

questioning the Commission's conclusion that the [6 GHz Report and Order] will protect against a significant risk of harmful interference," the court "den[ie]d] the petitions for review in all respects save one."

The one issue as to which the court granted review involved a claim by the National Association of Broadcasters (NAB) "that because mobile operators frequently work indoors, the provisions of the [6 GHz Report and Order] designed to restrict low-power routers to indoor operation offer mobile licensees little protection", and that therefore, the Commission should have "reserve[d] a sliver of [the 6 GHz] band exclusively for mobile licensees." In support of its claim, NAB argued that "after the Commission allowed unlicensed access in the 2.4 GHz band, 'a contention-based protocol . . . failed to protect . . . licensed users[,] rendering that band partially unusable.'"

The court ruled that "[t]he Commission never responded to [NAB's] complaints about interference in the 2.4 GHz band," and that "[a]lthough the Commission cited a study to support its conclusion that the [6 GHz Report and Order] sufficiently protects mobile operators, that study does not rebut the Association's claims about interference in the 2.4 GHz band." The court nevertheless declined to vacate the 6 GHz Report and Order, observing that "[i]t is conceivable that the Commission may be able to explain why its experience in the 2.4 GHz band supports its ability to protect licensed mobile operators from harmful interference" and agreeing with the Commission that "vacating this order would be incredibly disruptive given the fact that devices have already started to be deployed"

In the *Public Notice*, the Office of Engineering and Technology seeks comment on NAB's arguments in the Commission's proceeding regarding broadcasters' experience in the 2.4 GHz band, how that experience relates to the kinds of contention-based protocol operations prescribed for indoor use in the 6 GHz rules, and whether the 2.4 GHz experience warrants reservation of a portion of the 6 GHz band for mobile indoor operations or any other modification to the Commission's 6 GHz rules. The Office of Engineering and Technology emphasizes that, in light of the limited scope of the court's remand, it does not seek comment on any other aspects of the 6 GHz Report and Order.

Interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated on the first page of this document. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic

Comment Filing System (ECFS). See *Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings*, 63 FR 24121 (1998).

Ex Parte Rules. The proceeding this Notice initiates shall be treated as a "permit-but-disclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's *ex parte* rules. Persons making *ex parte* presentations must file a copy of any written presentation or a memorandum summarizing any oral presentation within two business days after the presentation (unless a different deadline applicable to the Sunshine period applies). Persons making oral *ex parte* presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must (1) list all persons attending or otherwise participating in the meeting at which the *ex parte* presentation was made, and (2) summarize all data presented and arguments made during the presentation. If the presentation consisted in whole or in part of the presentation of data or arguments already reflected in the presenter's written comments, memoranda or other filings in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to Commission staff during *ex parte* meetings are deemed to be written *ex parte* presentations and must be filed consistent with § 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rule. In proceedings governed by § 1.49(f) of the Commission's rule or for which the Commission has made available a method of electronic filing, written *ex parte* presentations and memoranda summarizing oral *ex parte* presentations, and all attachments thereto, must be filed through the electronic comment filing system available for that proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (e.g., .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable .pdf). Participants in this proceeding should familiarize themselves with the Commission's *ex parte* rules.

Federal Communications Commission.

Ronald T. Repasi,

Acting Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology.

[FR Doc. 2022-08673 Filed 4-22-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 3090-0308; Docket No. 2022-0001; Sequence No. 5]

Information Collection; General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR); Construction Contract Administration

AGENCY: Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Regulatory Secretariat Division will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement regarding OMB Control No. 3090-0308, Construction Contract Administration.

DATES: *Submit comments on or before:* June 24, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by Information Collection 3090-0308 via <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching the OMB control number. Select the link "Submit a Comment" that corresponds with "Information Collection 3090-0308, Construction Contract Administration". Follow the instructions provided at the "Submit a Comment" screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "Information Collection 3090-0308, Construction Contract Administration" on your attached document.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite Information Collection 3090-0308, Construction Contract Administration, in all correspondence related to this collection. Comments received generally will be posted without change to <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check www.regulations.gov, approximately two-to-three days after submission to verify posting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Marten Wallace, General Services Acquisition Policy Division, GSA, by phone at 202-286-5807 or by email at marten.wallace@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

The information collected is used by PBS to evaluate a contractor's proposals, negotiate contract modifications,

evaluate a contractor's progress, and review payment requests during contract administration. The clause was previously GSAR 552.236–78 Shop Drawings, Coordination Drawings, and Schedules. The clause is simplified, including removing the requirement for a specific number of prints and copies of various submittals. This simplification will ease the compliance burden for the contractor during contract administration from the current state.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for GSAR 552.236–72 Submittals is estimated to average .25 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows:

Respondents: 890.

Responses per respondent: 5.

Total annual responses: 4,452.

Preparation hours per response: .25.

Total response burden hours: 1,113.

C. Public Comments

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals:

Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the GSA Regulatory Secretariat Division, by calling 202–501–4755 or emailing GSARegSec@gsa.gov.

Jeffrey A. Koses,

Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Government-wide Policy.

[FR Doc. 2022–08711 Filed 4–22–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–61–P

OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT ETHICS

Agency Information Collection Activities; Information Collection Renewal; Comment Request for OGE Form 319 Request for a Medical Exception to the Covid–19 Vaccination Requirement

AGENCY: Office of Government Ethics (OGE).

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: After publication of this second round notice, the Office of Government Ethics (OGE) plans to request that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) renew its approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act for an existing information collection, entitled the OGE Form 319 Request for a Medical Exception to the Covid–19 Vaccination Requirement. The form was originally granted emergency clearance on November 19, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Matis at the U.S. Office of Government Ethics; telephone: 202–482–9216; TTY: 800–877–8339; Email: jmatis@oge.gov. A copy of the form may be obtained, without charge, by contacting Jennifer Matis.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Request for a Medical Exception to the Covid–19 Vaccination Requirement.

Agency Form Number: OGE Form 319.

Abstract: The OGE Form 319 collects information necessary to document the consideration, decision, and implementation of OGE employee requests for reasonable accommodation from the COVID vaccination requirement set forth in Executive Order 14043, Requiring Coronavirus Disease 2019 Vaccination for Federal Employees (Sept. 9, 2021).

OMB Control Number: 3209–0011.

Type of Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Type of Review Request: Regular.

Affected public: Medical providers who are asked to provide documentation in support of an employee's request for a medical exception to the requirement for COVID–19 vaccination.

Estimated Annual Number of Respondents: 1 (based on an estimate of five respondents over a ten year period, rounded up).

Estimated Time per Response: 10 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Cost Burden (in dollars): 17.

A **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on this information collection was published on February

10, 2022 (87 FR 7838). OGE received one response to that notice. The comment did not address the substance of information collection; it opposed it on the basis of the outstanding injunction against implementation of the vaccination requirement issued pursuant to E.O. 14043. As noted in the first notice and again below, OGE will not process requests for a medical exception or request the submission of any medical information related to a request for an exception pursuant to E.O. 14043 while the injunction remains in place. But OGE may nevertheless receive information regarding a medical exception. Therefore, clearance of the information collection is necessary.

Request for Comments: OGE is publishing this second round notice of its intent to request paperwork clearance renewal for the OGE Form 319. Public comment is invited specifically on the need for and practical utility of this information collection, the accuracy of OGE's burden estimate, the enhancement of quality, utility and clarity of the information collected, and the minimization of burden (including the use of information technology). Comments received in response will become a matter of public record.

A Notice Regarding Injunctions: The vaccination requirement issued pursuant to E.O. 14043 is currently the subject of a nationwide injunction. While that injunction remains in place, OGE will not process requests for a medical exception from the COVID–19 vaccination requirement pursuant to E.O. 14043. OGE will also not request the submission of any medical information related to a request for an exception from the vaccination requirement pursuant to E.O. 14043 while the injunction remains in place. But OGE may nevertheless receive information regarding a medical exception. That is because, if OGE were to receive a request for an exception from the COVID–19 vaccination requirement pursuant to E.O. 14043 during the pendency of the injunction, OGE will accept the request, hold it in abeyance, and notify the employee who submitted the request that implementation and enforcement of the COVID–19 vaccination requirement pursuant to E.O. 14043 is currently enjoined and that an exception therefore is not necessary so long as the injunction is in place. In other words, during the pendency of the injunction, any information collection related to requests for medical exception from the COVID–19 vaccination requirement pursuant to E.O. 14043 is not