

all Respondents other than Realtek are named in the patent license agreement and/or release agreements. *Id.* at 4 n.2. For those not named, the ID stated that “termination by settlement under Commission Rule 210.21(b) would not be appropriate, as opposed to general withdrawal under Commission Rule 210.21(a).” *Id.* The ID found that “[r]egardless, the motion complies with all Commission Rules.” *Id.* The ID also found that “there are no extraordinary circumstances that warrant denying the motion” and “there is no evidence indicating that terminating this investigation based on various agreements would be contrary to the public interest.” *Id.* at 4, 5. The ID also noted that the motion attached confidential and public versions of the agreements. *Id.* at 4. No petition for review of the ID was filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on June 9, 2022.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 9, 2022.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2022–12794 Filed 6–13–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## **INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1273]

### **Certain Residential Premises Security Monitoring and Automation Panels, and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination of Non-Infringement; Termination of the Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 18) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting summary determination of no violation based on non-infringement of

the asserted patent claims. The investigation is terminated.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Robert Needham, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 5, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on a complaint filed by ADT LLC and the ADT Security Corporation, both of Boca Raton, Florida, (collectively, “ADT”). 86 FR 42879–80. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale after importation into the United States of certain residential premises security monitoring and automation control panels, and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 8,976,937 (“the ‘937 patent”) and claims 1–4, 7–15, and 18–20 of U.S. Patent No. 9,286,772 (“the ‘772 patent”). *Id.* at 42880. The complaint also alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The notice of investigation names as a respondent Vivint, Inc., of Provo, Utah (“Vivint”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party to the investigation. 87 FR 476 (Jan. 5, 2022).

The Commission subsequently terminated the investigation with respect to all asserted claims of the ‘937 patent and claims 1, 7–12, and 18–20 of the ‘772 patent based on withdrawal of the complaint as to those claims. Order No. 10 (Dec. 17, 2021), *unreviewed by* Notice (Jan. 18, 2022); Order No. 15 (Mar. 21, 2022), *unreviewed by* Notice (Apr. 12, 2022). Claims 2, 3, and 4 of the ‘772 patent are therefore the only claims that remain in the investigation.

On March 10, 2022, Vivint moved for a summary determination pursuant to Commission Rule 210.18 (19 CFR 210.18) of no violation of section 337 based on invalidity, and alternatively,

non-infringement. Vivint argued that the “processor” limitations of the asserted claims should be construed to allow those limitations to be satisfied by multiple processors, and that under that construction, all the asserted claims are invalid as anticipated by U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2010/0102171. Vivint alternatively argued that, if the “processor” limitations of the asserted claims are construed to require that a single processor must satisfy all of the “processor” limitations, none of the accused products infringe the asserted claims because no single processor satisfies all the “processor” limitations.

On March 21, 2022, ADT filed a response opposing the motion, arguing that the “processor” limitations require a single processor, but contending that the accused products contained a processor that satisfied all the limitations. On March 28, OUII filed a response in support of the motion, arguing that the “processor” limitations require at least one processor that satisfies all the “processor” limitations and that the accused products do not infringe because they do not contain a processor that satisfies all of the “processor” limitations. On March 24, 2022, and March 31, 2022, Vivint filed replies to ADT’s and OUII’s responses, respectively.

On April 22, 2022, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 18) pursuant to Commission Rules 210.18(b) and 210.42(c) (19 CFR 210.18(b), 210.42(c)), granting in part Vivint’s motion and finding summary determination of no violation because ADT failed to establish that the accused products infringe any asserted claim. The ID finds that the “processor” limitations require at least one processor that satisfies all of the “processor” limitations, and that ADT failed to show that the accused products contain a “processor” that satisfies all the “processor” limitations.

On May 4, 2022, ADT filed a petition for review of the ID. Also on May 4, 2022, Vivint filed a contingent petition for review of the ID. On May 9, 2022, Vivint responded to ADT’s petition, and on May 11, 2022, ADT responded to Vivint’s contingent petition for review. Also on May 11, 2022, OUII responded to both petitions.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated with a finding of no violation.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on June 8, 2022.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part

210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.  
 Issued: June 8, 2022.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2022–12802 Filed 6–13–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 731–TA–696 (Fifth Review)]

**Pure Magnesium From China; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct a Full Five-Year Review**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date.

**DATES:** June 6, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Charles Cummings (202–708–1666), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office

of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 6, 2022, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (87 FR 11472, March 1, 2022) was adequate. The Commission found that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate but found that other circumstances warranted conducting a full review.<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners’ votes will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

*Authority:* This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 9, 2022.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2022–12815 Filed 6–13–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

**Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Sections 223 and 284 (19 U.S.C. 2273 and 2395) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2271, *et seq.*) (“Act”), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of the Act (“TAA”) for workers by (TA–W) issued during the period of May 1, 2022 through May 31, 2022.

This notice includes summaries of initial determinations such as Affirmative Determinations of Eligibility, Negative Determinations of Eligibility, and Determinations Terminating Investigations of Eligibility within the period. If issued in the period, this notice also includes summaries of post-initial determinations that modify or amend initial determinations such as Affirmative Determinations Regarding Applications for Reconsideration, Negative Determinations Regarding Applications for Reconsideration, Revised Certifications of Eligibility, Revised Determinations on Reconsideration, Negative Determinations on Reconsideration, Revised Determinations on remand from the Court of International Trade, and Negative Determinations on remand from the Court of International Trade.

**Affirmative Determinations for Trade Adjustment Assistance**

The following certifications have been issued.

TA–W No.	Subject firm	Location	Reason(s)
98,157	Bruker Handheld LLC, Bruker Nano Analytics Division.	Kennewick, WA	Actual/Likely Increase in Imports following a Shift Abroad.
98,206	Ross Casting and Innovation, LLC	Sidney, OH	Actual/Likely Increase in Imports following a Shift Abroad.
98,222	Integrated Textile Solutions, Inc	Salem, VA	Increased Aggregate Imports.
98,222A	Integrated Textile Solutions, Inc	South Boston, VA	Increased Aggregate Imports.
98,231	Woodcrafters Home Products LLC, MasterBrand Cabinets Inc., Fortune Brands.	Weslaco, TX	Increased Company Imports.
98,238	PCS Ferguson, Inc	Frederick, CO	Increased Company Imports.
98,246	Home Products International North America, Inc	Seymour, IN	Increased Company Imports.
98,257	NeuWave Medical Inc., Supply Chain/Operations Division.	Madison, WI	Shift in Production to an FTA Country or Beneficiary.
98,258	Schneider Electric Buildings Americas, Inc., GSC NAM Electronics Clovis.	Clovis, CA	Shift in Production to an FTA Country or Beneficiary.

<sup>1</sup> Vice Chair Randolph J. Stayin did not participate, and Commissioner Rhonda K. Schmidlein voted to conduct an expedited review.