fire, strikes, civil disorder or other events of a similar nature. In case of such events, bidders should call 703-787-1320 or access the BOEM Web site at: <http://www.boem.gov/Renewable-Energy-Program/index.aspx>.

Appeals: The appeals procedures are provided in BOEM's regulations at 30 CFR 585.225 and 585.118(c). Pursuant to 30 CFR 585.225:

(a) If BOEM rejects your bid, BOEM will provide a written statement of the

reasons, and refund any money deposited with your bid, without interest.

(b) You will then be able to ask the BOEM Director for reconsideration, in writing, within 15 business days of bid rejection, under 30 CFR 585.118(c)(1). We will send you a written response either affirming or reversing the rejection.

The procedures for appealing final decisions with respect to lease sales are described in 30 CFR 585.118(c).

Protection of Privileged or Confidential Information

BOEM will protect privileged or confidential information that you submit as required by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Exemption 4 of FOIA applies to trade secrets and commercial or financial information that you submit that is privileged or confidential. If you wish to protect the confidentiality of such information, clearly mark it and request that BOEM treat it as confidential. BOEM will not disclose such information, except as required by FOIA. Please label privileged or confidential information "Contains Confidential Information" and consider submitting such information as a separate attachment.

However, BOEM will not treat as confidential any aggregate summaries of such information or comments not containing such information. Additionally, BOEM may not treat as confidential the legal title of the commenting entity (*e.g.*, the name of your company). Information that is not labeled as privileged or confidential will be regarded by BOEM as suitable for public release.

Dated: November 17, 2014.

Walter D. Cruickshank,

Acting Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2014-27965 Filed 11-25-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Ocean Energy Management

[Docket No. BOEM-2014-0078; MMAA104000]

Outer Continental Shelf, Alaska OCS Region, Chukchi Sea Planning Area, Oil and Gas Lease Sale 193

AGENCY: Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of a Second Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and Notice of Public Hearings; Correction.

SUMMARY: On November 7, 2014, BOEM published a notice in the **Federal Register** (79 FR 66401). BOEM is changing the venue for one of the public hearing dates. This notice makes that change.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Public Hearings: Pursuant to the regulations implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA, BOEM will hold public hearings on the Second Draft SEIS. The hearing scheduled on December 1, 2014, previously announced to occur at the Loussac Library Complex, will be held at a different location. The hearing will instead take place at the Crowne Plaza Hotel, 109 W. International Airport Road, Anchorage, Alaska.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Routhier, Program Analysis Officer and Project Manager, BOEM, Alaska OCS Region, 3801 Centerpoint Drive, Suite 500, Anchorage, Alaska 99503-5823 or by telephone at (907) 334-5200.

Dated: November 17, 2014.

Walter D. Cruickshank,

Acting Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2014-28003 Filed 11-25-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of a Proposed Amended Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On November 19, 2014, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Amended Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. The City of Kansas City, Missouri*, Civil Action No. 4:10-cv-0497-GAF, proposing to modify the implementation schedule for certain injunctive measures required under the original Consent Decree entered in this matter on September 27, 2010, resolving Kansas City's alleged violations of the Clean Water Act ("CWA" or "Act").

The Consent Decree ("CD") requires, among other measures intended to reduce or eliminate sewage overflows from Kansas City's sewer system, that Kansas City ("KC") build 68 million gallons of additional storage tank capacity at the City's 87th Street Pumping Station in two phases: Phase I (20 MM gallons) is due to be completed in 2016; Phase II (remaining 48 MM gallons) is due to be completed in 2024. The proposed Amendment would allow the City to defer the Phase I construction so that completion of both

phases of the project is due upon the 2024 completion date for Phase II. KC has requested this Amendment because some or all of this additional capacity may become unnecessary. In exchange for deferring Phase I of this project, KC has agreed to accelerate implementation of several other components of the injunctive relief required by the Consent Decree.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Kansas City*, Civil Action No. 4:10-cv-0497-GAF. DJ Reference Number 90-5-1-1-06438/1.

All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ-ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ-ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$ 2.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Susan M. Akers,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2014-27957 Filed 11-25-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—PXI Systems Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on October 28, 2014, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative

Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Alazar Technologies, Inc., Pointe-Claire, Quebec City, CANADA, has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 22, 2000, PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2001 (66 FR 13971).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 8, 2014. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 12, 2014 (79 FR 54745).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2014-27988 Filed 11-25-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Sematech, Inc. D/B/A International Sematech

Notice is hereby given that, on October 30, 2014, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Sematech, Inc. d/b/a International Sematech (“SEMATECH”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, TowerJazz Panasonic Semiconductor

Co., Ltd., Uozo City, JAPAN, has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and SEMATECH intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 22, 1988, SEMATECH filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on May 19, 1988 (53 FR 17987).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 1, 2014. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 3, 2014 (79 FR 52364).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2014-27986 Filed 11-25-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States V. Flakeboard America Limited, Celulosa Arauco Y Constitución, S.A., Inversiones Angelini Y Compañía, Limitada, and Sierrapine; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California in United States of America v. Flakeboard America Limited, Celulosa Arauco y Constitución, S.A., Inversiones Angelini y Compañía, Limitada and SierraPine, Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-04949. On November 7, 2014, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that Flakeboard, Arauco, and SierraPine coordinated to close SierraPine’s Springfield, Oregon particleboard mill and move the mill’s customers to Flakeboard before receiving federal antitrust approval under Section 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18a, also commonly known as the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (“Section 7A” or “HSR Act”). The Complaint alleges that this coordination constituted a *per se* unlawful agreement between competitors to reduce output and allocate customers in violation of