International extra services and fees.

First-Class Mail International

The Postal Service plans to increase prices for single-piece FCMI postcards,

letters, and flats by approximately 7.4%. The proposed price for a single-piece postcard will be \$1.40 worldwide. The First-Class Mail International letter nonmachinable surcharge will increase

to \$0.39. The proposed FCMI singlepiece letter and flat prices will be as follows:

#### **LETTERS**

Weight not over (oz.)	Price groups			
	1	2	3–5	6–9
1	\$1.40 1.40 1.97 2.54	\$1.40 2.11 2.80 3.50	\$1.40 2.62 3.82 5.04	\$1.40 2.42 3.45 4.46

#### **FLATS**

Weight not over (oz.)	Price groups			
	1	2	3–5	6–9
1	\$2.75	\$2.75	\$2.75	\$2.75
2	3.03	3.60	3.90	3.85
3	3.29	4.40	5.03	4.91
4	3.52	5.23	6.18	5.98
5	3.78	6.05	7.31	7.05
6	4.03	6.86	8.44	8.13
7	4.29	7.69	9.58	9.19
8	4.54	8.50	10.70	10.26
12	5.80	10.26	12.98	12.48
15.994	7.05	12.03	15.25	14.68

International Extra Services and Fees

The Postal Service plans to increase prices for certain market dominant international extra services including:

- Certificate of Mailing
- Registered Mail<sup>TM</sup>
- Return Receipt
- Customs Clearance and Delivery Fee
- International Business Reply<sup>TM</sup> Mail Service

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Individual pieces:	
Individual article (PS Form 3817)	\$1.75
Duplicate copy of PS Form 3817	
or PS Form 3665 (per page)	1.75
Firm mailing sheet (PS Form	
3665), per piece (minimum 3)	
First-Class Mail International	
only	0.50
Bulk quantities:	
For first 1,000 pieces (or fraction	
thereof)	9.95
Each additional 1,000 pieces (or	
fraction thereof)	1.30
Duplicate copy of PS Form 3606	1.75
Duplicate copy of 1 of 1 offit 5000	1.75

Registered Mail Fee: \$18.25.

Customs Clearance and Delivery Fee: per piece \$7.50.

Return Receipt
Fee: \$5.05.

International Business Reply Service

Fee: Cards \$1.90; Envelopes up to 2 ounces \$2.40.

Following the completion of Docket No. R2022–1, the Postal Service will adjust the prices for products and services covered by the International Mail Manual. These prices will be on Postal Explorer at *pe.usps.com*.

Accordingly, although exempt from the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553(b), (c)) regarding proposed rulemaking by 39 U.S.C. 410(a), the Postal Service invites public comment on the proposed changes to *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service*, International Mail Manual (IMM®), set out in this **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, which is incorporated by reference in the *Code of Federal Regulations* in accordance with 39 CFR 20.1, and to associated changes to Notice 123, *Price List*.

The Postal Service will publish an appropriate update to Notice 123, *Price List* of the IMM, to reflect these changes following the completion of the notice and comment period for this proposed rule. The Postal Service annually

publishes an amendment to 39 CFR part 20 to finalize updates to the IMM.

### Sarah E. Sullivan,

Attorney, Ethics and Legal Compliance.
[FR Doc. 2022–07706 Filed 4–13–22; 4:15 pm]
BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2021-0754; FRL-9514-01-R9]

Air Plan Approvals; California; South Coast Air Quality Management District, Imperial and Ventura County Air Pollution Control Districts; Nonattainment New Source Review; 2015 Ozone Standard

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve three state implementation plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of California addressing the nonattainment new source review (NNSR) requirements for the 2015 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAOS). These SIP revisions address the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD or "District"), Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD or "District"), and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD or "District") portions of the California SIP. This action is being taken pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or "Act") and its implementing regulations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 16, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R09-OAR-2021-0754, at https:// www.regulations.gov. For comments submitted at Regulations.gov, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://www2.epa.gov/dockets/ commenting-epa-dockets. If you need

assistance in a language other than English or if you are a person with disabilities who needs a reasonable accommodation at no cost to you, please contact the person identified in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Amita Muralidharan, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94105. By phone: (415) 947–4140 or by

email at muralidharan.amita@epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us," and "our" refer to the EPA.

#### Table of Contents

- I. Background and Purpose
- II. The State's Submittal
  - A. What did the State submit?
  - B. What is the purpose of the submitted certification letters?
- III. Analysis of Nonattainment New Source Review Requirements
  - A. South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)
  - B. Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD)
- C. Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD)
- IV. Proposed Action and Public Comment V. Incorporation by Reference
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

### I. Background and Purpose

On October 26, 2015, the EPA promulgated a revised 8-hour ozone NAAQS of 0.070 parts per million (ppm).1 Upon promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS, the CAA requires the EPA to designate as nonattainment any area that is violating the NAAOS based on the three most recent years of ambient air quality data. This action relates to three California air districts that were designated nonattainment for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS on June  $4,2018.^{2}$ 

Within the SCAQMD, the South Coast Air Basin was classified as an Extreme

ozone nonattainment area and the Coachella Valley Air Basin was classified as a Severe ozone nonattainment area. Within the ICAPCD, Imperial County was classified as a Marginal ozone nonattainment area. Within the VCAPCD, the part of Ventura County excluding the Channel Islands of Anacapa and San Nicolas Islands was classified as a Serious nonattainment

On December 6, 2018, the EPA issued a final rule entitled "Implementation of the 2015 National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone: Nonattainment Area State Implementation Plan Requirements" ("2015 SIP Requirements Rule") that establishes the requirements and deadlines that state, tribal, and local air quality management agencies must meet as they develop implementation plans for areas where ozone concentrations exceed the 2015 8hour ozone NAAQS.3 Based on the initial nonattainment designations for the 2015 8-hour ozone standards, each district was required to make a SIP revision addressing NNSR no later than August 3, 2021.4 This requirement may be met by submitting a SIP revision consisting of a new or revised NNSR permit program, or an analysis demonstrating that the existing SIPapproved NNSR permit program meets the applicable 2015 ozone requirements and a letter certifying the analysis.

# II. The State's Submittal

### A. What did the State submit?

Table 1 lists the dates the submitted 2015 Ozone Certification letters addressed by this proposal were adopted by each air district and submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB), the agency that serves as the governor's designee for California SIP submittals.

TABLE 1—SUBMITTED CERTIFICATION LETTERS

District	Adoption date	Submittal date	Cover letter date
South Coast AQMD	6/4/2021	8/3/2021	8/3/2021
	6/22/2021	8/3/2021	8/3/2021
	6/8/2021	8/3/2021	8/3/2021

CARB's August 3, 2021, submittal of the SCAQMD, ICAPCD, and VCAPCD 2015 Certification letters were deemed by operation of law on February 3, 2022, to meet the completeness criteria in 40

CFR part 51, appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

B. What is the purpose of the submitted certification letters?

The submittal from each district is intended to satisfy the 2015 SIP Requirement Rule that requires states to

nonattainment area SIP requirements for the 2015 ozone NAAQS, including requirements pertaining to attainment demonstrations, reasonable further progress (RFP), reasonably available control technology, reasonably available control measures,

major new source review, emission inventories, and the timing of SIP submissions and of compliance with emission control measures in the SIP.

<sup>4 40</sup> CFR 51.1314.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>80 FR 65292 (October 26, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>83 FR 25776 (June 4, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 83 FR 62998 (December 6, 2018). The 2015 SIP Requirements Rule addresses a range of

make a SIP revision addressing nonattainment new source review. The SIP for each district currently contains approved NNSR permit programs based on their nonattainment classification for the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS. The submitted certification letters provide a mechanism for each district to satisfy the 40 CFR 51.1314 submittal requirements based on their 2015 8-hour ozone nonattainment designations. The EPA's analysis of how these SIP revisions address the NNSR requirements for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS is provided below.

# III. Analysis of Nonattainment New Source Review Requirements

document, NNSR permit program

NNSR is a preconstruction review permit program that applies to new major stationary sources or major modifications at existing sources within a nonattainment area and is required under CAA sections 172(c)(5) and 173. As mentioned in Section I of this

requirements were adopted for the 2015

ozone NAAQS at 40 CFR 51.1314 by the

implementation rule for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS.5 The minimum SIP requirements for NNSR permitting programs for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS are contained in 40 CFR 51.165. These NNSR program requirements include those promulgated in the 2015 SIP Requirements Rule implementing the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS. The SIP for each ozone nonattainment area must contain NNSR provisions that: (1) Set major source thresholds for nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>X</sub>) and volatile organic compounds (VOC) pursuant to 40 CFR 51.165(a)(1)(iv)(A)(1)(i)-(iv) and (a)(1)(iv)(A)(2); (2) classify physical changes as a major source if the change would constitute a major source by itself pursuant to 40 CFR 51.165(a)(1)(iv)(A)(3); (3) consider any significant net emissions increase of NO<sub>X</sub> as a significant net emissions increase for ozone pursuant to 40 CFR 51.165(a)(1)(v)(E); (4) consider any increase of VOC emissions in Extreme ozone nonattainment areas as a significant net emissions increase and a major modification for ozone pursuant to 40 CFR 51.165(a)(1)(v)(F); (5) set significant emissions rates for VOC and  $NO_x$  as ozone precursors pursuant to 40 CFR 51.165(a)(1)(x)(A)–(C) and (E); (6) contain provisions for emissions reductions credits pursuant to 40 CFR 51.165(a)(3)(ii)(C)(1)-(2); (7) provide that the requirements applicable to VOC also apply to NO<sub>X</sub> pursuant to 40 CFR 51.165(a)(8); (8) set offset ratios for VOC and NOx pursuant to 40 CFR

51.165(a)(9)(ii)–(iv); and (9) require public participation procedures compliant with 40 CFR 51.165(i).

A. South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)

The SCAQMD's longstanding SIPapproved NNSR program,<sup>6</sup> established in Regulation XIII, "New Source Review," of the SCAQMD's Rules and Regulations, applies to the construction and modification of stationary sources, including major stationary sources in nonattainment areas under its jurisdiction. The SCAQMD's submitted SIP revision includes a compliance demonstration, consisting of a table listing each of the 2015 ozone NAAQS NNSR SIP requirements from 40 CFR 51.165 and a citation to the specific provision of the rule satisfying the requirement. The submittal also includes a certification by the SCAQMD that the cited rules meet the federal NNSR requirements for the applicable ozone nonattainment designations. These documents are available in the docket for this action. The EPA has reviewed the demonstration and cited program elements intended to meet the federal NNSR requirements and is proposing to approve the SCAQMD's submittal because the current SIPapproved NSR program satisfies all the 2015 SIP Requirements Rule NNSR program requirements applicable to the South Coast Air Basin as an Extreme ozone nonattainment area, and all the requirements applicable to the Coachella Valley Air Basin as a Severe ozone nonattainment area.

B. Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD)

The ICAPCD's SIP-approved NNSR program,<sup>7</sup> established in Rule 207, "New and Modified Stationary Source Review," of the ICAPCD's Rules and Regulations, applies to the construction and modification of stationary sources. including major stationary sources in nonattainment areas under its jurisdiction. The ICAPCD's submitted SIP revision includes a compliance demonstration, consisting of a table listing each of the 2015 ozone NAAQS NNSR SIP requirements from 40 CFR 51.165 and a citation to the specific provision of the rule satisfying that requirement. The submittal also includes a certification by the ICAPCD that the cited rules meet the federal NNSR requirements for the applicable ozone nonattainment designation. These documents are available in the docket for this action. The EPA has reviewed the demonstration and cited program elements intended to meet the federal NNSR requirements and is proposing to approve the ICAPCD's submittal because the current SIP-approved NSR program satisfies all the 2015 SIP Requirements Rule NNSR program requirements applicable to Imperial County as a Marginal nonattainment area.

C. Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD)

The VCAPCD's SIP-approved NNSR program,8 established in Rule 26, "New Source Review," of the VCAPCD's Rules and Regulations, applies to the construction and modification of stationary sources, including major stationary sources in nonattainment areas under its jurisdiction. The VCAPCD's submitted SIP revision includes a compliance demonstration, consisting of a table listing each of the 2015 ozone NAAQS NNSR SIP requirements from 40 CFR 51.165 and a citation to the specific provision of the rule satisfying that requirement. The submittal also includes a certification by the VCAPCD that the cited rules meet the federal NNSR requirements for the applicable ozone nonattainment designation. These documents are available in the docket for this action. The EPA has reviewed the demonstration and cited program elements intended to meet the federal NNSR requirements and is proposing to approve the VCAPCD's submittal because the current SIP-approved NSR program satisfies all the 2015 SIP Requirements Rule NNSR program requirements applicable to Ventura County as a Serious nonattainment area.

# IV. Proposed Action and Public Comment

The EPA is proposing to approve SIP revisions addressing the NNSR requirements for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS for the SCAQMD, the ICAPCD, and the VCAPCD. In support of this proposed action, we have concluded that our approval of the submitted 2015 ozone certifications for each district would comply with section 110(l) of the Act because the submittals will not interfere with continued attainment of the NAAOS in each district. The EPA has concluded that the State's submission fulfills the 40 CFR 51.1314 revision requirement and meets the requirements of CAA sections 110, 172(c)(5), 173, and 182(a)(2)(C), and the minimum SIP requirements of 40 CFR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 61 FR 64291 (December 4, 1996); 64 FR 13514, (March 19, 1999); 71 FR 35157 (June 19, 2006); 83 FR 64026 (December 13, 2018).

<sup>784</sup> FR 44545 (August 26, 2019).

<sup>5 83</sup> FR 62998

<sup>8 75</sup> FR 1284 (January 11, 2010).

51.165. If we finalize this action as proposed, our action will incorporate these certifications into the federally enforceable SIP and be codified through revisions to 40 CFR 52.220 (Identification of plan—in part).

We will accept comments from the public on this proposal until May 16, 2022.

### V. Incorporation by Reference

In this proposed rule, the EPA is proposing to include in a final EPA rule regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference the certifications listed in Table 1 of this preamble. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials available electronically through https:// www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region IX Office (please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

### VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this proposed action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
- Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

### **List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: April 7, 2022.

### Martha Guzman Aceves,

Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 2022–07919 Filed 4–13–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 22-146; RM-11925; DA 22-367; FRS 81585]

# **Television Broadcasting Services Memphis, Tennessee**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission (Commission) has before it a petition for rulemaking filed by Gray Television Licensee, LLC (Petitioner), the licensee of WMC–TV, channel 5, Memphis, Tennessee. The Petitioner

requests the substitution of channel 30 for channel 5 at Memphis in the Table of Allotments.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before May 16, 2022 and reply comments on or before May 31, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve counsel for the Petitioner as follows: Joan Stewart, Esq., Wiley Rein LLP, 2050 M Street NW, Washington, DC 20036.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joyce Bernstein, Media Bureau, at (202) 418–1647; or at Joyce.Bernstein@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In support, the Petitioner states the proposed channel substitution serves the public interest because it will resolve significant over-the-air reception problems in WMC-TV's existing service area. The Petitioner further states that the Commission has recognized the deleterious effects manmade noise has on the reception of digital VHF signals, and that the propagation characteristics of these channels allow undesired signals and noise to be receivable at relatively farther distances compared to UHF channels, and nearby electrical devices can cause interference. According to the Petitioner, an analysis using the Commission's TVStudy software tool indicates that WMC-TV's move from channel 5 to channel 30 is predicted to create an area where 4,072 persons are predicted to lose service. The loss area, however, is partially overlapped by the noise limited contours of other NBC affiliated stations and most viewers will continue to receive service from five or more stations. As a result, Petitioner asserts that only 64 persons would no longer receive NBC network programming, or service from five or more full power television services. In practice, Gray expects that few if any persons who are currently able to receive WMC-TV's over-the-air signal on channel 5 would no longer be able to receive WMC-TV's over-the-air signal as a result of the transition to channel 30.

This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, MB Docket No. 22–146; RM–11925; DA 22–367, adopted April 5, 2022, and released April 5, 2022. The full text of this document is available for download at https://www.fcc.gov/edocs. To request materials in accessible formats (braille, large print, computer diskettes, or audio recordings), please send an email to FCC504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Government Affairs