building code adoption, training or other efforts to sustain proper practices such as operation and maintenance of HVAC systems as designed, weatherization and other retrofit programs)?

• What policies and or practices need to be put in place to support such efforts?

• Who can take these actions?

• What tools and technical assistance are needed?

• What are the obstacles to implementing appropriate upgrades to HVAC systems, in schools in particular?

3.4 In your opinion, what is an effective approach for a building recognition program (*e.g.*, pledge campaign, performance tiers,

certification program)?
What do you think are the primary incentives for decision makers to invest in ventilation, filtration, and air

cleaning improvements and upgrades?What are the obstacles that decision makers may be facing?

• What approaches can help ensure buildings and organizations of all types can participate in a building recognition program?

• How can equity be integrated into a building recognition program so that it recognizes various types of significant improvements while taking into consideration diversity in the quality of existing buildings and differences in available financial resources? Could tiered recognition help address this equity consideration and what tiering approach should be considered?

3.5 In your opinion, what are key characteristics of a building recognition program that would be needed to document credible efforts toward improved ventilation, filtration, and air cleaning in buildings?

• What would be the principal IAQ parameters, measures, or other characteristics that could be included?

• How could these parameters, measures or other characteristics be assured or verified?

• What are ways to effectively recognize organizations that have taken action across a large portion of their building stock or occupied spaces within their buildings and or expended significant resources in their efforts?

• How frequently would a building need to be re-certified?

• What else could be noted about a building recognition, labeling or certification program?

3.6 In your opinion, what quantifiable metrics or targets could be helpful in evaluating or assessing ventilation, filtration, and air cleaning parameters in a building?

• What types of tools or technologies could support real time assessment of

ventilation, filtration and or air cleaning parameters in a building?

• What qualitative or quantitative features could be helpful in assessing or describing ventilation, filtration, and air cleaning parameters in a building?

3.7 In your opinion, what changes would you recommend to the Clean Air in Buildings Challenge best practices document to improve public engagement and participation by a broad set of stakeholders?

3.8 In your opinion, how might lessons from the COVID pandemic be useful for long-term efforts to improve ventilation, filtration, air cleaning and other indoor air quality parameters in the nation's building stock?

3.9 What else would you like to note about opportunities and issues that could improve indoor air quality in the nation's building stock?

Authority: Title IV of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA); Title III Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA); Clean Air Act (CAA).

Jonathan D. Edwards,

Director, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air. [FR Doc. 2022–21590 Filed 10–4–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060-1226; FR ID 107330]

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or the Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection. Comments are requested concerning: whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated

collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees. The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.

DATES: Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before December 5, 2022. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicole Ongele, FCC, via email *PRA@ fcc.gov* and to *nicole.ongele@fcc.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the information collection, contact Nicole Ongele, (202) 418–2991.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–1226. *Title:* Receiving Written Consent for Communication with Base Stations in Canada; Issuing Written Consent to Licensees from Canada for Communication with Base Stations in the U.S.; Description of Interoperable Communications with Licensees from Canada.

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: State, Local, or Tribal government agencies.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 3,215 respondents; 3,215 responses.

Éstimated Time per Response: 0.5 hours–1 hour.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. Written consent from the licensee of a base station repeater is required before first responders from the other country can begin communicating with that base stations repeater. Applicants are advised to include a description of how they intend to interoperate with licensees from Canada when filing applications to operate under any of the scenarios described in Public Notice DA 16-739 in order to ensure that the application is not inadvertently rejected by Canada. Statutory authority for these collections are contained in 47 U.S.C. 151, 154, 301, 303, 307, 308, 309, 310, 316, 319,

325(b), 332, 336(f), 338, 339, 340, 399b, 403, 534, 535, 1404, 1452, and 1454 of the Communications Act of 1934.

Total Annual Burden: 5,626 hours. *Total Annual Cost:* None.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: Applicants who include a description of how they intend to interoperate with licensees from Canada need not include any confidential information with their description. Nonetheless, there is a need for confidentiality with respect to all applications filed with the Commission through its Universal Licensing System (ULS). Although ULS stores all information pertaining to the individual license via an FCC Registration Number (FRN), confidential information is accessible only by persons or entities that hold the password for each account, and the Commission's licensing staff. Information on private land mobile radio licensees is maintained in the Commission's system of records, FCC/ WTB-1, "Wireless Services Licensing Records." The licensee records will be publicly available and routinely used in accordance with subsection (b) of the Privacy Act. TIN Numbers and material which is afforded confidential treatment pursuant to a request made under 47 CFR 0.459 will not be available for Public inspection. Any personally identifiable information (PII) that individual applicants provide is covered by a system of records, FCC/WTB-1,

"Wireless Services Licensing Records," and these and all other records may be disclosed pursuant to the Routine Uses as stated in this system of records notice.

Needs and Uses: This collection will be submitted as an extension of an existing collection after this 60-day comment period to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in order to obtain the full three-year clearance. The purpose of requiring an agency to issue written consent before allowing first responders from the other country to communicate with its base station repeater ensures to that the licensee of that base stations repeater (host licensee) maintains control and is responsible for its operation at all times. The host licensee can use the written consent to ensure that first responders from the other country understand the proper procedures and protocols before they begin communicating with its base station repeater. Furthermore, when reviewing applications filed by border area licensees, Commission staff will use any description of how an applicant intends to interoperate with licensees from Canada, including copies of any written agreements, in order to

coordinate the application with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) and reduce the risk of an inadvertent rejection by ISED.

Federal Communications Commission. Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. 2022–21622 Filed 10–4–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 92–237; DA 22–1021; FR ID 107256]

Next Meeting of the North American Numbering Council

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission released a public notice announcing a meeting of the North American Numbering Council (NANC). **DATES:** February 28, 2023. The meeting will come to order at 2 p.m. **ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be conducted via video conference and available to the public via the internet at *http://www.fcc.gov/live*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: You may also contact Christi Shewman, Designated Federal Officer, at *christi.shewman@fcc.gov* or 202–418– 0646. More information about the NANC is available at *https:// www.fcc.gov/about-fcc/advisorycommittees/general/north-americannumbering-council.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NANC meeting is open to the public on the internet via live feed from the FCC's web page at http://www.fcc.gov/live. Open captioning will be provided for this event. Other reasonable accommodations for people with disabilities are available upon request. Requests for such accommodations should be submitted via email to *fcc504@fcc.gov* or by calling the **Consumer and Governmental Affairs** Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY). Such requests should include a detailed description of the accommodation needed. In addition, please include a way for the FCC to contact the requester if more information is needed to fill the request. Please allow at least five days' advance notice for accommodation requests; last minute requests will be accepted but may not be possible to accommodate. Members of the public may submit comments to the NANC in the FCC's

Electronic Comment Filing System, ECFS, at *www.fcc.gov/ecfs.* Comments to the NANC should be filed in CC Docket No. 92–237. This is a summary of the Commission's document in CC Docket No. 92–237, DA 22–1021, released September 27, 2022.

Proposed Agenda: At the February 28, 2023 meeting, the NANC will consider and vote on a report and recommendations from the Numbering Administration Oversight Working Group on the Feasibility of Individual Telephone Number Pooling Trials and Other Options for Numbering Resource Conservation. The agenda may be modified at the discretion of the NANC Chair and the Designated Federal Officer (DFO).

(5 U.S.C. app 2 section 10(a)(2))

Federal Communications Commission. Pamela Arluk.

Division Chief, Competition Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau. [FR Doc. 2022–21629 Filed 10–4–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060-0250; FR ID 107128]

Information Collections Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection. Comments are requested concerning: whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.