

populations, and to prevent the introduction, transmission, or spread of communicable diseases within the United States. Insights gained from this information collection will assist in pandemic preparedness planning and implementation of CDC Pre-Pandemic Guidance on the use of school related measures, including school closures, to slow transmission during an influenza pandemic.

School closures were considered an important measure during the earliest stage of the 2009 H1N1 pandemic, because a pandemic vaccine was not available until October (six months later), and sufficient stocks to immunize all school-age children were not available until December. However, retrospective review of the U.S. government response to the pandemic identified a limited evidence-base regarding the effectiveness, acceptability, and feasibility of various school related measures during mild or moderately severe pandemics. Guidance updates will require an evidence-based rationale for determining the appropriate triggers, timing, and duration of school related measures, including school closures, during a pandemic.

CDC staff proposes that the information collection for this project will target adult and child populations

in a school district in Wisconsin. CDC will collect reports of individual student symptoms, vaccination status, recent travel, recent exposure to people with influenza symptoms, and duration of illness. In accordance with the revised proposal, CDC will also collect reports on household composition, and influenza vaccination status; symptoms and severity of illness; related healthcare visits; and missed work or school of the participating students' household members. This information accomplished through telephone and in-person interviews.

CDC will use findings obtained from this information to inform and update CDC's Pre-pandemic Guidance on the implementation of school related measures to prevent the spread of influenza, especially school closures. Both state and local health departments in the United States use this guidance as an important planning and reference tool.

CDC has enrolled in the study 651 students absent from school due to ILI since gaining OMB approval in December 2014, 651 students absent from school due to ILI. Of them, 58% were positive for at least one respiratory pathogen included in the PCR panel that tests for the presence of 17 common respiratory viruses, and 27% of the students were found to be positive for

influenza. It was demonstrated that absenteeism due to ILI in school children was highly correlated with PCR-confirmed influenza in enrolled school children ($r = 0.73$; $P < 0.001$) and with medically-attended influenza in the surrounding community ($r = 0.72$; $P < 0.001$) suggesting that ILI-specific school absenteeism can be considered a useful tool for predicting influenza outbreaks in the surrounding community. However, researchers require more observations during influenza seasons caused by other influenza strains to make these findings more robust.

This revision adds a household transmission component to the ongoing approved information collection. In addition to collecting data and biospecimens from students who were absent from school because of the ILI, information and biospecimens will also be collected from household members of these students. This revision aims to enhance current knowledge and understanding of introduction of influenza infection to households that have school-age children as well as within-household transmission.

There are no costs to the respondents other than their time. The total estimated annual burden hours are 419.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)
Parents of children/adolescents or adult students (≥18 yo) attending schools.	Screening Form	345	1	5/60
	Acute Respiratory Infection and Influenza Surveillance Form.	300	1	15/60
	Household Study Form	300	1	5/60
Student	Biospecimen collection	300	2	5/60
Household members	Household Study Form	720	2	10/60

Leroy A. Richardson,
 Chief, Information Collection Review Office,
 Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the
 Associate Director for Science, Office of the
 Director, Centers for Disease Control and
 Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2017-24315 Filed 11-7-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day-18-17AZX]

Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has submitted the information collection request titled Zika Puerto Rico Study: Zika Virus RNA Persistence in Pregnant Women and Congenitally Exposed Infants in Puerto Rico to the Office of Management and Budget

(OMB) for review and approval. CDC previously published a "Proposed Data Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations" notice on April 19, 2017 to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. CDC did not receive comments related to the previous notice. This notice serves to allow an additional 30 days for public and affected agency comments.

CDC will accept all comments for this proposed information collection project. The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that:

- (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the

functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;

(d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses; and

(e) Assess information collection costs.

To request additional information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, call (404) 639-7570 or send an email to omb@cdc.gov. Direct written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice to the Attention: CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 395-5806. Provide written comments within 30 days of notice publication.

