

(1) CARB Resolution 13–2, dated January 24, 2013, “San Joaquin Valley PM_{2.5} State Implementation Plan.”

(479) The following plan was submitted on November 6, 2014, by the Governor’s Designee.

(i) [Reserved]

(ii) Additional materials.

(A) San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District.

(1) “Supplemental Document, Clean Air Act Subpart 4: The 2012 PM_{2.5} Plan for the 2006 PM_{2.5} Standard and District Rule 2201 (New and Modified Stationary Source Review)” (dated September 18, 2014), adopted September 18, 2014.

(2) SJVUAPCD Governing Board Resolution No. 14–09–01, dated September 18, 2014, “In the Matter of: Authorizing Submittal of “Supplemental Document for the 2012 PM_{2.5} Plan” to EPA.”

(B) California Air Resources Board.

(1) CARB Resolution 14–37, dated October 24, 2014, “Supplemental Document for the San Joaquin Valley 24-Hour PM_{2.5} State Implementation Plan.”

[FR Doc. 2016–20413 Filed 8–30–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

42 CFR Part 412

[CMS–1647–CN]

RIN 0938–AS78

Medicare Program; Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Prospective Payment System for Federal Fiscal Year 2017; Correction

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects typographical errors in the final rule that appeared in the August 5, 2016 *Federal Register* entitled, “Medicare Program; Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Prospective Payment System for Federal Fiscal Year 2017”.

DATES: The final rule published August 5, 2016 (81 FR 52056 through 52141) is corrected as of August 30, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christine Grose, (410) 786- 1362.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In FR Doc. 2016–18196 (81 FR 52056 through 52141), the final rule entitled,

“Medicare Program; Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Prospective Payment System for Federal Fiscal Year 2017” (hereinafter referred as the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule), there were typographical errors that are identified and corrected in this correcting document. The correction is applicable as of August 30, 2016.

II. Summary of Errors in the Preamble

On page 52118 of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule, we inadvertently included a reference to Table 10 instead of Table 18.

On page 52118 of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule, we inadvertently included a reference to Table 10 instead of Table 11.

On page 52118 of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule, we inadvertently included a reference to Table 10 instead of Table 16.

On page 52118 of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule, we inadvertently included a reference to Table 10 instead of Table 17.

On page 52118 of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule, in the footnote to Table 10, we inadvertently included a reference to Table 10 instead of Table 17.

On page 52118 of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule, in the footnote to Table 10, we inadvertently included a reference to Table 10 instead of Table 16.

On page 52119 of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule, in the footnote to Table 11, we inadvertently included a reference to Table 11 instead of Table 10.

On page 52119 of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule, in the footnote to Table 13, we inadvertently included a reference to Table 12 instead of Table 10.

On page 52120 of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule, in the footnote to Table 14, in two instances, we inadvertently included a reference to Table 14 instead of Table 10.

On page 52120 of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule, in the footnote to Table 15, in two instances, we inadvertently included a reference to Table 15 instead of Table 10.

On page 52121 of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule, in the footnote to Table 16, we inadvertently included a reference to Table 16 instead of Table 10.

III. Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking and Delay in Effective Date

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), the agency is required to publish a notice of the proposed rule in the

Federal Register and provide a period for public comment before the provisions of a rule take effect. Similarly, section 1871(b)(1) of the Act requires the Secretary to provide for notice of the proposed rule in the *Federal Register* and provide a period of not less than 60 days for public comment. In addition, section 553(d) of the APA and section 1871(e)(1)(B)(i) of the Act mandate a 30-day delay in effective date after issuance or publication of a rule. Sections 553(b)(B) and 553(d)(3) of the APA provide for exceptions from the APA notice and comment and delay in effective date requirements; in cases in which these exceptions apply, sections 1871(b)(2)(C) and 1871(e)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act provide exceptions from the notice and 60-day comment period and delay in effective date requirements of the Act, as well. Section 553(b)(B) of the APA and section 1871(b)(2)(C) of the Act authorize an agency to dispense with normal notice and comment rulemaking procedures for good cause if the agency makes a finding that the notice and comment process is impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest; and includes a statement of the finding and the reasons for it in the rule. In addition, section 553(d)(3) of the APA and section 1871(e)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act allow the agency to avoid the 30-day delay in effective date where such delay is contrary to the public interest and the agency includes in the rule a statement of the finding and the reasons for it.

In our view, this correcting document does not constitute a rulemaking that would be subject to these requirements. This document merely corrects typographical errors in the preamble of the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule. The corrections contained in this document are consistent with, and do not make substantive changes to, the policies and payment methodologies that were adopted subject to notice and comment procedures in the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule. As a result, the correction made through this correcting document is intended to resolve inadvertent typographical errors.

Even if this were a rulemaking to which the notice and comment and delayed effective date requirements applied, we find that there is good cause to waive such requirements. Undertaking further notice and comment procedures to incorporate the corrections in this document into the FY 2017 IRF PPS final rule or delaying the effective date of the corrections would be contrary to the public interest because it is in the public interest to ensure that the rule accurately reflects

the our policies as of the date they take effect and are applicable. Further, such procedures would be unnecessary, because we are not making any substantive revision to the final rule, but rather, we are simply correcting the **Federal Register** document to reflect the correct table references in the footnotes. For these reasons, we believe there is good cause to waive the requirements for notice and comment and delay in effective date.

