

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

### Overview of This Information Collection Back to Top

(1) Type of information collection: Extension, without change, of a currently approved collection.

(2) The title of the form/collection: Bulletproof Vest Partnership Application.

(3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: None. Bureau of Justice Assistance, United States Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Jurisdictions and law enforcement agencies with armor vest needs.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: It is estimated that no more than 4,500 respondents will apply each year. Each application takes approximately 1 hour to complete.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: Approximately 4,500 hours.

If additional information is required, contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Suite 3E-405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 29, 2016.

**Jerri Murray,**

*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2016-18351 Filed 8-2-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On July 28, 2016, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the District of Nevada in the lawsuit entitled *United States and State of Nevada, Dept. of Conservation and*

*Natural Resources v. Nevada Department of Transportation*, Civil Action No.3:16-cv-453.

The complaint in this lawsuit involves claims that the Nevada Department of Transportation (“NDOT”) discharged pollutants from its municipal separate storm water system into waters of the United States in violation of its National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit. Under the Decree, NDOT will develop and implement programs to control discharges from construction activity, areas that are redeveloped or newly developed, and from activities NDOT conducts to operate and maintain the highway system. NDOT will pay a civil penalty of \$120,000 to be split evenly between the United States and the State of Nevada, Department of Conservation and Natural Resources. NDOT will also implement a Real-Time Water Quality Data Availability Supplemental Environmental Project.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and State of Nevada, Dept. of Conservation and Natural Resources v. Nevada Department of Transportation* D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-1-1-11031. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email .....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$12.50 (25 cents per page

reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Henry S. Friedman,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016-18377 Filed 8-2-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

[OJP (NIJ) Docket No. 1723]

### Notice of Public Comment Period on the DRAFT “National Best Practices for Sexual Assault Kits: A Multidisciplinary Approach”

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Justice, Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the opening of the public comment period for the DRAFT “National Best Practices for Sexual Assault Kits: A Multidisciplinary Approach.”

**DATES:** Written public comment regarding the publication should be submitted through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) on or before September 2, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Heather Waltke, Associate Director, Office of Investigative and Forensic Sciences, National Institute of Justice, 810 7th Street NW., Washington, DC 20531, or via email at [Heather.Waltke@usdoj.gov](mailto:Heather.Waltke@usdoj.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence Reporting Act of 2013 (the “SAFER Act”) was enacted as Title X of Public Law 113-4, the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013. It was created, in part, to develop protocols and practices appropriate for the accurate, timely, and effective collection and processing of DNA evidence, including protocols and practices specific to sexual assault cases, which shall address appropriate steps in the investigation of cases that might involve DNA evidence[.]”<sup>1</sup> More specifically, these protocols and practices are to provide recommendations in a variety of focus areas, including outlining parameters for identifying and prioritizing DNA evidence such as sexual assault kits (SAKs) to be tested, identifying reasonable time periods for testing, identifying effective processes for communicating information about evidence testing between stakeholders,

<sup>1</sup> 42 U.S.C. 14135(o)(1).