

National Monument's primary interpretive feature, the Visitor Center, and the housing area. Some development has occurred within this area to support monument operations; however, it is confined to the Wupatki Visitor Center area and on top of the Woodhouse Mesa. One above ground utility line runs to Woodhouse Mesa, however, as the monument strives to become more energy efficient and self-sufficient, it will pursue removing the above ground line. The rest of the area consists of Doney Mountain and Deadman Wash. Although this wilderness area is less than 5,000 acres, this area can be managed as wilderness because the landscape is homogenous with the surrounding eligible wilderness areas but is bisected by the primary road that goes through Wupatki. This area has many locations not affected by modern development and the potential for seclusion exists in this area. The area outside of the administrative zones is undeveloped and is affected primarily by natural forces.

Eligible Wilderness Area 4: 4,813 acres. This area contains outstanding Moenkopi sandstone outcrops and deep washes. The landscape is dominated by basalt mesas and offers prime locations for isolation and quiet. The area is largely undeveloped and retains most of its primeval character except for an interpretive pueblo site with associated parking area and access road (Wukoki Pueblo), a small administrative area used for maintenance activities (New Heiser) and a special use permit residence with associated road access. At the expiration of the special use permit for the residence, the area will be rehabilitated to restore natural conditions.

In accordance with NPS Management Policies (2006), Section 6.2.2, Wupatki National Monument will prepare a wilderness study to determine if any portions of the monument should be recommended for inclusion in the National Wilderness Preservation System as defined in the Wilderness Act of 1964.

Charles F. Sams, III,

Director, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 2022-15970 Filed 7-25-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-718 (Fifth Review)]

Glycine From China; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Review

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on glycine from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: April 8, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tyler Berard (202-205-3354), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On April 8, 2022, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (87 FR 112, January 3, 2022) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207,

subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Please note the Secretary's Office will accept only electronic filings at this time. Filings must be made through the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, <https://edis.usitc.gov>). No in-person paper-based filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice.

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review on July 21, 2022. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before July 28, 2022 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by July 28, 2022. However, should the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission's website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

² The Commission has found the response to its notice of institution filed on behalf of GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc., a domestic producer of glycine, to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined this review is extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 20, 2022.

Katherine M. Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022–15936 Filed 7–25–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1260]

Certain Toner Supply Containers and Components Thereof (II); Notice of Commission Final Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Issuance of a General Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has found a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in this investigation and has issued a general exclusion order (“GEO”) prohibiting the importation of certain infringing toner supply containers and components thereof, as well as cease and desist orders (“CDOs”) against certain defaulting respondents. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lynde Herzbach, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3228. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 13, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Canon Inc. of Tokyo, Japan; Canon U.S.A., Inc. of Melville, New York; and Canon Virginia, Inc. of Newport News, Virginia (collectively, “Canon”). 86 FR 19287–88 (Apr. 13, 2021). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337) (“section 337”), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain toner supply containers and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of thirteen patents: U.S. Patent Nos. 10,209,667; 10,289,060; 10,289,061; 10,295,957; 10,488,814; 10,496,032; 10,496,033; 10,514,654; 10,520,881; 10,520,882; 8,565,649 (“the ‘649 patent”); 9,354,551 (“the ‘551 patent”); and 9,753,402 (“the ‘402 patent”). *Id.* at 19287. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry (“DI”) exists. *Id.*

The Commission instituted two separate investigations based on the complaint and defined the scope of the present investigation as whether there is a violation of section 337 based on the allegations of infringement as to the asserted claims of the ‘649, ‘551, and ‘402 patents (collectively, “the Asserted Patents”) as to the accused products identified in the notice of investigation (“NOI”). *Id.* The NOI named eleven respondents: (1) Sichuan XingDian Technology Co., Ltd. (“Sichuan Xing Dian”) of Sichuan, China; (2) Sichuan Wiztoner Technology Co., Ltd. (“Sichuan Wiztoner”) of Sichuan, China; (3) Anhuiyatengshangmaoyou xiangongsi (“Yatengshang”) of Ganyuqu, China; (4) ChengDuXiang ChangNanShiYouSheBeiYouXianGong Si (“ChengDuXiang”) of SiChuanSheng, China; (5) Digital Marketing Corporation d/b/a Digital Buyer Marketing Company (“Digital Buyer”) of Los Angeles, California; (6) Do It Wiser, LLC d/b/a Image Toner of Wilmington, Delaware; (7) Hefeierlandianzishangwuyouxian gongsi (“Erlandianzishang”) of Chengdushi, China; (8) MITOCOLOR INC. (“TopInk”) of Rowland Heights, California; (9) Xianshi yanliangqu canqubaihuodianshanghang of Shanxisheng, China; (10) Zhuhai Henyun Image Co., Ltd. of Zhuhai, China (collectively, the “Defaulting

Respondents”); and (11) Shenzhenshi Keluodeng Kejiyouxiangognsi (“KenoGen”) of Guangdong, China. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also named as a party. *Id.* at 19287–88. The Commission's determination in Inv. No. 337–TA–1259 will separately address any violation of section 337 based on infringement of the asserted claims of the remaining patents in Canon's complaint. *See* 86 FR 19284–86 (Apr. 13, 2021).

On May 27, 2021, the Commission granted Canon's motion to amend the complaint and NOI to change the identification of Do It Wiser, LLC d/b/a Image Toner to Do It Wiser, Inc. d/b/a Image Toner (hereinafter, “Do It Wiser”) and to make related changes in paragraph 31 of the complaint. Order No. 6 (May 17, 2021), *unreviewed by* 86 FR 29806–07 (June 3, 2021).

On September 7, 2021, the Commission terminated the following asserted claims from the investigation based on Canon's withdrawal of the complaint as to those claims: (i) claim 2 of the ‘649 patent; (ii) claims 2, 3, 6, and 7 of the ‘551 patent; and (iii) claims 25–27, 39–41, and 46 of the ‘402 patent. Order No. 10 (Aug. 12, 2021), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Sept. 7, 2021).

Also on September 7, 2021, the Commission terminated respondent KenoGen from the investigation based on Canon's withdrawal of the complaint as to KenoGen. Order No. 12 (Aug. 13, 2021), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Sept. 7, 2021). As a result, the ten Defaulting Respondents are the only respondents remaining in this investigation.

On October 29, 2021, the Commission found the Defaulting Respondents in default for failing to respond to the complaint and NOI and failing to show cause why they should not be found in default. Order No. 15 (Sept. 29, 2021), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Oct. 29, 2021).

On October 1, 2021, Canon filed a motion seeking summary determination that the Defaulting Respondents have violated section 337 and requesting a recommendation that the Commission issue a general exclusion order (“GEO”), issue cease and desist orders (“CDOs”) against certain respondents, and set a one hundred percent (100%) bond for any importations of infringing goods during the period of Presidential review. On October 25, 2021, OUII filed a response supporting Canon's motion and requested remedial relief. No Defaulting Respondent filed a response to Canon's motion.