Use: The instrument will be used to learn how imaged proteins and molecules perform their cellular functions, using cryo-transmission electron microscopy. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: August 10,

2015. Docket Number: 15–040. Applicant: UT Battelle, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, One Bethel Valley Road, P.O. Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6138. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to study metals and ceramics for nuclear power applications, using transmission electron microscopy to study the evolution of defects in the crystalline structures of the materials before and after irradiation. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: August 14, 2015.

*Docket Number:* 15–041. Applicant: University of Minnesota, 116 Tate Lab of Physics, Minneapolis, MN 55455-0149. Instrument: IVVI Measuring System with Modules. Manufacturer: Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to uncover novel quantum properties of certain semiconductors or superconductors, such as InAs, GaSb or devices combining these with superconductors such As Al and Nb, using highsensitivity electronic current and voltage measurements. Unique properties of this instrument include modular integration of pA sensitivity ammeter, required to measure very small electrical currents down to several pA, low-noise transimpedance amplifier, required to transform the electrical currents into voltage signals of a few mV that can be measured with conventional laboratory voltmeters, and low-noise digital-to-analogue converter and signal switchboxes. The entire setup is battery-operated and is programmable via an opticallydecoupled input to minimize electrical noise interference from electrical power lines or other instruments. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: August 18, 2015.

*Docket Number:* 15–042. Applicant: Purdue University, 610 Purdue Mall,

West Lafayette, IN 47907. Instrument: SuperK EXTREME EXR-20 20 MHz with SuperK VARIA High 50dB with Power Lock. Manufacturer: NKT Photonics, Denmark. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to image tissue or tissue like materials with high optical scatter using Optical Diffusion Tomography (ODT), providing useful information for the study of biological and chemical processes. The instrument has a wide turning range, which is important for exciting different fluorophores of interest, providing specificity to chemical processes, a short pulse width which is important for performing time-gated measurements, high laser power which is important for obtaining a high SNR from laser light traveling through centimeters of tissue or related scattering medium, and a 20MHz repetition rate which is important for time-gated measurements given the temporal response time of tissue. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: September 4, 2015.

Docket Number: 15–043. Applicant: New York Structural Biology Center, 89 Convent Ave., New York, NY 10027. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Co., the Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to determine the three-dimensional structure of biological assemblies to determine the manner in which they function and the mechanisms through which they interact with other cellular components. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: August 27, 2015.

Docket Number: 15–045. Applicant: University of Massachusetts Medical School, 55 Lake Avenue North, Worcester, MA 01655. Instrument: Vitrobot. Manufacturer: FEI Electron Optics, B.V., the Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to understand the three-dimensional structure of purified proteins and complexes at the atomic level, and how this is related to their function, by freezing them, then examining them in the frozen state in an electron microscope. The instrument can precisely control the humidity at any level, and can also control the temperature of the chamber, which is essential to freeze the proteins and complexes under exactly defined conditions, which is a requirement for all of the studies. The specimen remains in the humidity-controlled environment until the instant of freezing, which is essential to prevent any evaporation of water from the specimen before freezing. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: September 15, 2015.

Docket Number: 15–046. Applicant: National Institute for Occupational Safety & Health, 1095 Willowdale Rd., Room B104, Morgantown, WV 26505. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to determine the effects of exposing animal lung tissues and cells to particles such as silica and asbestos, nanoparticles such as carbon nanotubes, Titanium Dioxide, graphene and cellulose, in order to make recommendations to industry as to how to protect workers from lung disease. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by **Commissioner of Customs: September** 28, 2015.

Dated: October 20, 2015.

#### Gregory W. Campbell,

Director of Subsidies Enforcement, Enforcement and Compliance. [FR Doc. 2015–27459 Filed 10–27–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-818]

## Certain Pasta From Italy: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On June 23, 2015, the Department of Commerce (Department) published the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy and preliminarily determined that La Molisana S.p.A. (La Molisana) was not the successor-in-interest to La Molisana Industrie Alimentari, S.p.A. (LMI), a respondent in the investigation and several administrative reviews.<sup>1</sup> We received comments from interested

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Certain Pasta from Italy: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, 80 FR 35936 (June 23, 2015) (Preliminary Results) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

parties. Based on our analysis, for the final results, the Department continues to find that La Molisana is not the successor-in-interest to LMI.

DATES: Effective Date: October 28, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Moore, Office III, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3692.

#### Background

On July 24, 1996, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on pasta from Italy.<sup>2</sup> The most recently completed administrative review for LMI was for the July 1, 1998 to June 30, 1999 period.<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, the Department recalculated the cash deposit rate for LMI and assigned it a *de minimis* margin.<sup>4</sup>

On June 23, 2014, La Molisana requested a changed circumstances review. On August 12, 2014, the Department initiated this review.<sup>5</sup> On June 23, 2015, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a preliminary finding that La Molisana was not the successor-in-interest to LMI.<sup>6</sup>

On July 2, 2015, La Molisana submitted a case brief.<sup>7</sup> On July 10, 2015, Petitioners submitted a rebuttal brief.<sup>8</sup> A hearing was held on July 15, 2015. The Department extended the deadline for the final results until October 21, 2015.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>5</sup> See Certain Pasta From Italy: Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review, 79 FR 47090 (August 12, 2014).

<sup>6</sup> See Preliminary Results.

<sup>7</sup> See La Molisana's July 2, 2015 Case Brief.

#### Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the order are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta. The merchandise subject to review is currently classifiable under items 1901.90.90.95 and 1902.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.<sup>10</sup>

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this changed circumstances review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised, and to which we have responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http:// access.trade.gov, and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024, of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at *http://* enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

For the *Preliminary Results*, the Department found that La Molisana was not the successor-in-interest to LMI based on the totality of the record evidence.<sup>11</sup> Based on the totality of the circumstances, we preliminarily determined that La Molisana is materially dissimilar to LMI in terms of management, production facilities, and supplier relationships.<sup>12</sup> Based on our analysis of the comments received, the Department continues to find that La Molisana is not the successor-in-interest to LMI pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.216.<sup>13</sup>

# Instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection

As a result of this determination, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to collect estimated antidumping duties for all shipments of subject merchandise exported by La Molisana and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice in the Federal Register at the 15.45 percent the allothers rate established in the antidumping duty investigation, as modified by the section 129 determination.<sup>14</sup> This cash deposit requirement shall remain in effect until further notice.

## Notification

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221.

Dated: October 21, 2015.

# Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

## APPENDIX

I. Summary

II. Background

- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of Methodology
- V. Discussion of Interested Party Comments
- Comment 1: Whether the Department's *Preliminary Results* Are in Accordance With Law and Supported by Record Evidence
- Comment 2: Whether the Department's Analysis of the Management Factor Is Flawed
- Comment 3: Whether the Department's Analysis of Production Facilities Is Flawed
- Comment 4: Whether the Department's Analysis of Supplier Relationships Is Flawed

Comment 5: Whether the Department's Analysis of Customer Base Is Flawed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Pasta From Italy, 61 FR 38547 (July 24, 1996); see also Notice of Second Amendment to the Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Pasta From Italy; 61 FR 42231 (August 14, 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Certain Pasta From Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 65 FR 77852 (December 13, 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Latvia, Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From Finland, Certain Pasta From Italy, Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From Italy, Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From The Netherlands, Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Spain, Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy, Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Japan, 77 FR 36257 (June 18, 2012) (Notice of Section 129 Implementation).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Petitioners' July 10, 2015 Rebuttal Brief.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See October 13, 2015 Letter to La Molisana.

 $<sup>^{10}\,{\</sup>rm For}$  a full description of the scope of the order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Preliminary Results and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum. <sup>12</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comments 1–6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Notice of Implementation of Section 129.

Comment 6: Whether the Department Failed To Reject Petitioners' Improperly Filed Submission Recommendation [FR Doc. 2015–27458 Filed 10–27–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### RIN 0648-XE281

#### Marine Fisheries Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of open public meetings.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the Marine Fisheries Advisory Committee (MAFAC). The members will discuss and provide advice on issues outlined in the agenda below.

**DATES:** The meeting is scheduled for November 9, 2015, 4–5:30 p.m., Eastern Standard Time.

**ADDRESSES:** Conference call. Public access is available at 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Any member of the public wishing to attend may contact Heidi Lovett, (301) 427–8004; email: *heidi.lovett@noaa.gov.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The MAFAC was established by the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary), and, since 1971, advises the Secretary on all living marine resource matters that are the responsibility of the Department of Commerce. The charter and other information are located online at *http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/ocs/mafac/.* 

#### **Matters To Be Considered**

The Committee is convening to discuss and finalize recommendations on the Draft Habitat Enterprise Strategic Plan for submission to the NOAA Fisheries Assistant Administrator. Other administrative matters may be considered. This agenda is subject to change.

### **Special Accommodations**

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Heidi Lovett, 301– 427–8004 by November 2, 2015. Dated: October 22, 2015. **Samuel D. Rauch III,**  *Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service.* [FR Doc. 2015–27430 Filed 10–27–15; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–22–P** 

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

## National Estuarine Research Reserve System

**AGENCY:** Stewardship Division, Office for Coastal Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of approval of the Apalachicola, Florida National Estuarine Research Reserve Management Plan revision.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Stewardship Division, Office for Coastal Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce approves the Apalachicola, Florida National **Estuarine Research Reserve** Management Plan revision. The revised management plan outlines the administrative structure; the research & monitoring, education, training, and stewardship goals of the reserve; and the plans for future land acquisition and facility development to support reserve operations. The Apalachicola Reserve revised plan will replace the plan approved in 2003.

The Apalachicola Reserve management plan emphasizes a fully integrated approach that links ongoing research, education, training and stewardship programs together. This integrated approach, in coordination with strategic partnerships addresses high priority reserve issues including public use and access, changing land use patterns, the loss of cultural resources, impacts of global and regional processes on ecosystems and communities, engagement with local communities, and changes in reserve habitats. Since the last management plan, the reserve has expanded its monitoring and geographic information system programs; increased staff resources; completed a site profile, established a Coastal Training Program; expanded educational programs; and constructed a new nature center and headquarters complex in the town of Eastpoint that includes laboratories,

offices, classrooms, interpretative areas, and are planning interpretive trails.

There is a boundary change associated with this management plan revision that will decrease their total acreage from 246,766 acres to 234,715. The change is attributable to accuracy adjustments based on improved geographic information for the site. The revised management plan will serve as the guiding document for the 234,715 acre Apalachicola Reserve for the next five years. View the Apalachicola, Florida Reserve Management Plan revision at (http://www.dep.state.fl.us/coastal/ sites/apalachicola/publications.htm).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matt Chasse at (301) 563–1198 or Erica Seiden at (301) 563–1172 of NOAA's National Ocean Service, Stewardship Division, Office for Coastal Management, 1305 East-West Highway, N/ORM5, 10th floor, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

Dated: October 20, 2015.

#### John King,

Deputy Director, Office for Coastal Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

[FR Doc. 2015–27425 Filed 10–27–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–08–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: ED-2015-ICCD-0101]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; 2016–2017 Federal Student Aid Application

**AGENCY:** Federal Student Aid (FSA), Department of Education (ED). **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 3501 *et seq.*), ED is proposing a revision of an existing information collection.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 27, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** To access and review all the documents related to the information collection listed in this notice, please use *http://www.regulations.gov* by searching the Docket ID number ED–2015–ICCD–0101. Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at *http://www.regulations.gov* by selecting the Docket ID number or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery.