

# Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 87, No. 138

Wednesday, July 20, 2022

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Marketing Service

#### 7 CFR Part 1230

[Doc. No. AMS-LP-22-0032]

#### **Pork Promotion, Research, and Consumer Information Order—Decrease in Assessment Rate and Importer Assessments**

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Pork Promotion, Research, and Consumer Information Act of 1985 (Act) and the Pork Promotion, Research, and Consumer Information Order (Order) thereunder, this proposed rule would decrease the current rate of assessment of the market value of live porcine animals and decrease the amount of assessment per pound due on imported pork and pork products. These reductions in assessment rates are made in response to the increase in the average prices of live hogs and reflect the National Pork Producers Delegate Body's (Delegate Body) desire to lessen the assessment burden on producers and make such funds available to pork producers and the industry. The adjustment in importer assessments also would bring the equivalent market value of live animals from which imported pork and pork products are derived in line with the market value of domestic porcine animals. A Harmonized Tariff Schedule number for prepared or preserved pork also would be updated in the regulation.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by August 19, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments identified by docket number AMS-LP-22-0032 online at <https://www.regulations.gov> or mail to Maribel Reyna, Agricultural Marketing Specialist; Research and Promotion Division; Livestock and Poultry Program, AMS, USDA; Room 2625-S,

STOP 0251, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250-0251. All comments will be made available for public inspection, including name and address, if provided, via internet at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maribel Reyna; Agricultural Marketing Specialist; Research and Promotion Division; Telephone: (202) 302-1139; or email to [Maribel.Reyna@usda.gov](mailto:Maribel.Reyna@usda.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Executive Order 12866**

This rulemaking does not meet the definition of significant regulatory action contained in section 3(f) of Executive Order (E.O.) 12866 and is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

##### **Executive Order 12988**

This proposed rule has been reviewed under E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This proposal is not intended to have a retroactive effect. The Act states that the statute is intended to occupy the field of promotion and consumer education involving pork and pork products and of obtaining funds thereof from pork producers and that the regulation of such activity (other than a regulation or requirement relating to a matter of public health or the provision of State or local funds for such activity) that is in addition to or different from the Act may not be imposed by a State.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under Sec. 1625 of the Act, a person subject to an order may file a petition with the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) stating that such order, a provision of such order or an obligation imposed in connection with such order is not in accordance with the law; and requesting a modification of the order or an exemption from the order. Such person is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing, the USDA would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in the district in which a person resides or does business has jurisdiction to review the USDA's determination, if a complaint is filed no later than 20 days after the date such person receives notice of such determination.

##### **Executive Order 13175**

This proposed rule has also been reviewed under E.O. 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments. E.O. 13175 requires Federal agencies to consult and coordinate with tribes on a government-to-government basis on: (1) policies that have tribal implication, including regulation, legislative comments, or proposed legislation; and (2) other policy statements or actions that have substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. The Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has assessed the impact of this proposed rule on Indian tribes and determined that this rule would not have tribal implications that require consultation under E.O. 13175. AMS participates on teleconference with tribal leaders where matters of mutual interest regarding the marketing of agricultural products are discussed. Information about the proposed changes to the assessment rate will be shared during the next call, and tribal leaders will be informed about the opportunity to submit comments. AMS will work with the USDA, Office of Tribal Relations to ensure meaningful consultation is provided as needed with regards to the proposed rule.

##### **Regulatory Flexibility Act and Paperwork Reduction Act**

This action was reviewed under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 United States Code (U.S.C.) 601 *et seq.*) in the Order initially published in the September 5, 1986, issue of the **Federal Register** (51 FR 31898). The AMS Administrator determined at that time that the Order would not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; therefore, a regulatory impact analysis was not required. The Census of Agriculture reports that 64,871 U.S. farms produce hogs and pigs in 2017. Many of those farms are likely to be classified as small business by having total sales less than the \$3.5 million threshold set by the Small Business Administration (SBA) definition (13 CFR 121.201). AMS does not believe that this rule change will have a significant or differential economic

impact on small producers because total assessments paid are proportionate to the value of hogs sold by a producer.

This proposed rule would decrease the rate of the assessment from 0.40 percent of the market value of porcine animals to 0.35 percent and decrease the amount of assessment per pound due on imported pork and pork products. While domestic assessments are only made to live porcine animals, assessments on imports are made to both live animal imports and post-slaughter pork and pork products. This update to the regulations updates assessments on the imported product based on the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) to bring the equivalent market value of live animals from which imported pork and pork products are derived in line with the market value of domestic porcine animals.

