

informative purposes to increase the Bureau's understanding of consumer credit markets and household financial decision-making. In addition, research may be related to the Bureau's mission regarding financial education, including evaluating the effectiveness of financial education programs and understanding financial planning behaviors, including savings, spending, and investing behavior. The Bureau envisions that the research covered under this clearance will be basic foundational research about consumer credit markets and household finance.

*Request for Comments:* Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) The accuracy of the Bureau's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methods and the assumptions used; (c) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB's approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

**Anthony May,**

*Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 2022-25547 Filed 11-22-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-AM-P

---

## CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; AmeriCorps Program Life Cycle Evaluation—Climate Change Bundled Evaluation

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service, operating as AmeriCorps, has submitted a public information collection request (ICR) entitled AmeriCorps Program Life Cycle Evaluation—Climate Change Bundled Evaluation for review and approval in

accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the individual and office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section by December 23, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling Jehyra Asencio Yace at AmeriCorps at 202-956-9736 or by email to [JAsencioYace@cns.gov](mailto:JAsencioYace@cns.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of CNCS, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions;
- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

### Comments

A 60-day notice requesting public comment was published in the **Federal Register** on August 17, 2022 at 87 FR 50613-50614. This comment period ended October 17, 2022. Six public comments were received for this notice. Most of the comments included concerns and suggestions related to the broad research questions included in the 60-day notice that are addressed in the more detailed full evaluation plan and instruments. For example, one commenter suggested including environmental justice, vulnerable communities' involvement, and barriers, which are included in the surveys and interview and focus group protocols. In response to comments suggesting directly confronting recruitment, living

allowances, and match, those questions have been added to the instruments. A full summary of comments and responses is available in the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) docket.

*Title of Collection:* AmeriCorps Program Life Cycle Evaluation—Climate Change Bundled Evaluation.

*OMB Control Number:* 3045-NEW.

*Type of Review:* New.

*Respondents/Affected Public:* Grantee organization project director and staff, national service members, partner organization staff.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 611.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:* 235.

*Abstract:* The purpose of this evaluation is to provide insight on the implementation of the climate change bundle programs and explore variation in activities for education and training, disaster response, conservation, wildfire mitigation, and energy efficiency. It will explore the ways in which the programs influence community resilience. It will also examine changes in attitudes and behaviors toward civic engagement among national service members and the development of job skills, including skills for green jobs. Finally, it will examine how the programs are serving vulnerable communities and at-risk populations. The research questions for this evaluation are:

1. How do programs/members connect their work to climate change?
2. To what extent does the program include opportunities to increase equity?
3. To what extent is the program operating as intended?
4. What are some promising practices and challenges in implementing the climate change grant programs?
5. What were the barriers and facilitators to meet the intended outcomes of the program?
6. What are the lessons learned that can inform the field or be useful for practitioners that work in this space?
7. What is the likelihood that the program will be sustained beyond the grant?
8. How were the communities and community members impacted by climate change prior to the program?
9. What types of communities are being helped by the climate change grant programs?
10. To what extent are programs focused on vulnerable populations and communities?
11. What are the demographic characteristics of national service members (e.g., gender, age, race, ethnicity, education)?
12. What partner organizations are involved (i.e., community organizations,

local agencies)? What are their roles in the program?

13. What is the breadth (number and type of partnership), quality, and quantity of the partnership(s) (number and frequency of joint activities and the strength)?

14. How were partnerships built and maintained?

15. How do grantee and sponsor organizations work with partners to build community resilience?

16. To what extent do the climate change grant programs: (a.) improve energy efficiency and increase the use of renewable energy sources? (b.) help communities prepare, respond, and recover from natural disasters and other climate change effects? (c.) preserve public lands and waterways and protect or restore biodiversity? (d.) increase community members' knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors around climate change? (e.) build capacity of the community to be resilient?

17. How do the climate change grant programs lead to increased civic engagement?

18. In what ways does participation in the climate change grant programs influence national service members' job skills development toward green jobs?

