

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 87, No. 37

Thursday, February 24, 2022

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Notice of Public Meeting of the North Carolina Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Announcement of virtual business meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act, that the North Carolina Advisory Committee (Committee) to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights will hold a virtual debrief via Webex at 12:00 p.m. ET on Friday, March 18, 2022, to discuss the March 15, 2022, web briefing on Legal Financial Obligations in the state.

DATES: The meeting will take place on Friday, March 18, 2022, at 12:00 p.m. ET.

ADDRESSES:

Online Registration (Audio/Visual):
<https://tinyurl.com/bdzh5sxs>.

Telephone (Audio Only): Dial (800) 360-9505 USA Toll Free; Access code: 2760 596 8002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Victoria Moreno, DFO, at vmoreno@usccr.gov or (434) 515-0204.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Committee meetings are available to the public through the conference link above. Any interested member of the public may listen to the meeting. An open comment period will be provided to allow members of the public to make a statement as time allows. If joining via phone, callers can expect to incur regular charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, according to their wireless plan. The Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Individuals who are deaf, deafblind, and hard of hearing may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal

Relay Service at (800) 877-8339 and providing the Service with the conference details found through registering at the web link above. To request additional accommodations, please email vmoreno@usccr.gov at least ten (10) days prior to the meeting.

Members of the public are also entitled to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office within 30 days following the meeting. Written comments may be emailed to Liliana Schiller at lschiller@usccr.gov. Persons who desire additional information may contact the Regional Programs Coordination Unit at (312) 353-8311.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Regional Programs Coordination Unit, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Records of the meeting will be available via www.facadatabase.gov under the Commission on Civil Rights, North Carolina Advisory Committee link. Persons interested in the work of this Committee are directed to the Commission's website, <http://www.usccr.gov>, or may contact the Regional Programs Coordination Unit at the above phone number.

Agenda

- I. Welcome & Roll Call
- II. Panel Debrief
- III. Public Comment
- IV. Next Steps
- V. Adjournment

Dated: Friday, February 17, 2022.

David Mussatt,

Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2022-03860 Filed 2-23-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-04-2022]

Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 177— Evansville, Indiana; Notification of Proposed Production Activity; AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP; (Pharmaceutical Products); Mount Vernon, Indiana

AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board (the Board) for its facility in Mount

Vernon, Indiana within Subzone 177A. The notification conforming to the requirements of the Board's regulations (15 CFR 400.22) was received on February 14, 2022.

Pursuant to 15 CFR 400.14(b), FTZ production activity would be limited to the specific foreign-status materials and specific finished products described in the submitted notification (summarized below) and subsequently authorized by the Board. The benefits that may stem from conducting production activity under FTZ procedures are explained in the background section of the Board's website—accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. The proposed finished products and materials would be added to the production authority that the Board previously approved for the operation, as reflected on the Board's website.

The proposed finished products include: ARIMIDEX (anastrozole) tablets; BRILINTA (ticagrelor) tablets; CRESTOR (rosuvastatin calcium) tablets; LYNPARZA (olaparib) tablets; SEROQUEL IR (quetiapine fumarate) tablets; and, SEROQUEL XR (quetiapine fumarate) tablets (duty-free).

The proposed foreign-status materials include: Anastrozole active pharmaceutical ingredient (API); microcrystalline cellulose; olaparib API; quetiapine fumarate API; rosuvastatin calcium API; and, ticagrelor API (duty rate ranges from 5.2% to 6.5%). The request indicates that olaparib API and ticagrelor API are subject to duties under Section 301 of the Trade Act of 1974 (Section 301), depending on the country of origin. The applicable Section 301 decisions require subject merchandise to be admitted to FTZs in privileged foreign status (19 CFR 146.41).

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary and sent to: ftz@trade.gov. The closing period for their receipt is April 5, 2022.

A copy of the notification will be available for public inspection in the "Online FTZ Information System" section of the Board's website.

For further information, contact Christopher Wedderburn at Chris.Wedderburn@trade.gov.

Dated: February 17, 2022.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–03896 Filed 2–23–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–970]

Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Notice of Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 7, 2022, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT or Court) issued its final judgment in *Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited, et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14–00135, sustaining the Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s remand redetermination pertaining to the 2011–2012 antidumping duty (AD) administrative review of multilayered hardwood flooring (wood flooring) from the People's Republic of China (China) covering the period May 26, 2011, through November 30, 2012. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment in this litigation is not in harmony with the final of the 2011–2012 AD administrative review of wood flooring from China, and that Commerce is amending the final results of that review with respect to the dumping margin assigned to certain separate rate companies.

DATES: Applicable February 17, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Drew Jackson, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4406.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 9, 2014, Commerce published the final results of the first administrative review of wood flooring from China.¹ After correcting certain ministerial errors contained in the *Final Results*, on June 20, 2014, Commerce

published the *Amended Final Results*, in which Commerce amended the final weighted-average dumping margins for Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited (Fine Furniture) and certain separate rate companies.²

Fine Furniture and certain separate rate companies (collectively, plaintiffs) challenged Commerce's *Final Results*. In its first remand opinion, the CIT held unlawful the calculation of a deduction Commerce made for Chinese irrecoverable value-added tax (VAT).³ Furthermore, the CIT held two decisions Commerce made in determining the normal value of Fine Furniture's subject merchandise were not supported by substantial evidence: (1) Commerce's choice of financial statements for use in calculating surrogate financial ratios; and (2) the calculation of the surrogate value for electricity.⁴

Commerce filed the first remand redetermination on August 28, 2017, which included a recalculation of the weighted-average dumping margin of 0.73 percent for Fine Furniture. Based on this margin, Commerce assigned a rate of 0.73 percent as the revised separate rate.⁵ The CIT sustained Commerce's recalculation of the deduction for VAT and its decisions on the choice of financial statements; however, the CIT ordered Commerce to reconsider on remand its selection of the surrogate value for Fine Furniture's electricity usage.⁶

Following the CIT's opinion and order in *Fine Furniture III*, the court stayed the case pending the outcome of *Changzhou Hawd*.⁷ On February 2, 2021, following the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit or CAFC) final opinion in *Changzhou Hawd* that held that Fine Furniture was excluded from the *Order*,⁸ the CIT lifted the stay and granted Commerce's voluntary remand to recalculate an antidumping duty rate applicable to the separate rate

respondents, given Fine Furniture's exclusion from the order.⁹

In its final remand redetermination, issued in July 2021, Commerce assigned a new separate rate of 0.00 percent applicable only to those companies that are party to the litigation and that have an injunction in place.¹⁰ The CIT sustained Commerce's final remand redetermination.¹¹

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,¹² as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,¹³ the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of a court decision that is not “in harmony” with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The CIT's February 7, 2022, judgment constitutes a final court decision that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Results*. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Results* with respect to the dumping margin assigned to entries of wood flooring produced and/or exported from China, which were entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period May 26, 2011, through November 30, 2012, for the separate rate companies listed in the appendix.¹⁴ The amended weighted-average dumping margin for the companies that participated in the

⁹ See *Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited, et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14–00135, Slip Op. 21–69 (June 2, 2021) (*Fine Furniture IV*).

¹⁰ See Final Results of Remand Redetermination, *Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited, et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14–00135, Slip Op. 21–69 (CIT June 2, 2021) (July 12, 2021).

¹¹ See *Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited, et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14–00135, Slip Op. 22–9 (CIT February 7, 2022).

¹² See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

¹³ See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

¹⁴ Hangzhou Zhengtian Industrial Co., Ltd. was not subject to the first review final results. See *Final Results*. Therefore, this company's entries would have liquidated pursuant to prior liquidation instructions. In addition, Dalian Huilong Wooden Products Co., Ltd., Dunhua City Dexin Wood Industry Co., Ltd., Karly Wood Product Limited, and Kunshan Yingyi-Nature Wood Industry Co., Ltd. have no outstanding injunction for this period of review. Therefore, in accordance with our final remand redetermination and the Court's opinion, we are not assigning these companies the revised rate.

¹ See *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2011–2012, 79 FR 26712 (May 9, 2014) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

² See *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2011–2012, 79 FR 35314 (June 20, 2014) (*Amended Final Results*).

³ See *Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Ltd. v. United States*, 182 F. Supp. 3d 1350 (CIT 2016) (*Fine Furniture I*).

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order (August 28, 2017), ECF No. 337–1, 338–1.

⁶ See *Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Ltd. v. United States*, 321 F. Supp. 3d 1282 (CIT 2018) (*Fine Furniture III*).

⁷ See *Changzhou Hawd Flooring Co., Ltd. v. United States*, 947 F.3d 781 (Fed. Cir. 2020) (*Changzhou Hawd*).

⁸ See *Changzhou Hawd*, 947 F.3d at 793–94.