From 2018 to 2020, total checkoff revenue ranged from \$72.3 million to \$77.6 million. In that time, 95.6 percent of all revenue was from domestic sales and 4.4 was derived from assessments on imported hogs and pork products. Of domestic revenue, 98.6 percent was derived from market hogs and 1.4 percent was derived from feeder hogs. In 2021, total checkoff revenue increased approximately 41 percent to \$103.6 million, an increase primarily reflecting the 47 percent increase in live hog prices.<sup>1</sup> Despite the price increase, both the share of all revenue derived from imports and the share of domestic revenue share derived from live hogs was mostly unchanged in 2021 relative to previous years.

The assessment decrease would reduce annual funding of the promotion, research, and consumer information program by an estimated \$13.5 million under the assumption that 2021 market conditions persist. This decrease reflects both a \$12.3 million reduction in domestic assessments stemming from the 12.5 percent decrease in the rate of assessment for live hogs (*i.e.*, the change from 0.40 to 0.35 percent assessment for live weight hogs), which totaled \$98.4 million in 2021 and a \$1.2 million reduction in importer assessments.

In 2021, the gross market value of all swine marketed in the United States was approximately \$27 billion. The proposed assessment decrease reflects the Delegate Body's desire to lessen the assessment burden on producers and make such funds available to pork producers and the industry. The expected benefit of the rule change is

savings of \$13.5 million in assessments that would have been paid under the existing rule. The expected cost of the rule is the potential loss of returns accruing to the industry from promotion, research, and consumer information programs paid for by the National Pork Board using assessment funds. While these programs have been shown to earn positive returns in academic studies when considering pre-2021 data, the sharp 2021 increase in assessment revenue is likely to create diminishing marginal returns to advertising.<sup>2</sup> However, even with the proposed reduction in assessment rates, total program funds will have still increased significantly above 2020 levels owing to the ongoing increase in price levels, assuming the general market conditions of 2021 persist. For these reasons, the economic impact of the proposed assessments is not expected to be a significant part of the total market value of swine.

Accordingly, the AMS Administrator determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on substantial number of small entities.

The information collection requirements have been previously approved by the OMB and have been assigned OMB control number 0581–0093. Reapproval for the information collection will not be necessary since the rate assessment does not substantially change the assessment collection process.

The Act (7 U.S.C. 4801–4819), enacted on December 23, 1985, authorized the establishment of a national pork promotion, research, and consumer information program. The final Order at 7 CFR part 1230 establishing a pork promotion, research, and consumer information program was published in the September 5, 1986, issue of the **Federal Register** (51 FR 31898; as corrected, at 51 FR 36383 and amended at 53 FR 1909, 53 FR 30243, 56 FR 4, 56 FR 51635, 60 FR 29962, 61 FR 28002, 62 FR 26205, 63 FR 45935, 64 FR 44643, 66 FR 67071, 67 FR 58320, and 69 FR 9924) and assessments began on November 1, 1986. The program was funded by an initial assessment rate of 0.25 percent of the market value of all porcine animals marketed in the United States and on imported porcine animals with an equivalent assessment on pork and pork products. However, that rate was increased to 0.35 percent effective December 1, 1991 (56 FR 51635) and to 0.45 percent effective September 3, 1995 (60 FR 29962). Further, the rate was

decreased to 0.40 percent effective September 30, 2002 (67 FR 58320). The import assessments were decreased by five-hundredths to seven-hundredths of a cent per pound effective April 2, 2004, to reflect a decrease in the 2002 average price for domestic barrows and gilts (69 FR 9924). The total annual assessment rate collected in 2021 was \$103.6 million. Assessments on imported pork and pork products accounted for about \$4.5 million of the total.

The Order requires that producers pay to the National Pork Board an assessment of 0.40 percent of the market value of each porcine animal upon sale (7 CFR 1230.112). However, for purposes of collecting and remitting assessments, porcine animals are divided into three separate categories (1) feeder pigs, (2) slaughter hogs, and (3) breeding stock. Regulations under 7 CFR 1230.71 specifies that purchasers of feeder pigs, slaughter hogs, and breeding stock shall collect an assessment on these animals if assessments are due. Section 1230.71(b) of the Order further provides that for the purpose of collecting and remitting assessments persons engaged as a commission merchant, auction market, or livestock market in the business of receiving such porcine animals for sale on commission for or on behalf of a producer shall be deemed to be a purchaser.

Section 1230.110(a) requires importers of porcine animals to pay U.S. Customs Service (USCS), upon importation, the assessment of 0.40 percent of the porcine animal's declared value and importers of pork and pork products to pay USCS, upon importation, the assessment of 0.40 percent of the market value of the live porcine animals from which such pork and pork products were produced.

The Act and Order contain provisions for adjusting the rate of assessment. The Delegate Body has the responsibility to recommend the rate of assessment to the Department. The 2022 Delegate Body, at its annual meeting March 9–11, 2022, in Louisville, Kentucky, voted to recommend to the USDA the rate of assessment of 0.40 percent be decreased to 0.35 percent. In 2022, the Secretary appointed 155 members to serve on the Delegate Body, including 150 producers and 5 importers. At the Delegate Body annual meeting, 145 Delegates were present representing 101,017.5 valid share votes. There were 98,797.6 share votes cast following floor debate of the resolution for the rate assessment reduction. There were 93,151.3 share votes cast in favor of the 0.05 percent decrease in checkoff rate assessment. A simple majority of share votes is

<sup>1</sup> Specifically, the Barrow and Gilt National Base Live Equivalent Price (51–52% Lean) rose from its 2018–20 average of \$45.7 to \$67.29 per cwt.

<sup>2</sup> Kaiser, Harry M. "An Economic Analysis of the National Pork Board Checkoff Program" Publication of the National Pork Board, January 2022.

required to pass the resolution (7 CFR 1230.36). The assessment rate decrease will also apply to the amount of assessment on imported pork and pork products pursuant to the 7 CFR 1230.110.

### Methodology and Analysis

AMS weighed the costs and benefits of the proposed change in pork assessment rates, acknowledging the role the Delegate Body plays in the disposition of funds and its insight into the effect of an assessment decrease. The cost of the assessment reduction is the reduced funds available for research, promotion and consumer information of pork and pork products and activities that strengthen and increase demand for live hogs sold by producers paying the assessment. Economic research has shown that such research and promotion programs generally yield positive net returns to producers, a finding confirmed in the National Pork Board's own commissioned evaluation of the program based on data through 2020. While this finding would initially suggest that a reduction in the assessment would reduce returns to pork producers (and thus fails a cost benefit analysis test), AMS notes the sharp increase in pork prices in the intervening period as a mitigating factor to relying solely on that study.

Between 2018 and 2020, the national barrows and gilt national base live weight equivalent price for 51–51% lean hogs was \$45.69 per cwt on a slaughter of 131.5 million head. In 2021, the price rose 47% to \$67.29 per cwt while slaughter only fell 2 percent to 129.0 million head. Together, these changes have caused checkoff revenue to increase 41 percent between 2020 and 2021. While the reduced assessment will lower expected assessment revenue in future years from 2021, AMS still expects revenue to be greater than the 2018–2020 average in 2022 and in future years owing to the expected continuation of elevated prices.

In its assessments of the costs of the proposed rule, AMS assumed that demand for hogs and pork products is unchanged in the short run by any reduction in promotion expenditure that may result from the reduced assessment. As such, AMS finds there would be no cost to the proposed rule change in terms of reduced demand for pork. AMS notes that research and promotion spending is likely to exhibit diminishing marginal returns, meaning that the large increase in promotion expenditure from the 2021 increase in assessment revenue is unlikely to generate economic returns as those returns estimated from data in earlier

periods, which started at a lower level.<sup>3</sup> AMS also notes that the National Pork Board, subject to the Secretary's approval, determines specifically how assessment revenue is spent to promote pork consumption and enhance demand. Subsequently, it is also likely to know the point at which the highest return promotional opportunities have been exhausted and that additional advertising becomes ineffective. Based on its independent analysis of market trends and the research on returns to the pork checkoff program, AMS agrees with the National Pork Board and its Delegate Body in recommending the reduction in the assessment rate.

AMS notes that total assessment revenue is expected to remain above the 2020 level despite the assessment rate reduction. On this point, AMS calculated the total reduction in assessment revenue as the sum of the reduction in domestic and foreign revenue. Between 2018 and 2020, about 95.6 percent of assessment revenue was from domestic assessments on live hogs, most of which are market hogs although all types of hogs pay the same assessment rate. AMS estimated the reduction in domestic revenue of \$12.3 by multiplying 2021 domestic revenue level of \$97.3 million by the 12.5 percent reduction in the rate of assessment (*i.e.*, the change in the assessment rate from 0.4 to 0.35 dollars per hundred weight.)

AMS estimated the reduction in import assessment revenue using trade data available from the USDA Foreign Agricultural Service. This data shows that approximately 49 percent of assessment revenue from imports in 2021 was derived from live hog assessments, which, like domestic hogs, would see a 12.5 percent reduction in the rate of assessment. The remaining 51 percent of pork and processed pork products would see variable decreases in the rate of assessments, all of which are larger in magnitude than the 12.5 percent in the live hog rate. AMS

<sup>3</sup> In the 2021 publication "An Economic Analysis of the National Pork Board Checkoff Program", Kaiser finds that benefit-cost ratios (BCR) for expenditure components of pork assessments to range from 71.58 to 1.37 using data from 1976 to 2020. At the lower bound of that range, the 1.37 BCR value indicates that a dollar invested in promotion raises returns to producer by 1.37. That research also finds that the 90 percent lower bound for the marginal benefit-cost ratio is less one for the category of demand enhancing research (indicating negative producer returns) and between 5 and 7 for pork advertising and non-advertising promotion. These estimates, however, only consider the effects of changing program expenditure by 1 percent. AMS believes that for some promotional activities funded by the checkoff the BCR may fall below one if expenditure increases by 41 percent as it did in 2021.

calculated the average rate reduction for these pork and processed products to be 38.6 percent based on each product's average value share of imports between 2019 and 2021. AMS then calculated a change in the rate of all import assessments of 25.9 percent, calculated as the sum of the 49 percent revenue share for live hogs times the 12.5 assessment reduction plus the 51 percent revenue share for pork products times the 38.6 percent reduction. Applying the average rate of assessment to the \$4.53 million in assessment revenue from imports in 2021, AMS found that import revenue would fall by \$1.2 million.

The adjustment in importer assessments also would bring the equivalent market value of live animals from which imported pork and pork products are derived in line with the market value of domestic porcine animals. Since the original rule was put in place, the wholesale-to-farm price spread for pork has increased from 38.7 percent in 2002 to 74 percent between 2019 and 2021, as report by the USDA Economic Research Service. Other things equal, a widening price spread will cause assessments on finished wholesale products to increase relative to hogs. This rule reduces the assessment rate for imported processed products by 38.6 percent on average but only 12.5 percent for live hogs.

This is not the first reduction in assessment rate for this program. As mentioned above, the program was funded by an initial assessment rate of 0.25 percent. The rate was increased to 0.35 percent effective December 1, 1991 (56 FR 51635) and then to 0.45 percent effective September 3, 1995 (60 FR 29962). Further, the rate was decreased to 0.40 percent effective September 30, 2002 (67 FR 58320). The import assessments were decreased by five-hundredths to seven-hundredths of a cent per pound effective April 2, 2004, to reflect a decrease in the 2002 average price for domestic barrows and gilts (69 FR 9924).

From 2012 to current, working off a comparable rate decrease, the Board has continued to build industry initiatives that have long-term return on investment impact for pork producers. Over the years, the Board has initiated several major projects that continue to add value to the industry regardless of budget such as building trust and adding value through a positive image of US Pork, establishing US Pork as the global leader in sustainability agriculture, preventing and preparing for foreign animal diseases, and strengthening state and industry partnerships to build support that keeps

people, pigs and the planet as leading fundamentals. Even with the proposed rate reduction, AMS has no reason to believe that the Board could not effectively continue its goal to develop and expand markets for pork and pork products by funding promotion, research, and consumer information initiatives.

Further, over the past 10 years the National Pork Board has averaged producer checkoff revenue of \$80.6 million. Even with an estimated \$13.5 million (\$12.3 million of that decrease deriving from reduced domestic assessments and \$1.2 million deriving from reduced importer assessments) reduction in assessment revenue, the total assessment revenue would still fall above the last 10-year average assessment revenue.

AMS assumes that the reduction in promotional spending from the new rates would have a negligibly small effect on demand, especially given the still substantial increase in promotion spending above historic levels. For this reason, the costs of the rule would be small as well. The benefits of the rule, however, would be the direct saving to producers of \$13.5 million in reduced assessment payments. Together, AMS assesses that the benefits to this rule change would exceed its costs.

**List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1230**

Administrative practice and procedure, Advertising, Agriculture research, Meat and meat products, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Agricultural Marketing

Service proposes to amend 7 CFR part 1230 as follows:

**PART 1230—PORK PROMOTION, RESEARCH, AND CONSUMER INFORMATION**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 7 CFR part 1230 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 4801–4819.

■ 2. Section 1230.110 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 1230.110 Assessments on imported pork and pork products.**

(a) The following Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) categories of imported live porcine animals are subject to assessment at the rate specified.

Live porcine animals	Article description	Assessment
0103.10.0000 .....	Purebred breeding animals .....	0.35 percent Customs Entered Value.
0103.91.00 .....	Other: Weighing less than 50 kg each.	
0103.91.0010 .....	Weighing less than 7 kg each .....	0.35 percent Customs Entered Value.
0103.91.0020 .....	Weighing 7 kg or more but less than 23 kg each .....	0.35 percent Customs Entered Value.
0103.91.0030 .....	Weighing 23 kg or more but less than 50 kg each .....	0.35 percent Customs Entered Value.
0103.92.00 .....	Weighing 50 kg or more each.	
0103.92.0010 .....	Imported for immediate slaughter .....	0.35 percent Customs Entered Value.
0103.92.0090 .....	Other .....	0.35 percent Customs Entered Value.

(b) The following HTS categories of imported pork and pork products are subject to assessment at the rates specified.

Pork and pork products	Article description	Assessment	
		Cents/lb	Cents/kg
0203 .....	Meat of swine, fresh, chilled, or frozen: Fresh or chilled:		
0203.11.0000 .....	Carcasses and half-carcasses .....	.15	.390920
0203.12.1010 .....	Processed hams and cuts thereof, with bone in .....	.15	.390920
0203.12.1020 .....	Processed shoulders and cuts thereof, with bone in .....	.15	.390920
0203.12.9010 .....	Other hams and cuts thereof, with bone in .....	.15	.390920
0203.12.9020 .....	Other shoulders and cuts thereof, with bone in .....	.15	.390920
0203.19.2010 .....	Processed spare ribs .....	.18	.457058
0203.19.2090 .....	Processed other .....	.18	.457058
0203.19.4010 .....	Bellies .....	.15	.390920
0203.19.4090 .....	Other .....	.15	.390920
0203.21.0000 .....	Frozen carcasses and half-carcasses .....	.15	.390920
0203.22.1000 .....	Frozen-processed hams, shoulders, and cuts thereof, with bone in .....	.15	.390920
0203.22.9000 .....	Frozen-other hams, shoulders, and cuts thereof, with bone in .....	.15	.390920
0203.29.2000 .....	Frozen processed other .....	.18	.457058
0203.29.4000 .....	Frozen other: Other .....	.15	.390920
0206 .....	Edible offal of bovine animals, swine, sheep, goats, horses, asses, mules or hinnies, fresh, chilled, or frozen:		
0206.30.0000 .....	Of swine, fresh or chilled .....	.15	.390920
0206.41.0000 .....	Of swine, frozen: Livers .....	.15	.390920
0206.49.0000 .....	Of swine, frozen: Other:	.15	.390920
0210 .....	Meat and edible meat offal, salted, in brine, dried or smoked; edible flours and meals of meat or meat offal:		
0210.11.0010 .....	Meat of swine: Hams and cuts thereof, with bone in .....	.15	.390920
0210.11.0020 .....	Meat of swine: Shoulders and cuts thereof, with bone in .....	.15	.390920
0210.12.0020 .....	Meat of swine: Bellies (streaky) and cuts thereof, Bacon .....	.15	.390920
0210.12.0040 .....	Meat of swine: Bellies (streaky) and cuts thereof, Other .....	.15	.390920
0210.19.0010 .....	Meat of swine: Canadian style bacon .....	.18	.457058
0210.19.0090 .....	Meat of Swine: Other .....	.18	.457058
1601 .....	Sausages and similar products, of meat, meat offal or blood; food preparations based on these products:		

Pork and pork products	Article description	Assessment	
		Cents/lb	Cents/kg
1601.00.2010 .....	Pork canned .....	.23	.567288
1601.00.2090 .....	Pork other .....	.23	.567288
1602 .....	Other prepared or preserved meat, meat offal or blood: .....	.....	.....
1602.41.2020 .....	Of swine: Boned and cooked and packed in airtight containers holding less than 1 kg .....	.25	.611380
1602.41.2040 .....	Of swine: Shoulders and cuts thereof: Other boned and cooked and packed in airtight containers. ....	.25	.611380
1602.41.9000 .....	Of swine: Other .....	.15	.390920
1602.42.2020 .....	Of swine: Shoulders and cuts thereof: Boned and cooked and packed in airtight containers holding less than 1 kg. ....	.25	.611380
1602.42.2040 .....	Of swine: Shoulders and cuts thereof: Other boned and cooked and packed in airtight containers. ....	.25	.611380
1602.42.4000 .....	Of swine: Other shoulders and cuts thereof .....	.15	.390920
1602.49.2000 .....	Of swine: Other, including mixtures: Not containing cereals or vegetables: Boned and cooked and packed in air-tight containers. ....	.23	.567288
1602.49.4000 .....	Of swine: Other, including mixtures: Not containing cereals or vegetables: Other .....	.18	.457058
1602.49.9000 .....	Of swine: Other, including mixtures: Other .....	.18	.457058

■ 3. Section 1230.112 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 1230.112 Rate of assessment.**

In accordance with § 1230.71(d) the rate of assessment shall be 0.35 percent of market value.

Melissa Bailey,

Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2022-15266 Filed 7-19-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**10 CFR Part 431**

[EERE-2021-BT-STD-0027]

RIN 1904-AD34

**Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for Commercial Water Heating Equipment; Reopening of Comment Period**

**AGENCY:** Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking; reopening of public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On May 19, 2022, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE or the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed rulemaking and announcement of public meeting regarding energy conservation standards for commercial water heaters. DOE received three requests to extend the public comment period by 60 days. DOE has reviewed and denied these requests. But, considering the particular circumstances of DOE’s denial of these petitions, the Department is reopening the public comment period to allow comments to be submitted until August 1, 2022.

**DATES:** The comment period for the notice of proposed rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** on May 19, 2022 (87 FR 30610) is reopened until August 1, 2022. Written comments, data, and information are requested and will be accepted on and before August 1, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are encouraged to submit comments using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Alternatively, interested persons may submit comments, identified by docket number EERE-2021-BT-STD-0027, by any of the following methods:

(1) *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

(2) *Postal Mail:* Appliance and Equipment Standards Program, U.S. Department of Energy, Building Technologies Office, Mailstop EE-5B, 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC, 20585-0121. Telephone: (202) 287-1445. If possible, please submit all items on a compact disc (“CD”), in which case it is not necessary to include printed copies.

(3) *Email:* to [CommWaterHeaters2021STD0027@ee.doe.gov](mailto:CommWaterHeaters2021STD0027@ee.doe.gov). Include docket number EERE-2021-BT-STD-0027 in the subject line of the message.

No telefacsimilies (“faxes”) will be accepted.

*Docket:* The docket for this activity, which includes **Federal Register** notices, comments, and other supporting documents/materials, is available for review at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). All documents in the docket are listed in the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) index. However, some documents listed in the index, such as those containing information

that is exempt from public disclosure, may not be publicly available.

The docket web pages can be found at: [www.regulations.gov/docket/EERE-2021-BT-STD-0027](http://www.regulations.gov/docket/EERE-2021-BT-STD-0027). The docket web page contains instructions on how to access all documents, including public comments, in the docket.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Ms. Julia Hegarty, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Building Technologies Office, EE-5B, 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC, 20585-0121. Telephone: (240) 597-6737. Email: [ApplianceStandardsQuestions@ee.doe.gov](mailto:ApplianceStandardsQuestions@ee.doe.gov).

Mr. Matthew Ring, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of the General Counsel, GC-33, 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC, 20585-0121. Telephone: (202) 586-2555. Email: [Matthew.Ring@hq.doe.gov](mailto:Matthew.Ring@hq.doe.gov).

For further information on how to submit a comment or review other public comments and the docket, contact the Appliance and Equipment Standards Program staff at (202) 287-1445 or by email: [ApplianceStandardsQuestions@ee.doe.gov](mailto:ApplianceStandardsQuestions@ee.doe.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On May 19, 2022, DOE published in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed rulemaking (“NOPR”) and announcement of public meeting regarding energy conservation standards for commercial water heaters. DOE stated it would accept written comments, data, and information on the proposal by July 18, 2022. 87 FR 30610.

On June 17, 2022, DOE received a joint comment from the American Public Gas Association (“APGA”), American Gas Association (“AGA”), Plumbing-Heating- Cooling Contractors—National Association