19. To what extent does participation in the climate change grant programs: (a.) increase national service members' functional and technical job skills? (b.) increase national service members' interest/willingness to pursue a career in a green job? (c.) lead to a job after their service? (d.) lead to a career in a green job after their service?

This bundled evaluation of grantees is being conducted by ICF through a contract with AmeriCorps; it will have an explicit emphasis on activities related to addressing climate change. By bundling, this evaluation combines a group of state commissions with similar program approaches into a single evaluation. Spanning 32 months, the evaluation includes up to 30 grantees to examine program design, implementation, and outcomes using surveys, interviews, and focus groups with a wide range of stakeholders including grantee staff, partner organizations, national service members, and community members. This is a new information collection.

**Mary Hyde,**

*Director, Office of Research and Evaluation.*

[FR Doc. 2022-25527 Filed 11-22-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6050-28-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

[Docket Number DARS-2022-0021]

#### Information Collection Requirement; Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Rights in Technical Data and Computer Software (OMB Control Number 0704-0369)

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System; Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Acquisition Regulations System has submitted to OMB for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by December 23, 2022.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title and OMB Number:* Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) Subpart 227.71, Rights in Technical Data, and Subpart 227.72, Rights in Computer Software and Computer Software Documentation, and related provisions and clauses of the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS); OMB Control Number 0704-0369.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to obtain or retain benefits.

*Reporting Frequency:* On occasion.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Number of Respondents:* 75,250.

*Responses Per Respondent:* 13, approximately.

*Annual Responses:* 959,602.

*Average Burden per Response:* 1 hour, approximately.

*Annual Response Burden Hours:* 904,574.

*Annual Recordkeeping Burden Hours:* 90,600.

*Total Annual Burden Hours:* 995,174.

*Needs and Uses:* DFARS subparts 227.71 and 227.72 prescribe the use of solicitation provisions and contract clauses containing information collection requirements that are associated with rights in technical data and computer software. DoD needs this information to implement 10 U.S.C. 2320, Rights in technical data, and 10 U.S.C. 2321, Validation of proprietary data restrictions. DoD uses the information to recognize and protect contractor rights in technical data and

computer software that are associated with privately funded developments; and to ensure that technical data delivered under a contract are complete and accurate and satisfy contract requirements.

DoD uses the following DFARS provisions and clauses in solicitations and contracts to require offerors and contractors to identify and mark data or software requiring protection from unauthorized use, release, or disclosure in accordance with 10 U.S.C. 2320:

252.227-7013, Rights in Technical Data—Noncommercial Items.

252.227-7014, Rights in Noncommercial Computer Software and Noncommercial Computer Software Documentation.

252.227-7017, Identification and Assertion of Use, Release, or Disclosure Restrictions.

252.227-7018, Rights in Noncommercial Technical Data and Computer Software—Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Program.

In accordance with 10 U.S.C. 2320(a)(2)(D), DoD may disclose limited rights data to persons outside the Government, or allow those persons to use data with use, release, or disclosure restrictions, if the recipient agrees not to further release, disclose, or use the data. Therefore, the clause at DFARS 252.227-7013, Rights in Technical Data—Noncommercial Items, requires the contractor to identify and mark data or software that it provides with limited rights.

In accordance with 10 U.S.C. 2321(b), contractors and subcontractors at any tier must be prepared to furnish written justification for any asserted restriction on the Government's rights to use or release data. The following DFARS clauses require contractors and subcontractors to maintain adequate records and procedures to justify any asserted restrictions:

252.227-7019, Validation of Asserted Restrictions—Computer Software.

252.227-7037, Validation of Restrictive Markings on Technical Data.

In accordance with 10 U.S.C. 2320, DoD must protect the rights of contractors that have developed items, components, or processes exclusively at private expense. Therefore, the clause at DFARS 252.227-7025, Limitations on the Use or Disclosure of Government-Furnished Information Marked with Restrictive Legends, requires a contractor or subcontractor to submit a use and non-disclosure agreement when it obtains data from the Government to which the Government has less than unlimited rights. In addition, DFARS 227.7103-7, Use and non-disclosure agreement, requires intended recipients