

(collectively, “Complainants”). 86 FR 69289–90 (Dec. 7, 2021). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, from the importation, sale for importation, or sale in the United States after importation of certain integrated circuits, chipsets, and electronic devices, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,729,214 (“the ’214 patent”); 10,904,058 (“the ’058 patent”); 8,482,136 (“the ’136 patent”); 7,593,202; and 8,558,591. *Id.* at 69289. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation names the following respondents: MediaTek Inc. of Hsinchu City, Taiwan; MediaTek USA Inc. of San Jose, California (collectively, “MediaTek”); Amazon.com, Inc. of Seattle, Washington; Belkin International, Inc. of Playa Vista, California; and Linksys USA, Inc. of Irvine, California (all collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* at 69290. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party to this investigation. *Id.*

The Commission previously terminated the investigation as to the ’136 patent and certain claims of the ’214 and ’058 patents. Order No. 20 (May 31, 2022); *unreviewed by* Notice (June 21, 2022).

On July 12, 2022, Complainants and Respondents filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement between the Complainants and MediaTek that resolves all disputes between Complainants and Respondents. No opposition to the motion was filed.

On July 13, 2022, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 30), granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation based on settlement. The ID finds that the motion for termination satisfies Commission Rule 210.21(b) (19 CFR 210.21(b)) and that no extraordinary circumstances exist that would prevent the requested termination. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission voted to approve this determination on July 25, 2022.

The authority for the Commission’s determinations is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 26, 2022.

**Katherine Hiner,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2022–16365 Filed 7–29–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–1218 (Rescission)]

### Certain Variable Speed Wind Turbine Generators and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination To Institute a Rescission Proceeding; Rescission of Two Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of the Rescission Proceeding

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to institute a rescission proceeding and to grant a petition to rescind two cease and desist orders (“CDOs”) issued in the underlying investigation. The rescission proceeding is terminated.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Needham, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on September 8, 2020, based on a complaint filed on behalf of General Electric Company of Boston, Massachusetts (“GE”). 85 FR 55492–93 (Sept. 8, 2020). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as supplemented and amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain variable speed wind turbine generators and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1,

3, 6, 7, 12, 15–16, 21–24, 29, 30, and 33–38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,921,985 (“the ’985 patent”) and claims 1 and 2 of the U.S. Patent No. 7,629,705 (“the ’705 patent”). *Id.* at 55493; Order No. 10 (Dec. 2, 2020), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 22, 2020). The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy Inc. of Orlando, Florida (“SGRE Inc.”); Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy A/S of Brande, Denmark (“SGRE A/S”); and Gamesa Electric, S.A.U. of Zamudio, Spain (“Gamesa”) (collectively, “SGRE”). 85 FR 55493. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not a party to the investigation. *Id.*

On January 18, 2022, the Commission determined that GE showed a violation of section 337 by SGRE with respect to claims 29, 30, 33–35, and 37 of the ’985 patent, but did not show a violation with respect to claims 1, 6, and 12 of the ’985 patent or any claim of the ’705 patent. 87 FR 3586–87 (Jan. 24, 2022). The Commission further found that GE showed that SGRE’s full-converter wind turbine products with early versions of software infringe claims 29, 30, 33–35, and 37 of the ’985 patent, but did not show that SGRE’s full-converter wind turbine products with later versions of software or SGRE’s doubly-fed induction generator (“DFIG”) wind turbine products infringe those claims. The Commission issued a limited exclusion order (“LEO”) and three CDOs against the three SGRE entities, but specified that those remedial orders did not cover: (1) DFIG wind turbine products; (2) full-converter wind turbine products with late versions of software; (3) wind turbine products that were subject to a license agreement between GE and SGRE’s predecessor; and (4) wind turbine products that use GE Convertteam power conversion units. Additionally, the Commission found that the remedial orders should have an exemption for the service and repair of existing wind turbine generators based on the public interest factors.

On March 25, 2022, the Commission issued a corrected Commission opinion. 87 FR 18396 (Mar. 30, 2022). The corrections clarified which component contains the relevant software for determining whether a full-converter wind turbine product infringes.

On June 24, 2022, GE filed a petition to rescind the CDOs against SGRE A/S and Gamesa. On July 6, 2022, SGRE A/S and Gamesa filed a response indicating that they do not oppose the rescission of the CDOs issued against them.

Having reviewed GE’s petition seeking to rescind the CDOs and SGRE

A/S's and Gamesa's response indicating no opposition to rescinding the CDOs, the Commission finds that the conditions which led to the issuance of those CDOs no longer exist, and therefore, granting the petition to rescind is warranted under section 337(k) (19 U.S.C. 1337(k)). The Commission also finds that the requirements of Commission Rule 210.76(a) (19 CFR 210.76(a)) are satisfied. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to institute a rescission proceeding and to grant the petition to rescind the CDOs issued against SGRE A/S and Gamesa. The rescission proceeding is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on July 26, 2022.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 26, 2022.

**Katherine Hiner,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2022-16366 Filed 7-29-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-669 (Fifth Review)]

### Cased Pencils From China; Institution of a Five-Year Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted a review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on cased pencils from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission.

**DATES:** Instituted August 1, 2022. To be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is August 31, 2022. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by October 14, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alejandro Orozco (202-205-3177), Office of Investigations, U.S.

International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.**—On December 28, 1994, the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") issued an antidumping duty order on imports of cased pencils from China (59 FR 66909). Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty order on cased pencils from China following Commerce's and the Commission's first five-year reviews, effective August 10, 2000 (65 FR 48960), second five-year reviews, effective December 20, 2005 (70 FR 75450), third five-year reviews, effective July 12, 2011 (76 FR 40880), and fourth five-year reviews, effective September 1, 2017 (82 FR 41608). The Commission is now conducting a fifth review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), to determine whether revocation of the order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Provisions concerning the conduct of this proceeding may be found in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR part 201, subparts A and B, and 19 CFR part 207, subparts A and F. The Commission will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct a full review or an expedited review. The Commission's determination in any expedited review will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

**Definitions.**—The following definitions apply to this review:

(1) *Subject Merchandise* is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year review, as defined by Commerce.

(2) *The Subject Country* in this review is China.

(3) *The Domestic Like Product* is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the

absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the *Subject Merchandise*. In its original determination, its expedited first, second, and third five-year review determinations, and its full fourth five-year review determination, the Commission defined the *Domestic Like Product* as all cased pencils, coextensive with Commerce's scope.

(4) *The Domestic Industry* is the U.S. producers as a whole of the *Domestic Like Product*, or those producers whose collective output of the *Domestic Like Product* constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original determination the Commission defined the *Domestic Industry* as all domestic producers of cased pencils except for one domestic producer, Pentech International, Inc., which it excluded from the *Domestic Industry* under the related parties provision. In its expedited first and second five-year review determinations, the Commission defined the *Domestic Industry* as all domestic producers of cased pencils. In its expedited third five-year review determination, the Commission defined the *Domestic Industry* as all domestic producers of cased pencils except for one domestic producer, Dixon Ticonderoga, which it excluded from the *Domestic Industry* under the related parties provision. Certain Commissioners defined the *Domestic Industry* differently in the expedited third five-year review determination. Similarly, in its full fourth five-year review determination, the Commission defined the *Domestic Industry* as all domestic producers of cased pencils except for Dixon Ticonderoga, which it again excluded from the *Domestic Industry* under the related parties provision.

(5) An *Importer* is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the *Subject Merchandise* into the United States from a foreign manufacturer or through its selling agent.

**Participation in the proceeding and public service list.**—Persons, including industrial users of the *Subject Merchandise* and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in the proceeding as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in § 201.11(b)(4) of the Commission's rules, no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons,