

Requirement	Average number of annual respondents	Average number of responses each	Average number of annual responses	Average completion time per response (hours)	Estimated annual burden hours
<b>Incidental Harassment Authorization—Application</b>					
Private Sector .....	4	1	4	50	200
Government .....	1	1	1	50	50
<b>Incidental Harassment Authorization—Monitoring and Observation Reports</b>					
Private Sector .....	4	12	48	1.5	72
Government .....	1	12	12	1.5	18
<b>Incidental Harassment Authorization—Final Report</b>					
Private Sector .....	4	1	4	5	20
Government .....	1	1	1	5	5
Totals .....	15	.....	70	.....	365

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

**Madonna Baucum,**

*Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 2022–18037 Filed 8–19–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4333–15–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–1324]

### Certain Mobile Electronic Devices; Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on June 16, 2022, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Maxell, Ltd. of Japan. A supplement to the complaint was filed on June 30, 2022. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile electronic devices by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,199,821 (“the ‘821 Patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,324,487 (“the ‘487 Patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,170,394 (“the ‘394 Patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,982,086 (“the ‘086 Patent”); U.S. Patent No.

10,129,590 (“the ‘590 Patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 10,244,284 (“the ‘284 Patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Authority:* The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2021).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on August 16, 2022, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, and 7 of the ‘821 patent; claims 1, 3, and 4 of the ‘487 patent; claims 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 of the ‘394 patent; claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 9–13, and 15 of the ‘086 patent; claims 1, 5, 9, 11–14, 16–25 of the ‘590 patent; and claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, and 18–20 of the ‘284 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “certain mobile electronic devices, *i.e.*, Lenovo- and Motorola-branded smartphones”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Maxell, Ltd. 1 Koizumi, Oyamazaki, Oyamazaki-cho Otokuni-gun, Kyoto, 618–8525 Japan.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Lenovo Group Ltd., No. 6 Chuang Ye Road, Haidan District, Shangdi Information Industry Base, Beijing 100085, China

Lenovo (United States) Inc., 1009 Think Place, Morrisville, NC 27650

Motorola Mobility LLC, 600 N. U.S. Highway 45, Libertyville, IL 60048

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Without prejudice to the CALJ's independent determination as to whether to consolidate the present investigation with Certain Mobile Electronic Devices, Inv. No. 337–1312, the present investigation should serve as the lead investigation if the investigations are consolidated.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 16, 2022.

**William Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2022–17975 Filed 8–19–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1306 (Review)]

### Large Residential Washers From China

#### Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on large residential washers from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted this review on January 3, 2022 (87 FR 115, January 3, 2022) and determined on April 8, 2022, that it would conduct an expedited review (87 FR 38780, June 29, 2022).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on August 16, 2022. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5343 (August 2022), entitled *Large Residential Washers from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–1306 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 16, 2022.

**William Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2022–17974 Filed 8–19–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140–0025]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection of eComments Requested; Limited Permittee Transaction Report—ATF Form 5400.4

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 30-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

(ATF), Department of Justice (DOJ) will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until September 21, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and, if so, how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a Currently Approved Collection.

(2) *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Limited Permittee Transaction Report.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form number: ATF Form 5400.4.

Component: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals or