

and for customs purposes only and are not dispositive, but rather the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.⁸

[FR Doc. 2021–18368 Filed 8–25–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–877]

Stainless Steel Flanges From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that exporters/producers of stainless steel flanges from India made sales at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR) March 28, 2018, through September 30, 2019.

DATES: Applicable August 26, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benito Ballesteros, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7425.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 24, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.¹ On

April 2, 2021, we received timely-filed case briefs from Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited (Bebitz)² Chandan Steel Limited (Chandan),³ and Pradeep Metals Limited,⁴ and a joint brief from Balkrishna *et al.* and Bebitz.⁵ On April 9, 2021, the petitioner timely filed its rebuttal brief.⁶ On May 11, 2021, Commerce held a public hearing, limited to the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs.⁷ On June 2, 2021, we extended the deadline for the final results of this review until August 20, 2021.⁸ For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁹

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is stainless steel flanges from India. For a complete description of the scope of this order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.¹⁰

Analysis of Comments Received

We addressed all issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. Attached to this notice, in Appendix I, is a list of the issues which parties raised. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete

version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html/>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made no changes to the *Preliminary Results*.¹¹

Rate for Non-Selected Companies

In accordance with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit’s decision in *Albemarle*,¹² Commerce continues to assign to the companies not individually examined (see Appendix II for a full list of these companies) a margin of 145.25 percent, which is the dumping margin assigned to mandatory respondent Chandan. Commerce has addressed arguments from various interested parties regarding this rate, which we assigned to the non-examined companies in the *Preliminary Results*, and, for the final results, the determination remains unchanged, as discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.¹³

Final Results of Administrative Review

We are assigning the following dumping margin to the firms listed below for the POR, March 28, 2018, through September 30, 2019:

Exporter/producer	Dumping margin (percent)	Cash deposit rate (adjusted for subsidy offsets) (percent) ¹⁴
Chandan Steel Limited	145.25	140.38
Companies Not Individually Examined (excluding Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited) ¹⁵	145.25	140.38

⁸ On April 26, 2011, Commerce amended the order to include dusted shrimp, pursuant to the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) decision in *Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee v. United States*, 703 F. Supp. 2d 1330 (CIT 2010) and the U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC) determination, which found the domestic like product to include dusted shrimp. See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Brazil, India, the People’s Republic of China, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Amended Antidumping Duty Orders in Accordance with Final Court Decision*, 76 FR 23277 (April 26, 2011); see also *Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee v. United States*, 703 F. Supp. 2d 1330 (CIT 2010); and *Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam* (Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1063, 1064, 1066–1068 (Review), USITC Publication 4221, March 2011).

¹ See *Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 11233 (February 24, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Bebitz’s Letter, “Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Case Brief,” dated April 2, 2021.

³ On April 30, 2021, we rejected Chandan’s case brief for relying on untimely-filed new factual information (NFI). See Commerce Letter, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Rejection of New Factual Information,” dated April 30, 2021. Therefore, on May 4, 2021, Chandan refiled its case brief after removing the NFI. See Chandan’s Letter, “Certain Stainless Steel Flanges from India (A–533–877—AR1), Submission of Case Brief for Chandan Steel (Refile),” dated May 4, 2021.

⁴ See Pradeep Metals’ Letter, “Certain Stainless Steel Flanges from India (A–533–877—AR1), Submission of Case Brief for Pradeep Metals Limited,” dated April 2, 2021.

⁵ See Balkrishna *et al.*/Bebitz’s Letter, “Stainless Steel Flanges from India: ‘All Other’ Case Brief,” dated April 2, 2021.

⁶ On July 19, 2021, we rejected the petitioner’s rebuttal brief for containing citations to untimely-filed NFI submitted by Chandan. See Commerce’s Letter, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Rejection of Petitioner’s Rebuttal Brief,” dated July 19, 2021. On July 21, 2021, the petitioner refiled its rebuttal brief after removing the NFI. See Petitioner’s Letter,

“Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Resubmission of Petitioner’s Rebuttal Brief,” dated July 21, 2021.