IV. Correction of Errors in the Preamble

In FR Doc. 2016–18196 (81 FR 52056), published August 5, 2016, make the following corrections:

1. On page 52118,
 - a. In the second column, in the second full paragraph, line 11, the reference “Table 10” is corrected to read “Table 18”.
 - b. In the third column, in the first partial paragraph, line 2, the reference “Table 10” is corrected to read “Table 11”.
 - c. In the third column, in the first partial paragraph, line 30, the reference “Table 10” is corrected to read “Table 16”.
 - d. In the third column, in the first partial paragraph, line 37, the reference “Table 10” is corrected to read “Table 17”.
 - e. In the footnote to Table 10, the phrase “*We refer readers to Table 10” is corrected to read “*We refer readers to Table 17”.
 - f. In the footnote to Table 10, the phrase “– We refer readers to Table 10” is corrected to read “– We refer readers to Table 16”.
2. On page 52119,
 - a. In the footnote to Table 11, the phrase “*We refer readers to the Table 11” is corrected to read “*We refer readers to the Table 10”.
 - b. In the footnote to Table 13, the phrase “*We refer readers to the Table 12” is corrected to read “*We refer readers to the Table 10”.
3. On page 52120,
 - a. In the footnote to Table 14, the phrase “*We refer readers to the Table 14” is corrected to read “*We refer readers to the Table 10”.
 - b. In the footnote to Table 14, the phrase “**As is illustrated in Table 14” is corrected to read “**As is illustrated in Table 10”.
 - c. In the footnote to Table 15, the phrase “*We refer readers to the Table 15” is corrected to read “*We refer readers to the Table 10”.
 - d. In the footnote to Table 15, the phrase “***As is illustrated in Table 15” is corrected to read “***As is illustrated in Table 10”.
4. On page 52121, in the footnote to Table 16, the phrase “**As illustrated in

Table 16” is corrected to read “**As illustrated in Table 10”.

Dated: August 25, 2016.

Madhura Valverde,

*Executive Secretary to the Department,
Department of Health and Human Services.*

[FR Doc. 2016–20897 Filed 8–30–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

43 CFR Parts 3100, 3110, and 3120

[16X.LLWO310000.L13100000.PP0000]

RIN 1004–AE48

BLM Internet-Based Auctions

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This procedural rule amends certain provisions of the oil and gas regulations administered by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to recognize that the BLM is authorized to use either oral or internet-based auction procedures to conduct oil and gas lease sales under the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended (MLA). The changes made by this rule update the BLM’s regulations to be consistent with the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 (NDAA), which specifically granted the BLM the authority to use internet-based bidding for its competitive oil and gas lease sales.

DATES: This rule is effective on August 31, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions on technical issues, contact Jully McQuilliams, Senior Mineral Leasing Specialist, by telephone at 202–912–7156, or by email to jmcquilliams@blm.gov. For regulatory questions, contact Jennifer Noe, Division of Regulatory Affairs, by telephone at 202–912–7442, or by email to jnoe@blm.gov. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 800–877–8339 to contact the above individuals during normal business hours. FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week to leave a message or question with the above individuals. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

This rule makes minor amendments to the BLM regulations governing

onshore oil and gas lease sales to make them consistent with existing statutory authority that allows the BLM to use either oral or internet-based auction procedures.

The MLA authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to lease federally owned deposits of oil and gas and the lands containing those deposits in the manner provided for in the Act. 30 U.S.C. 181–287. The Secretary has delegated responsibility for implementing that authority to the BLM. Prior to 2015, the BLM was authorized to conduct oil and gas lease sales using only oral auction methods. *See* 30 U.S.C. 226(b)(1) (“Lease sales shall be conducted by oral bidding.”). As a result, the BLM’s implementing regulations governing lease sales in 43 CFR parts 3100, 3110, and 3120, reference only oral auctions or oral bidding. *See e.g.*, 43 CFR 3120.1–2, 3120.5–1. Under these regulations, parties interested in obtaining a Federal oil or gas lease were required to travel to the physical location of a BLM auction (normally the BLM State Office where the parcels being offered were located) in order to participate in person in the oral auction for the parcels being offered. Generally speaking, those sales were conducted by a BLM-contracted auctioneer who facilitated the auction in an escalating bid sequential manner. The lease sale would start with the auctioneer stating the minimum bid. Interested bidders would increase their bids until the highest bidder for each parcel prevailed and was ultimately awarded the parcel. *See* 30 U.S.C. 226(b)(1)(A); 43 CFR 3120.5–3(b).

Recognizing the costs associated with holding in-person oil and gas lease sales and the opportunities for increased efficiency provided by an internet-based system, Congress, in 2008, directed the Secretary of the Interior, through the BLM, to conduct an oil and gas leasing internet pilot program. Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008, Public Law 110–161, Sec. 117, 121 Stat. 2120 (2007). Accordingly, the BLM conducted an internet-based auction pilot in 2009, offering parcels located on BLM-managed lands in Colorado to test the feasibility of internet-based lease sales. The purpose of the pilot was to evaluate the potential costs and benefits to the Federal Government and lease sale participants from using such a system. For this pilot, the BLM relied on a system that had been developed by a private entity.

As outlined in a subsequent report to Congress submitted in February 2012, which presented the results of the 2009 internet-based auction pilot, the BLM found that transitioning to internet-based lease sales would have immediate