

must commute to work from the higher-paying locality pay area.

#### Carroll County, IL

In the Federal Salary Council meeting on October 21, 2020, the Council heard testimony regarding Carroll County, IL, currently considered a “Rest of U.S.” location that is adjacent to the Davenport locality pay area. At that time, Carroll County met the employment interchange criterion but not the GS employment criterion to be included in the Davenport locality pay area as an area of application. Since that time and as noted in the Pay Agent’s December 2021 report, Carroll County now meets the GS employment criterion for establishment as an area of application to the Davenport locality pay area.

The applicable criteria for Carroll County are those applied for locations evaluated as single counties. To meet those criteria, Carroll County would need 400 or more GS employees and an employment interchange rate of 7.5 percent or more with the Davenport basic locality pay area. Carroll County meets these criteria with approximately 420 GS employees and an employment interchange rate of 18.14 percent with the Davenport basic locality pay area. Accordingly, we propose that Carroll County, IL, be established as an area of application to the Davenport locality pay area.

#### Brooks County, TX

In reviewing updated GS employment data, the Pay Agent has identified Brooks County as meeting the applicable criteria applied for locations evaluated as single counties. To meet these criteria, Brooks County needed both 400 or more GS employees and an employment interchange rate of 7.5 percent or more with the Corpus Christi basic locality pay area. Brooks County now meets these criteria, with approximately 420 GS employees and an interchange rate of 42.64 percent with the Corpus Christi basic locality pay area. Accordingly, we propose that Brooks County, TX, be established as an area of application to the Corpus Christi locality pay area.

#### Regulatory Impact Analysis

OPM has examined the impact of this rule as required by Executive Order 12866 and Executive Order 13563, which direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public, health, and

safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). This rule is not a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

OPM certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as this rule only applies to Federal agencies and employees.

#### Federalism

OPM has examined this rule in accordance with Executive Order 13132, Federalism, and has determined that this rule will not have any negative impact on the rights, roles and responsibilities of State, local, or tribal governments.

#### Civil Justice Reform

This regulation meets the applicable standard set forth in Executive Order 12988.

#### Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995

This rule will not result in the expenditure by state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any year and it will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions were deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not impose any new reporting or record-keeping requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act.

#### List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 531

Government employees, Law enforcement officers, Wages.

Office of Personnel Management.

**Stephen Hickman,**

*Federal Register Liaison.*

Accordingly, OPM proposes to amend 5 CFR part 531 as follows:

#### PART 531—PAY UNDER THE GENERAL SCHEDULE

■ 1. The authority citation for part 531 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 5115, 5307, and 5338; sec. 4 of Public Law 103–89, 107 Stat. 981; and E.O. 12748, 56 FR 4521, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 316; Subpart B also issued under 5 U.S.C. 5303(g), 5305, 5333, 5334(a) and (b), and 7701(b)(2); Subpart D also issued under 5 U.S.C. 5335 and 7701(b)(2); Subpart E also issued under 5 U.S.C. 5336; Subpart F also issued under 5 U.S.C. 5304, 5305, and 5941(a); E.O. 12883, 58 FR 63281, 3 CFR, 1993 Comp., p. 682; and E.O. 13106, 63 FR 68151, 3 CFR, 1998 Comp., p. 224.

#### Subpart F—Locality-Based Comparability Payments

■ 2. In § 531.603, revise paragraphs (b)(16) and (18) to read as follows:

#### § 531.603 Locality pay areas.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(16) Corpus Christi-Kingsville-Alice, TX—consisting of the Corpus Christi-Kingsville-Alice, TX CSA and also including Brooks County, TX;

(18) Davenport-Moline, IA-IL—consisting of the Davenport-Moline, IA-IL CSA and also including Carroll County, IL;

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2022–20247 Filed 9–20–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–39–P

#### OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

#### 5 CFR Part 532

RIN 3206–AO46

#### Prevailing Rate Systems; Definition of San Mateo County, California, to a Nonappropriated Fund Federal Wage System Wage Area

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is proposing a rule to define San Mateo County, California, as an area of application county to the Monterey, CA, nonappropriated fund (NAF) Federal Wage System (FWS) wage area. This change is necessary because there are three NAF FWS employees working in San Mateo County, and the county is not currently defined to a NAF wage area.

**DATES:** Send comments on or before October 21, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by docket number and/or Regulatory Information Number (RIN) and title, by the following method:

• *Federal Rulemaking Portal:* <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number or RIN for this document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing at <https://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ana Paunoiu, by telephone at (202) 606–2858 or by email at *pay-leave-policy@opm.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** OPM is proposing a rule to would define San Mateo County, CA, as an area of application to the Monterey, CA, NAF FWS wage area. The Department of Defense, on behalf of the Department of Veterans Affairs, notified OPM the Veterans Canteen Service now has three NAF FWS employees in San Mateo County.

Under section 532.219 of title 5, Code of Federal Regulations, each NAF wage area “shall consist of one or more survey areas, along with nonsurvey areas, if any, having nonappropriated fund employees.” San Mateo County does not meet the regulatory criteria under 5 CFR 532.219 to be established as a separate NAF wage area; however, nonsurvey counties may be combined with a survey area to form a wage area. Section 532.219 lists the regulatory criteria OPM considers when defining FWS wage area boundaries. This regulation allows consideration of the following criteria: proximity of largest activity in each county, transportation facilities and commuting patterns, and similarities of the counties in overall population, private employment in major industry categories, and kinds and sizes of private industrial establishments.

San Mateo, CA, would be defined as an area of application to the Monterey, CA, NAF FWS wage area. The proximity criterion favors the Monterey wage area. The transportation facilities and commuting patterns criterion does not favor one wage area more than another. The overall population, employment sizes, and kinds and sizes of private industrial establishments criterion does not favor one wage area more than another. While a standard review of regulatory criteria shows mixed results, the proximity criterion favors the Monterey wage area. Based on this analysis, we propose that San Mateo County be defined to the Monterey NAF wage area.

With the definition of San Mateo County to the Monterey NAF wage area, the Monterey wage area would consist of one survey county (Monterey County, CA) and two area of application counties (San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties, CA). The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee, the national labor-management committee responsible for advising OPM on matters concerning the pay of FWS employees, recommended this change by consensus. This change would be

effective on the first day of the first applicable pay period beginning on or after 30 days following publication of the final regulations.

#### Regulatory Impact Analysis

This action is not a “significant regulatory action” under the terms of Executive Order (E.O.) 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and is therefore not subject to review under E.O. 12866 and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011).

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

OPM certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### Federalism

We have examined this rule in accordance with Executive Order 13132, Federalism, and have determined that this rule will not have any negative impact on the rights, roles and responsibilities of State, local, or tribal Governments.

#### Civil Justice Reform

This regulation meets the applicable standard set forth in Executive Order 12988.

#### Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995

This rule will not result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any year and it will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions were deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not impose any new reporting or record-keeping requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act.

#### List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 532

Administrative practice and procedure, Freedom of information, Government employees, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wages.

Office of Personnel Management.

**Stephen Hickman,**

*Federal Register Liaison.*

Accordingly, OPM is proposing to amend 5 CFR part 532 as follows:

#### PART 532—PREVAILING RATE SYSTEMS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 532 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 5343, 5346; § 532.707 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 552.

■ 2. In Appendix D to subpart B, amend the table in paragraph (3) by revising the wage area listing for CALIFORNIA, to read as follows:

#### Appendix D to Subpart B of Part 532—Nonappropriated Fund Wage and Survey Areas

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Definitions of Wage Areas and Wage Area Survey Areas

\* \* \* \* \*

#### CALIFORNIA

##### Kern

*Survey Area*

California:

Kern

*Area of Application. Survey area plus:*

California:

Fresno

Kings

##### Los Angeles

*Survey Area*

California:

Los Angeles

*Area of Application. Survey area.*

##### Monterey

*Survey Area*

California:

Monterey

*Area of Application. Survey area plus:*

California:

San Mateo

Santa Clara

##### Orange

*Survey Area*

California:

Orange

*Area of Application. Survey area.*

##### Riverside

*Survey Area*

California:

Riverside

*Area of Application. Survey area.*

##### Sacramento

*Survey Area*

California:

Sacramento

*Area of Application. Survey area plus:*

California:

Yuba

Oregon:

Jackson

Klamath

##### San Bernadino

*Survey Area*

California:

San Bernadino

*Area of Application. Survey area.*

##### San Diego

*Survey Area*

California:

San Diego

*Area of Application. Survey area.*

##### San Joaquin

*Survey Area*

California:

San Joaquin

*Area of Application. Survey area.*

**Santa Barbara**

*Survey Area*

California:

Santa Barbara

*Area of Application. Survey area plus:*

California:

San Luis Obispo

**Solano**

*Survey Area*

California:

Solano

*Area of Application. Survey area plus:*

California:

Alameda

Contra Costa

Marin

Napa

San Francisco

Sonoma

**Ventura**

*Survey Area*

California:

Ventura

*Area of Application. Survey area.*

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2022-20248 Filed 9-20-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-39-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2022-0889; Project Identifier AD-2021-00614-T]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all The Boeing Company Model 787-8, 787-9, and 787-10 airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by reports of ram air turbine (RAT) pump barrel assembly failures, which caused the RAT to fail to provide hydraulic power. The failures were determined to be caused by variations in the bronze metal used during manufacturing, which can result in varying fatigue properties. This proposed AD would require an inspection or records review to determine the part number of the RAT pump and control module (PCM) and of the RAT assembly, and replacement of any RAT PCM or any RAT assembly

having certain part numbers. This proposed AD would also prohibit the installation of affected parts. The FAA is proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** The FAA must receive comments on this proposed AD by November 7, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Fax:* 202-493-2251.

- *Mail:* U.S. Department of

Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

- *Hand Delivery:* Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this NPRM, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Contractual & Data Services (C&DS), 2600 Westminster Blvd., MC 110-SK57, Seal Beach, CA 90740-5600; telephone 562-797-1717; internet <https://www.myboeingfleet.com>. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206-231-3195. It is also available at <https://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2022-0889.

#### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket at <https://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2022-0889; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this NPRM, any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations is listed above.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Douglas Tsuji, Senior Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Section, FAA, Seattle ACO Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; phone and fax: 206-231-3548; email: [douglas.tsuji@faa.gov](mailto:douglas.tsuji@faa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Comments Invited

The FAA invites you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send

your comments to an address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include “Docket No. FAA-2022-0889; Project Identifier AD-2021-00614-T” at the beginning of your comments. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. The FAA will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposal because of those comments.

Except for Confidential Business Information (CBI) as described in the following paragraph, and other information as described in 14 CFR 11.35, the FAA will post all comments received, without change, to <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. The agency will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact received about this NPRM.

#### Confidential Business Information

CBI is commercial or financial information that is both customarily and actually treated as private by its owner. Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. 552), CBI is exempt from public disclosure. If your comments responsive to this NPRM contain commercial or financial information that is customarily treated as private, that you actually treat as private, and that is relevant or responsive to this NPRM, it is important that you clearly designate the submitted comments as CBI. Please mark each page of your submission containing CBI as “PROPIN.” The FAA will treat such marked submissions as confidential under the FOIA, and they will not be placed in the public docket of this NPRM. Submissions containing CBI should be sent to Douglas Tsuji, Senior Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Section, FAA, Seattle ACO Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; phone and fax: 206-231-3548; email: [douglas.tsuji@faa.gov](mailto:douglas.tsuji@faa.gov). Any commentary that the FAA receives which is not specifically designated as CBI will be placed in the public docket for this rulemaking.

#### Background

The FAA has received a report indicating that RAT pump barrel assembly failures during production flights have caused the RAT to fail to provide hydraulic power. An investigation by the manufacturer determined that the failures are caused by variations in the bronze material used during the manufacturing process, which can result in varying fatigue properties. The varying fatigue properties of the RAT pump cylinder