customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope is dispositive.⁵

Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review, specifically the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the Order were to be revoked, is provided in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice.6 The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at http:// enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the *Order* would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average dumping margins up to 154.07 percent.⁷

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders

is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: September 19, 2018.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2018–20844 Filed 9–25–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-066]

Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that imports of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) resin from the People's Republic of China (China) are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The final dumping margins of sales at LTFV are listed in the "Final Determination" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable September 26, 2018. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Schauer or Michael Romani, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0410 and (202) 482–0198, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination* in the LTFV investigation of PTFE resin from China on May 7, 2018. For a complete description of the events that followed the *Preliminary Determination*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.²

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation is January 1, 2017, through June 30, 2017.

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is PTFE resin from China. For a full description of the scope of this investigation, *see* the "Scope of the Investigation" in Appendix I of this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs that were submitted by parties in this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of issues raised is attached to this notice at Appendix II. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and to all parties in Commerce's Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), we verified the U.S. sales and factors of production information submitted by Daikin Fluorochemicals (China) Co., Ltd. (Daikin), and Shandong Dongyue Polymer Material Co., Ltd. (Dongyue) in May 2018 and June 2018.³ We used standard verification procedures, including an examination of relevant accounting and production records, and original source documents provided by Daikin and Dongyue.

⁵ For the complete scope language, see "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Xanthan Gum from the People's Republic of China," (Issues and Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.

 $^{^{6}\,}See$ Issues and Decision Memorandum.

⁷ See Xanthan Gum from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 78 FR 33351 (June 4, 2013) (Final Determination), unchanged in Xanthan Gum from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order, 78 FR 43143 (July 19, 2013) (Order).

¹ See Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures, 83 FR 20039 (May 7, 2018) (Preliminary Determination) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See the Memorandum, "Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from the People's Republic of China: Issues

and Decision Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value," dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 3}\,See$ the Reports, "Verification of the Questionnaire Responses of Daikin Fluorochemicals (China) Co., Ltd. in the Antidumping Investigation of Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from the People's Republic of China" dated June 4, 2018 (Daikin CEP Verification Report), "Verification of the Questionnaire Responses of Daikin Fluorochemicals (China) Co., Ltd. in the Antidumping Investigation of Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from the People's Republic of China" dated July 10, 2018 (Daikin FOP Verification Report), and "Verification of the Questionnaire Responses of Shandong Dongyue Polymer Material Co., Ltd. in the Antidumping Investigation of Polytetrafluorethylene Resin from the People's Republic of China" dated July 13, 2018 (Dongyue Verification Report).

China-Wide Entity and Use of Adverse Facts Available

We continue to find that the use of facts available is warranted in determining the rate of the China-wide entity pursuant to section 776(a)(1) and (a)(2)(A)–(C) of the Act.⁴ Further, we found that the China-wide entity did not cooperate to the best of its ability to comply with our requests for information and, accordingly, we determined it appropriate to apply adverse inferences in selecting from the

facts available, pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.308(c).

Changes From the Preliminary Determination

Based on our analysis of the comments received and our findings at verification, we made certain changes to our dumping margin calculation for Daikin and Dongyue and revised the margins for non-selected respondents and the China-wide entity to reflect the revised margins for Daikin and Dongyue.⁵

Combination Rates

Consistent with *Preliminary Determination* ⁶ and Policy Bulletin 05.1,⁷ Commerce calculated combination rates for the respondents that are eligible for a separate rate in this investigation.

Final Determination

Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist:

Exporter	Producer	Estimated weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
Daikin Fluorochemicals (China) Co., Ltd	Daikin Fluorochemicals (China) Co., Ltd Shandong Dongyue Polymer Material Co., Ltd	91.65 54.41
Hangzhou Fine Fluorotech Co., Ltd	Qingdao Orientalflon New Materials Co., Ltd	77.13
Hangzhou Fine Fluorotech Co., Ltd	Zhejiang Juhua Co., Ltd. Fluor-Polymeric Plant	77.13
Shanghai Huayi 3f New Materials Sales Co., Ltd	Shanghai 3f New Materials Co., Ltd	77.13
China-Wide Entity		218.88

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties in this proceeding within five days after public announcement of the final determination or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of the notice of final determination in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of PTFE resin from China, as described in Appendix I of this notice, which were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after May 7, 2018, the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determination* of this investigation in the **Federal Register**.

Pursuant to section 735(c)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act, upon the publication of this notice, Commerce will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit ⁸ equal to the weighted-average amount by which the normal value exceeds U.S. price as follows: (1) The cash deposit rate for the exporter/producer combinations listed

in the table above will be the rate identified in the table; (2) for all combinations of Chinese exporters/ producers of merchandise under consideration that have not received their own separate rate above, the cashdeposit rate will be the cash deposit rate established for the China-wide entity; and (3) for all non-Chinese exporters of merchandise under consideration which have not received their own separate rate above, the cash-deposit rate will be the cash deposit rate applicable to the Chinese exporter/producer combination that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we will notify the International Trade Commission (ITC) of our final affirmative determination of sales at LTFV. Because the final determination in this proceeding is affirmative, in accordance with section 735(b)(2) of the Act, the ITC will make its final determination as to whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of

subject merchandise from China no later than 45 days after our final determination. If the ITC determines that such injury does not exist, this proceeding will be terminated, and all securities posted will be refunded or canceled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, Commerce will issue an antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess, upon further instruction by Commerce, antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice will serve as a reminder to the parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

⁴ See Preliminary Determination and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 14–17.

 $^{^5}$ See Issues and Decision Memorandum for a discussion of these changes.

⁶ See Preliminary Determinations, 83 FR at 20039–40.

⁷ See Enforcement and Compliance's Policy Bulletin No. 05.1, regarding, "Separate-Rates Practice and Application of Combination Rates in Antidumping Investigations involving Non-Market Economy Countries," dated April 5, 2005 (Policy Bulletin 05.1), available on Commerce's website at http://enforcement.trade.gov/policy/bull05-1.pdf.

⁸ See Modification of Regulations Regarding the Practice of Accepting Bonds During the Provisional Measures Period in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations, 76 FR 61042 (October 3, 2011).

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(c).

Dated: September 19, 2018.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) resin, including but not limited to granular, dispersion, or coagulated dispersion (also known as fine powder). PTFE resin is covered by the scope of this investigation whether filled or unfilled, whether or not modified, and whether or not containing copolymer additives, pigments, or other materials. Also included is PTFE resin wet raw polymer. The chemical formula for PTFE resin is C2F4, and the Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) Registry number is 9002–84–0.

PTFE resin further processed into micropowder, having particle size typically ranging from 1 to 25 microns, and a melt-flow rate no less than 0.1 gram/10 minutes, is excluded from the scope of this investigation.

PTFE resin is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 3904.61.0010 and 3904.61.0090. Subject merchandise may also be classified under HTSUS subheading 3904.69.5000. Although the HTSUS subheadings and CAS Number are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope Comments

IV. Surrogate Country

V. Separate Rates

VI. Cĥina-Wide Rate

VII. Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

VIII. Discussion of the Issues

- a. Daikin Fluorochemicals (China) Co., Ltd.
- 1. Unreported U.S. Sales
- 2. Ocean Freight Expenses
- 3. Factor of Production of a Certain Input
- 4. Surrogate Value for R-22
- 5. Surrogate Financial Ratios
- b. Separate Rate Eligibility

IX. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2018–20846 Filed 9–25–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-351-845]

Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products From Brazil: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain hotrolled carbon steel flat products from Brazil are being, or are likely to be, sold at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), March 22, 2016, through September 30, 2017.

DATES: Applicable September 26, 2018. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jessica Pomper or Peter Zukowski, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–9122 or (202) 482–0189, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The review covers six producers and/ or exporters of the subject merchandise. Commerce selected one mandatory respondent, Companhia Siderurgica Nacional (CSN), for individual examination. The producers/exporters that were not selected for individual examination are listed in the "Final Results of the Review" section of this notice.

On July 13, 2018, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.¹ Although we invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of the review, no interested party submitted comments. Accordingly, we are adopting unchanged the *Preliminary Results* for these final results and no decision memorandum accompanies this **Federal Register** notice. Commerce conducted this administrative review in

accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain hot-rolled steel flat products from Brazil. For a complete description of the scope of this order, please refer to the Appendix to this notice.

Methodology

In the Preliminary Results, Commerce relied upon facts otherwise available with adverse inferences (AFA) to determine an antidumping margin for CSN because this mandatory respondent did not respond to Commerce's antidumping duty questionnaire. Because no parties commented on the Preliminary Results, we are adopting in these final results of review the decisions outlined in the Preliminary Results. In accordance with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit's decision in Albemarle Corp. v. United States,² we are applying to the non-selected respondents the adjusted dumping margin we are applying to CSN in this administrative review.³ This is the only margin determined in this review for an individual respondent, and thus, it is applicable to the nonselected respondents under section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

Adverse Facts Available

Pursuant to section 776(a) and (b) of the Act, Commerce relied upon AFA to determine an antidumping margin for CSN because this respondent did not respond to Commerce's antidumping duty questionnaire. For a complete explanation of the analysis underlying the application of AFA, see Preliminary Results.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we are assigning a dumping margin to the respondents for the period March 22, 2016, through September 30, 2017, as follows:

¹ See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Brazil: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017, 83 FR 32632 (July 13, 2018) (Preliminary Results) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

 $^{^2\,}See$ Albemarle Corp. v. United States, 821 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2016).

³ See, e.g., Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015– 2016, 83 FR 17527 (April 20, 2018).