

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1262]

**Certain Skin Rejuvenation Resurfacing
Devices, Components Thereof, and
Products Containing the Same;
Commission Determination Not To
Review an Initial Determination
Granting Complainants' Unopposed
Motion To Terminate the Investigation
in Its Entirety Based on Settlement;
Termination of the Investigation**AGENCY: International Trade
Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 12) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting complainants’ unopposed motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 21, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by InMode Ltd. of Yokneam, Israel and Invasix Inc. d/b/a InMode of Lake Forest, California (collectively, “InMode”). 86 FR 20712-13 (Apr. 21, 2021). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain skin rejuvenation resurfacing devices, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,799,285. *Id.* The

complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation (“NOI”) named ILOODA Co., Ltd. (“ILOODA”) of Suwon, Republic of Korea and Cutera, Inc. (“Cutera”) of Brisbane, California (collectively, “Respondents”) as respondents. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in the investigation. *Id.*

On September 22, 2021, the Commission determined to review an ID (Order No. 8) of the ALJ granting InMode’s motion to amend the complaint and NOI in the above-captioned investigation to add a claim asserting a violation of 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(1)(A) against Cutera. On review, the Commission determined to vacate the ID and to remand the issue to the ALJ for further proceedings. On remand, the ALJ denied InMode’s motion on September 23, 2021.

On November 22, 2021, InMode filed an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to Respondents based on a settlement agreement between InMode and Respondents.

On December 2, 2021, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 12) granting InMode’s unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to Respondents based on settlement. The ID finds that the motion satisfies the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b) (19 CFR 210.21(b)) and that terminating the investigation as to Respondents is not contrary to the public interest. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on December 20, 2021.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 20, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021-27908 Filed 12-22-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**Investigation No. 731-TA-1574
(Preliminary)]**Superabsorbent Polymers From South
Korea****Determination**

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of superabsorbent polymers (“SAP”) from South Korea, provided for in subheading 3906.90.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”).²

**Commencement of Final Phase
Investigation**

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission’s rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigation. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission’s rules, upon notice from the U.S. Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) of an affirmative preliminary determination in the investigation under § 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determination is negative, upon notice of an affirmative final determination in that investigation under § 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigation need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigation. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigation.

Background

On November 2, 2021, the Ad Hoc Coalition of American SAP Producers, whose members include BASF Corporation (“BASF”), Florham Park,

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² 86 FR 6715 (November 30, 2021).