⁷ See Hearing Transcript, “The Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Public Hearing,” dated May 11, 2021.

⁸ See Memorandum, “Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019,” dated June 2, 2021.

⁹ See Memorandum, “Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019,” dated August 20, 2021 (Issues and Decision Memorandum) which is dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² See *Preliminary Results* (citing *Albemarle Corp. v. United States*, 821 F. 3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (*Albemarle*)).

¹³ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 3.

Exporter/producer	Dumping margin (percent)	Cash deposit rate (adjusted for subsidy offsets) (percent) ¹⁴
Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited ¹⁶	145.25	145.25

Disclosure

Normally, Commerce discloses the calculations performed in its analysis to parties in a review within five days of the date of publication of the notice of final results, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, because Commerce applied a rate based on total AFA to the mandatory respondent in this review, in accordance with section 776 of the Act, there are no calculations to disclose.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. We intend to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).¹⁷

For the final results, we will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate equal to the dumping margins shown above to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by Chandan or exported by the companies which were not selected for individual examination. We intend to instruct CBP to take into account the “provisional measures deposit cap,” in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(d).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon

¹⁴ See *Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Critical Circumstance Determination*, 83 FR 40745 (August 16, 2018).

¹⁵ See Appendix II.

¹⁶ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4.

¹⁷ See *Notice of Discontinuation of Policy to Issue Liquidation Instructions After 15 Days in Applicable Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Proceedings*, 86 FR 3995 (January 15, 2021).

publication of the notice of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Chandan and the companies not individually examined will be equal to the rates established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered by this review but covered by a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered by this review or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 14.29 percent,¹⁸ the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment

¹⁸ See *Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Antidumping Duty Order*, 83 FR 50639 (October 9, 2018) (*Order*).

of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: August 20, 2021.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Application of AFA to Chandan
 - Comment 2: Selection of the AFA Rate
 - Comment 3: All-Others Rate for Non-Examined Companies
 - Comment 4: Export Subsidies Offset
- V. Recommendation

Appendix II—List of Non-Examined Companies

- 1. Arien Global
- 2. Armstrong International Pvt. Ltd
- 3. Avinimetal
- 4. Balkrishna Steel Forge Pvt. Ltd
- 5. Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited
- 6. Bee Gee Enterprises
- 7. Bsl Freight Solutions Pvt., Ltd
- 8. CD Industries (Prop. Kisaan Engineering Works Pvt. Ltd)
- 9. Cipriani Harrison Valves Pvt. Ltd
- 10. CTL Logistics (India) Pvt. Ltd
- 11. Echjay Forgings Private Ltd ¹⁹
- 12. Fivebros Forgings Pvt. Ltd
- 13. Fluid Controls Pvt. Ltd
- 14. Geodis Oversea Pvt., Ltd
- 15. Globelink WW India Pvt., Ltd
- 16. Goodluck India Ltd
- 17. Hilton Metal Forging Limited
- 18. Jai Auto Pvt. Ltd
- 19. JAY JAGDAMBA FORGINGS PRIVATE LIMITED
- 20. JAY JAGDAMBA LIMITED ²⁰
- 21. JAY JAGDAMBA PROFILE PRIVATE LIMITED

¹⁹ In the *Initiation Notice*, this company also appeared as “Echjay Forgings Private Limited.” See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 67712, 67714 (December 11, 2019) (*Initiation Notice*).

²⁰ In the *Initiation Notice*, this company also appeared as “Jay Jagdamba Ltd.” *Id.*

22. Kisaan Die Tech
23. Kunj Forgings Pvt. Ltd
24. Montane Shipping Pvt., Ltd
25. Noble Shipping Pvt. Ltd
26. Paramount Forge
27. Pashupati Tradex Pvt., Ltd
28. Peekay Steel Castings Pvt. Ltd
29. Pradeep Metals Ltd
30. R D Forge Pvt., Ltd
31. Rolex Fittings India Pvt. Ltd
32. Rollwell Forge Pvt. Ltd
33. Safewater Lines (I) Pvt. Ltd
34. Saini Flange Pvt. Ltd
35. SAR Transport Systems
36. Shilpan Steelcast Pvt. Ltd
37. Shree Jay Jagdamba Flanges Pvt. Ltd
38. Teamglobal Logistics Pvt. Ltd
39. Technical Products Corporation
40. Technocraft Industries India Ltd
41. Transworld Global
42. VEEYES Engineering Pvt. Ltd
43. Vishal Shipping Agencies Pvt. Ltd
44. Yusen Logistics (India) Pvt. Ltd

[FR Doc. 2021-18367 Filed 8-25-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-857]

Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 12, 2021, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the initiation and preliminary results of a changed circumstances review (CCR) of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada. For these final results, Commerce continues to find that CHAP Alliance, Inc. (CHAP) is the successor-in-interest to L'Atelier de Réadaptation au Travail de Beauce Inc. (L'Atelier) in the context of the AD order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada.

DATES: Applicable August 26, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maisha Cryor, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5831.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 5, 2021, CHAP requested¹ that, pursuant to section 751(b) of the

¹ See CHAP's Letter, "Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: L'Atelier de Réadaptation au Travail de Beauce Inc. Request for Changed Circumstances Reviews," dated May 5, 2021 (CCR Request).

Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.216, Commerce conduct an expedited CCR of the AD order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada² to confirm that CHAP is the successor-in-interest to L'Atelier, and accordingly to assign it the cash deposit rate of L'Atelier.³ In its submission, CHAP states that while L'Atelier undertook its name, the company is otherwise primarily unchanged.⁴ On June 8, 2021, Commerce informed CHAP that it required additional information in order to determine whether to initiate the requested CCR.⁵ On June 24, 2021, CHAP provided the requested information.⁶

On July 6, 2021, Commerce initiated a CCR and preliminarily determined that CHAP is the successor-in-interest to L'Atelier.⁷ In the *Initiation and Preliminary Results CCR*, Commerce provided all interested parties with an opportunity to comment.⁸ However, we received no comments.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is softwood lumber, siding, flooring and certain other coniferous wood (softwood lumber products). Softwood lumber product imports are generally entered under Chapter 44 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the *Order* is dispositive.⁹

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

For the reasons stated in the *Initiation and Preliminary Results CCR*, Commerce continues to find that CHAP is the successor-in-interest to L'Atelier. As a result of this determination and

² See *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Antidumping Duty Order and Partial Amended Final Determination*, 83 FR 350 (January 3, 2018) (*Order*).

³ See CCR Request at 1-2.

⁴ *Id.* at 2-6.

⁵ See Commerce's Letter, "Changed Circumstances Review of Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Supplemental Questionnaire," dated June 8, 2021.

⁶ See CHAP's Letter, "Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Supplemental Questionnaire Response," dated June 24, 2021.

⁷ See *Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada*, 86 FR 36525 (July 12, 2021) (*Initiation and Preliminary Results CCR*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

⁸ See *Initiation and Preliminary Results CCR*, 86 FR at 36526.

⁹ For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see *Initiation and Preliminary Results CCR* Preliminary Decision Memorandum (no changes in these final results).

consistent with established practice, we find that CHAP should receive the cash deposit rates previously assigned to L'Atelier. Consequently, Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation of all shipments of subject merchandise produced or exported by L'Atelier and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice in the **Federal Register** at the cash deposit rate in effect for L'Atelier. This cash deposit requirement shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing this determination and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) and (2) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.216(e), 351.221(b), and 351.221(c)(3).

Dated: August 20, 2021.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

[FR Doc. 2021-18369 Filed 8-25-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-580-884]

Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to Hyundai Steel Co., Ltd. (Hyundai Steel), a producer and exporter of certain hot-rolled steel flat products (hot-rolled steel) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018.

DATES: Applicable August 26, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nathan James, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5305.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this review on February 22,