Proposed Project

Zika Puerto Rico Study: Zika Virus RNA Persistence in Pregnant Women and Congenitally Exposed Infants in Puerto Rico—New—National Center of Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

The Puerto Rico Department of Health (PRDH) reported the first case of autochthonous transmission of Zika virus (ZIKV) in December 2015. As of December 16, 2016, Puerto Rico reported 35,648 ZIKV cases, more than any other location in the U.S., and health officials expect the number of cases to continue to rise. Among the cases, 2,864 have been among pregnant women, and the PRDH announced the first case of microcephaly in a fetus with confirmed ZIKV infection on May 13, 2016. Currently, testing for ZIKV infection can be done by either using rRT-PCR to detect the presence of ZIKV RNA or by serologic testing to detect IgM and neutralizing antibodies. rRT-PCR testing is the preferred and suggested method for diagnosing ZIKV infection because it provides a definitive diagnosis and is not subject to the limitations (e.g., cross-reactivity)

associated with serology testing.

However because level of viremia is generally low and RNA concentrations decline over time, ZIKV rRT-PCR has generally only been considered for a short testing window (2 weeks).

Currently, the CDC and the PRDH recommend ZIKV testing of all pregnant women living in areas with active ZIKV transmission, such as Puerto Rico. Symptomatic pregnant women should have serum and urine tested for the presence of ZIKV RNA by rRT-PCR within two weeks of symptom onset. Symptomatic pregnant women tested more than two weeks after symptom onset and symptomatic women with negative rRT-PCR test results should have serologic testing. CDC recommends serologic testing of asymptomatic pregnant women at the initiation of prenatal care and again during their second and third trimesters as a part of routine care; CDC recommends serum and urine rRT-PCR testing after a positive or equivocal serological test result to identify persistent RNA and to provide a definitive diagnosis. For infants, CDC currently recommends ZIKV testing within two days of life for infants born to women with laboratory evidence of possible ZIKV and for infants who have abnormal clinical or neuroimaging findings suggestive of congenital ZIKV syndrome, regardless of maternal ZIKV test results.

Limited data suggest that ZIKV RNA might be detectable for a much longer period in whole blood than in serum or urine; however, researchers have primarily seen these results in non-pregnant adults. While ZIKV RNA typically only persists in serum for 3–7 days and is thought to clear by 10 days, animal data suggest that pregnancy may be associated with prolonged detection of ZIKV RNA. An ongoing study of pregnant Rhesus macaques found ZIKV RNA in plasma up to 36 and 71 days post first trimester infection, and up to 9 and 36 days after third trimester infection. Preliminary results from a first trimester-infected macaque with detectable virus for 71 days indicate that the fetus had no clinical signs of microcephaly but fetal necropsy showed ZIKV RNA in the axillary lymph nodes, bone marrow, and optic nerve (although not in brain tissue). By comparison, two non-pregnant female animals no longer had detectable RNA at 17 days post-infection.

Limited data from human studies also suggest that pregnant women have persistent detection of ZIKV RNA in serum. Symptomatic women had detectable virus at 17, 23, 44, and 46 days post symptom onset and one

asymptomatic woman was still rRT-PCR positive 53 days after returning from travel. In one symptomatic pregnant woman with prolonged detection of ZIKV RNA, the pregnancy ended as a fetal loss and researchers found ZIKV RNA in the fetus. Findings from these case reports and series led to the hypothesis that persistent detection of RNA in pregnant women may be a marker of fetal infection and thus, potentially a marker of adverse fetal outcomes including microcephaly and brain abnormalities. However, researchers need more data including whether the detection of IgM influences the risk of adverse infant outcomes.

Researchers know even less about persistent detection of ZIKV RNA and IgM in infants. One case study reported persistent ZIKV RNA detection in a male child born in Brazil at 40 weeks gestation with brain abnormalities. Fifty-four days after birth, the infant's serum, saliva, and urine all tested positive for ZIKV RNA; the detection of ZIKV RNA continued in the infant's serum on day 67 and had cleared by day 216. The infant exhibited no obvious illness or evidence of being immunocompromised when examined on day 54. However, he demonstrated neuropsychomotor developmental delay, with global hypertonia and spastic hemiplegia, by 6 months of age. The duration of IgM detection in infants is also important to determine the window of diagnostic utility of this test for infants not tested at birth.

Due to the short window of time during which ZIKV RNA is typically detectable in serum, expanding rRT-PCR testing to asymptomatic women and women outside of the two-week window may provide more information than serologic testing alone. This is because positive serology does not allow for definitive diagnosis of infection as false positives and cross-reactivity with other flaviviruses complicates diagnosis. The rRT-PCR, per standard, requires a blood sample obtained by venipuncture for ZIKV RNA detection. However, recent unpublished data from the Institute Pasteur have demonstrated that in 57% of patients there was a significantly longer ZIKV RNA detection in capillary blood samples collected from Zika positive pregnant women tested with rRT-PCR than in venous samples. Similar findings from a study conducted during the Ebola outbreak showed that capillary blood samples can be used as an alternative to venous blood samples, and may be a more accurate method for monitoring viral load.

If prolonged ZIKV RNA persistence is, in fact, a marker of fetal infection and

adverse outcomes, determining the prevalence of prolonged detection of ZIKV RNA is essential for clinical management of pregnant women with ZIKV infection and public health planning for the outbreak. Further, understanding persistent ZIKV RNA in congenitally-exposed infants is also important for clinical management of infants and identifying adverse outcomes that may present several months after birth. Finally, understanding the relationship between

persistence and viral load may inform clinical guidance and management of pregnant women and their families.

In this study, we will estimate the prevalence and duration of persistent ZIKV RNA in pregnant women and congenitally exposed infants. We will also evaluate the diagnostic utility of PCR testing for ZIKV RNA on capillary blood and determine if persistent ZIKV RNA in pregnant women is associated with adverse outcomes or infection in infants. Finally, we will examine the

association of different factors that are associated with persistent detection of ZIKV RNA in pregnant women and congenitally exposed infants.

This study will provide critical data in establishing guidance for testing in pregnant women and congenitally exposed infants. There are no costs to the respondents other than their time. The total estimated annual burden hours are 785.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)
ZIKV positive Pregnant women	Pregnant women screening form	150	1	2/60
ZIKV positive Pregnant women	Pregnant women enrollment questionnaire ...	150	1	8/60
ZIKV positive Pregnant women	Pregnant women symptom questionnaire	150	1	8/60
ZIKV positive Pregnant women	Pregnant women follow-up questionnaire	150	30	8/60
ZIKV positive Pregnant women	Infant enrollment and delivery questionnaire	150	1	8/60
ZIKV positive Pregnant women	Infant follow-up questionnaire	150	6	8/60

Leroy A. Richardson,
 Chief, Information Collection Review Office,
 Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the
 Associate Director for Science, Office of the
 Director, Centers for Disease Control and
 Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2017-24314 Filed 11-7-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-18-0931; Docket No. CDC-2017-0096]

Proposed Data Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice with comment period.

SUMMARY: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce public burden and maximize the utility of government information, invites the general public and other Federal agencies the opportunity to comment on a proposed and/or continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This notice invites comment on a proposed information collection project titled “Healthy Homes and Lead Poisoning Surveillance System (HHL PSS)”. The overarching goal of the

Healthy Homes and Lead Poisoning Surveillance System (HHL PSS) is to support healthy homes surveillance activities at the state and national levels.

DATES: CDC must receive written comments on or before January 8, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CDC-2017-0096 by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Leroy A. Richardson, Information Collection Review Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE., MS-D74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and Docket Number. CDC will post, without change, all relevant comments to Regulations.gov.

Please note: Submit all Federal comments through the Federal eRulemaking portal (regulations.gov) or by U.S. mail to the address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, contact Leroy A. Richardson, Information Collection Review Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE., MS-D74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329; phone: 404-639-7570; Email: omb@cdc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), Federal agencies

must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. In addition, the PRA also requires Federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each new proposed collection, each proposed extension of existing collection of information, and each reinstatement of previously approved information collection before submitting the collection to the OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, we are publishing this notice of a proposed data collection as described below.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments that will help:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology,