notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

#### **Notification to Interested Parties**

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: April 1, 2022.

### Rvan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

### Appendix I

# List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Final Determination of No Shipments V. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results* VI. Rate for Non-Examined Companies

VII. Discussion of the Issues

General Issues

Comment 1: Particular Market Situation

Comment 2: Differential Pricing

Hyundai Steel-Specific Issues

Comment 3: CV Profit and Selling Expenses

Comment 4: CV Profit Cap

Comment 5: Source for CEP Profit

Comment 6: Inland Freight From Port to Warehouse

Comment 7: Adjustment to HSU G&A Expense Ratio and Treatment of Scrap

Comment 8: HSU Financials and AFA Comment 9: Reporting of Non-API Grade OCTG and AFA

Comment 10: Further Manufacturing Yield Comment 11: Warehousing Expense and Facts Available

Comment 12: Expenses Incurred in the United States

Comment 13: Allocation of Indirect Selling Expense Ratio

Comment 14: Use of Prior POR Cost Data Comment 15: Affiliated Ocean Freight Costs

SeAH-Specific Issues

Comment 16: Constructed Export Price (CEP) Offset

Comment 17: Freight Revenue Cap Comment 18: Calculation of General and Administrative (G&A) Expenses Incurred by SeAH's U.S. Affiliate

VII. Recommendation

#### Appendix II

#### List of Companies Not Individually Examined

- 1. AJU Besteel Co., Ltd.
- 2. DB Inc.
- 3. Dong-A Steel Co., Ltd.
- 4. FM Oilfield Services Solutions LLC
- 5. Hengyang Steel Tube Group International Trading Inc.
- 6. Husteel Co., Ltd.

- 7. Hyundai Corporation
- 8. Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd.
- 9. ILJIN Steel Corporation
- 10. K Steel Corporation
- 11. KASCO
- 12. Kenwoo Metals Co., Ltd.
- 13. Kukje Steel Co., Ltd.
- 14. Kumkang Kind Co., Ltd.
- 15. Kumsoo Connecting Co., Ltd.
- 16. Master Steel Corporation 17. NEXTEEL Co., Ltd.
- 18. POSCO International Corporation
- 19. Pusan Coupling Corporation
- 20. Pusan Fitting Corporation
- 21. Sang Shin Industrial Co., Ltd. (a.k.a. SIC Tube Co., Ltd.)
- 22. SeAH Changwon Integrated Special Steel Co., Ltd.
- 23. Shin Steel Co., Ltd.
- 24. Sichuan Y&J Industries Co. Ltd.
- 25. Steel-A Co., Ltd.
- 26. Sungwon Steel Co., Ltd.
- 27. TGS Pipe Co., Ltd.
- 28. TJ Glovsteel Co., Ltd.
- 29. TPC Co., Ltd.
- 30. T-Tube Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2022-07503 Filed 4-7-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-088]

Certain Steel Racks and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2019– 2020

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) has determined that certain exporters under review sold certain steel racks and parts thereof (steel racks) from the People's Republic of China (China) in the United States at prices below normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) March 4, 2019, through August 31, 2020. Additionally, we determined that Hebei Minmetals Co., Ltd. (Hebei Minmetals) and Guangdong Wireking Housewares and Hardware Co., Ltd., (Guangdong Wireking) made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

DATES: Applicable April 8, 2022.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Bremer or Jonathan Hill, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4987 and (202) 482–3518, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On October 6, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* for this review in the **Federal Register** and invited interested parties to comment on those results. In November 2021, Commerce received comments and rebuttal comments from interested parties regarding the *Preliminary* Results.2 On January 12, 2022, Commerce held a public hearing regarding issues in this administrative review.3 On January 28, 2022, and again on March 1, 2022, Commerce extended the deadline for issuing the final results of this review.<sup>4</sup> The current deadline for issuing the final results of this review is April 1, 2022. For further details regarding the events that occurred subsequent to issuing the *Preliminary* Results, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>5</sup> Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

# Scope of the Order 6

The merchandise covered by this *Order* is steel racks and parts thereof,

- <sup>1</sup> See Certain Steel Racks and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2019–2020, 86 FR 55575 (October 6, 2021) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).
- <sup>2</sup> See Petitioner's Letter, "Case Brief," dated November 5, 2021; see also Dongsheng's Letter, "Steel Racks from the People's Republic of China— Case Brief," dated November 5, 2021; Nanjing Kingmore's Letter, "Certain Steel Racks and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China, Case No. A–570–088: Case Brief," dated November 5, 2021; Jiangsu Nova's Letter, "Steel Racks and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Letter in Lieu of Brief," dated November 5, 2021; Petitioner's Letter, "Rebuttal Brief," dated November 12, 2021; Dongsheng's Letter, "Steel Racks from the People's Republic of China-Rebuttal Brief," dated November 12, 2021; and Nanjing Kingmore's Letter, "Certain Steel Racks and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China, Case No. A-570-088: Nanjing Kingmore's Rebuttal Brief," dated November 12, 2021.
- <sup>3</sup> See Commerce Letter, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Steel Racks and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Hearing Schedule," dated January 7, 2022.
- <sup>4</sup> See Memoranda, "Extension of Deadline for Final Results," dated January 28, 2022; and "Extension of Deadline for Final Results," dated March 1, 2022.
- <sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2019– 2020 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Steel Racks and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).
- <sup>6</sup> See Certain Steel Racks and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Order; and Countervailing Duty Order, 84 FR 48584 (September 16, 2019) (Order).

assembled, to any extent, or unassembled, including but not limited to, vertical components (e.g., uprights, posts, or columns), horizontal or diagonal components (e.g., arms or beams), braces, frames, locking devices (e.g., end plates and beam connectors), and accessories (including, but not limited to, rails, skid channels, skid rails, drum/coil beds, fork clearance bars, pallet supports, row spacers, and wall ties). For a full description of the scope, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

# **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised by interested parties in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues that parties raised, and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is in Appendix I. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at https://access.trade.gov/ public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.

# **Final Determination of No Shipments**

In the *Preliminary Results*, we determined that Hebei Minmetals and Guangdong Wireking made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.<sup>7</sup> As we have not received any arguments identifying information that undermines our preliminary finding, we made no changes to that determination for the final results of review.

#### **Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

We corrected ministerial errors in our preliminary calculations of the manufacturing overhead ratio, freight-in costs, and certain net U.S. prices for Nanjing Kingmore Logistics Equipment Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Nanjing Kingmore). We also corrected the draft liquidation instructions for Nanjing Kingmore. For a discussion of these corrections, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

### **Separate Rates**

No parties commented on our preliminary separate rates determinations. We continue to find that Dongsheng and Nanjing Kingmore (i.e., the mandatory respondents), and

the four companies listed in the "Final Results of Review" section below, are eligible for a separate rate. Additionally, we have continued to deny separate rate status to each of the companies listed in Appendix II.

# Rate for Non-Examined Separate Rate Respondents

The statue and Commerce's regulations do not address what rate to apply to respondents not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for non-selected respondents that are not examined individually in an administrative review.

Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act states that the all-others rate should be calculated by averaging the weighted-average dumping margins determined for individually-examined respondents, excluding rates that are zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts available. When the rates determined for individually examined respondents are all zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act provides that Commerce may use "any reasonable method" to establish the all others rate.

The final weighted-average dumping margins that we calculated for the mandatory respondents Dongsheng and Nanjing Kingmore are not zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts available. Therefore, we assigned a weighted-average dumping margin to the non-individually examined respondents to which we granted separate rate status equal to the weighted average of the weighted-average dumping margins that we calculated for Dongsheng and Nanjing Kingmore, consistent with the guidance in section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.<sup>8</sup>

# The China-Wide Entity

Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity in this segment of the proceeding, the entity is not under review, and the entity's rate (*i.e.*, 144.50 percent) is not subject to change. Other than the companies for which we made a final no-shipment determination, Commerce considers all

other companies for which a review was requested that did not demonstrate separate rate eligibility, to be part of the China entity. 10

### **Final Results of Review**

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period March 4, 2019, through August 31, 2020:

Exporter	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
Nanjing Dongsheng Shelf Manufac-	
turing Co., Ltd	9.99
Nanjing Kingmore Logistics Equipment	
Manufacturing Co., Ltd	18.87
Review-Specific Rate Applicable to the	
Following Companies:	
Jiangsu Nova Intelligent Logistics	
Equipment Co., Ltd	14.03
Nanjing Ironstone Storage Equipment	
Co., Ltd	14.03
Suzhou (China) Sunshine Hardware	
& Equipment Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd	14.03
Xiamen Luckyroc Industry Co., Ltd	14.03
Xiamen Luckyroc Industry Co., Ltd	14.03

#### Disclosure

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(b), within five days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, we will disclose to the parties to this proceeding, the calculations that we performed for these final results of review.

#### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise covered by the final results of this review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication date of the final results of this review in the Federal Register. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Where the respondent's weightedaverage dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or perunit rate is zero or *de minimis*, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Preliminary Results PDM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Memorandum, "First Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Racks and Parts Thereof from China: Final Calculation of the Rate for Separate Rate Respondents," dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Order, 84 FR 48584.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> In this review, we have determined that the companies listed in Appendix II subject to the review are now part of the China-wide entity.

antidumping duties.<sup>11</sup> For U.S. entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales database submitted by an exporter individually examined during this review, but that entered under the case number of that exporter (*i.e.*, at the individually-examined exporter's cash deposit rate), Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the China-wide entity rate (*i.e.*, 144.50 percent).<sup>12</sup>

For any individually-examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent), we will calculate importer-specific or customer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).<sup>13</sup>

For respondents not individually examined in this administrative review that qualified for a separate rate, the assessment rate will be equal to the weighted average of the dumping margins assigned to the mandatory respondents in the final results of this review.

For the respondents not eligible for a separate rate, which we considered to be part of the China-wide entity, we intend to instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 144.50 percent (*i.e.*, the China-wide entity rate) to all U.S. entries of subject merchandise during the POR that were exported by these companies.

Additionally, if Commerce determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number will be liquidated at the China-wide entity rate.

# **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed in the table above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed for the exporter in the table; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed China and non-China exporters not listed in the table above that have

separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporterspecific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all China exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate previously established for the China-wide entity, which is 144.50 percent; and (4) for all non-China exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the China exporter that supplied that non-China exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

# Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

# Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

# **Notification to Interested Parties**

We are issuing these final results of administrative review and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: April 1, 2022.

# Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

# Appendix I

# List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background

- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results* V. Discussion of Issues
  - 1. Whether Commerce Selected the Appropriate Surrogate Country
  - 2. Whether Commerce Miscalculated the Manufacturing Overhead Ratio
  - 3. Whether Nanjing Dongsheng Shelf Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Dongsheng) is Affiliated with its U.S. Importer
  - 4. Whether Commerce Miscalculated Direct Material and Packing Costs
  - Whether to Collapse Nanjing Kingmore Logistics Equipment Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Nanjing Kingmore) with its Affiliate and Apply Total Adverse Facts Available
  - 6. Whether Commerce Miscalculated Direct Material Costs and the Net Prices of Certain U.S. Sales
  - 7. Whether Commerce Omitted a U.S. Customer from its Draft Liquidation Instructions
- V. Recommendation

### Appendix II

# Companies Determined To Not Be Eligible for a Separate Rate

- 1. Ateel Display Industries (Xiamen) Co., Ltd
- 2. Changzhou Ťianyue Storage Equipment Co., Ltd
- 3. CTC Universal (Zhangzhou) Industrial Co., Ltd
- 4. David Metal Craft Manufactory Ltd
- 5. Fujian Ever Glory Fixtures Co., Ltd
- 6. Fujian First Industry and Trade Co., Ltd
- 7. Huanghua Hualing Garden Products Co., Ltd
- 8. Huanghua Hualing Hardware Products Co., Ltd
- 9. Huanghua Xingyu Hardware Products Co.,
- 10. Huanghua Xinxing Furniture Co., Ltd
- 11. Huangua Haixin Hardware Products Co., Ltd
- 12. Huangua Qingxin Hardware Products Co., Ltd
- 13. i-Lift Equipment Ltd
- 14. Jiangsu Baigeng Logistics Equipments Co., Ltd
- 15. Jiangsu Kingmore Storage Equipment Manufacturing Co., Ltd
- 16. Johnson (Suzhou) Metal Products Co., Ltd
- 17. Master Trust (Xiamen) Import and Export Co., Ltd
- 18. Ningbo Beilun Songyi Warehouse Equipment Manufacturing Co., Ltd
- 19. Ningbo Xinguang Rack Co., Ltd
- 20. Qingdao Rockstone Logistics Appliance Co., Ltd
- 21. Redman Corporation
- 22. Redman Import & Export Limited
- 23. Tianjin Master Logistics Equipment Co., Ltd
- 24. Waken Display System Co., Ltd
- 25. Xiamen Baihuide Manufacturing Co., Ltd
- 26. Xiamen Ever Glory Fixtures Co., Ltd
- 27. Xiamen Golden Trust Industry & Trade Co., Ltd
- 28. Xiamen Huiyi Beauty Furniture Co., Ltd
- 29. Xiamen Kingfull Imp and Exp Co., Ltd. (d.b.a) Xiamen Kingfull Displays Co., Ltd
- 30. Xiamen LianHong Industry and Trade Co., Ltd
- 31. Xiamen Luckyroc Storage Equipment Manufacture Co., Ltd
- 32. Xiamen Meitoushan Metal Products Co.,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>12</sup> See Order, 84 FR 48584.

<sup>13</sup> Id.

Ltd

- 33. Xiamen Power Metal Display Co., Ltd
- 34. Xiamen XinHuiYuan Industrial & Trade Co., Ltd
- 35. Xiamen Yiree Display Fixtures Co., Ltd
- 36. Yuanda Storage Equipment Ltd
- 37. Zhangjiagang Better Display Co., Ltd
- 38. Zhangzhou Hongcheng Hardware & Plastic Industry Co., Ltd

[FR Doc. 2022–07501 Filed 4–7–22; 8:45 am]

### BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-549-833]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that certain producers/exporters subject to this administrative review did not make sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the July 1, 2020, through June 30, 2021, period of review (POR). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.

DATES: Applicable April 8, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joy Zhang or Patrick Barton, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1168 or (202) 482–0012, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Background

On September 7, 2021, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts (citric acid) from Thailand for the POR.<sup>1</sup> For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>2</sup>

## Scope of the Order<sup>3</sup>

The merchandise covered by this Order includes all grades and granulation sizes of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate in their unblended forms, whether dry or in solution, and regardless of packaging type. The scope also includes blends of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate; as well as blends with other ingredients, such as sugar, where the unblended form(s) of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate constitute 40 percent or more, by weight, of the blend.

Citric acid and sodium citrate are classifiable under 2918.14.0000 and 2918.15.1000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), respectively. Potassium citrate and crude calcium citrate are classifiable under 2918.15.5000 and, if included in a mixture or blend, 3824.99.9295 of the HTSUS. Blends that include citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate are classifiable under 3824.99.9295 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive. For a full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

### Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at https://access.trade.gov/ public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice.

### **Preliminary Results of the Review**

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following

weighted-average dumping margins for the period July 1, 2020, through June 30, 2021:

Producer/exporter	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
COFCO Biochemical (Thailand) Co., Ltd. (COFCO)	0.00
Co., Ltd	0.00

#### **Assessment Rates**

Upon issuing the final results, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If the weightedaverage dumping margin for companies listed above are not zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), we will calculate importer-specific ad valorem AD assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).4 We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above de minimis (i.e., 0.5 percent). Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.<sup>5</sup>

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent which did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries not reviewed at the allothers rate established in the original less-than-fair value (LTFV) investigation (i.e., 11.25 percent) if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 86 FR 50034 (September 7, 2021) (Initiation Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Thailand; 2020–2021," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Belgium, Colombia, and Thailand: Antidumping Duty Orders, 83 FR 35214 (July 25, 2018) (Order).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In the preliminary results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.