GRS 6.1—Email and Other Electronic Messages Managed Under a Capstone Approach (see question 5 below)

2. What changes did we make to GRS 2.3, Employee Relations Records?

We updated items 010 and 020 to incorporate records related to religious accommodations. Previously, these items only covered records related to reasonable accommodations.

3. What changes did we make to GRS 3.2, Information Systems Security Records?

We added items 035 and 036 for cybersecurity logging records to support record retention requirements established in OMB Memo M–21–31, Improving the Federal Government's Investigative and Remediation Capabilities Related to Cybersecurity Incidents.

4. What changes did we make to GRS 6.1, Email and Other Electronic Messages Managed Under a Capstone Approach?

This update expands the scope of GRS 6.1 beyond email to certain electronic messages, as reflected in the title change: "Email and Other Electronic Messages Managed under a Capstone Approach."

Agencies will now have the option of applying the GRS 6.1 Capstone approach to:

• electronic messages affiliated with email system chat or messaging functions, where the messages are managed independently from the email;

• messages from messaging services provided on mobile devices; and

 messages from messaging services on third-party applications.

Agencies still must submit NARA Form NA–1005, Verification for the Use of GRS 6.1, Email and Other Electronic Messages Managed Under a Capstone Approach, for approval to use GRS 6.1.

5. How do agencies cite GRS items?

When citing the legal disposition authority for records covered by the GRS on NARA documents, either when transferring records to Federal Records Centers for storage, to NARA for accessioning, or when requesting GRS deviations on record schedules, use the "DAA" number in the "Disposition Authority" column of the table. For example, "DAA–GRS–2017–0007– 0008" rather than "GRS 2.2, item 070." A GRS Disposition Authority Look-Up Table is available on our website at https://www.archives.gov/recordsmgmt/grs.html.

6. Do agencies have to take any action to implement these GRS changes?

If your agency chooses to use the Capstone approach to managing email and other electronic messages (GRS 6.1), your agency must first submit the form NA-1005, Verification for the Use of GRS 6.1, for NARA review and approval. An agency may not implement GRS 6.1 until NARA approves the form. Your agency may already have an approved form NA-1005; agencies are, however, required to resubmit form NA-1005 every four vears per NARA Bulletin 2022-02, Resubmission of Capstone Forms. Forms are to be submitted to GRS_Team@ nara.gov.

NARA regulations (36 CFR 1226.12(a)) require agencies to disseminate GRS changes within six months of receipt.

Per 36 CFR 1227.12(a)(1), you must follow GRS dispositions that state they must be followed without exception.

Per 36 CFR 1227.12(a)(3), if you have an existing schedule that differs from a new GRS item that does not require being followed without exception, and you wish to continue using your agencyspecific authority rather than the GRS authority, you must notify NARA within 120 days of the date of this transmittal. Please send these notifications to *GRS_ Team@nara.gov.*

If you do not have an already existing agency-specific authority but wish to apply a retention period that differs from that specified in the GRS, you must submit a records schedule to NARA for approval via the Electronic Records Archives.

7. How can an agency get copies of the new GRS?

You can download the complete current GRS, in PDF format, from NARA's website at *http:// www.archives.gov/records-mgmt/ grs.html.*

8. Whom should an agency contact for further information?

Please contact *GRS_Team@nara.gov* with any questions related to this transmittal.

Debra Steidel Wall,

Acting Archivist of the United States. [FR Doc. 2023–00379 Filed 1–10–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request; Convergence Accelerator Evaluation & Monitoring Plan

AGENCY: National Science Foundation. **ACTION:** Submission for OMB review; comment request.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) has submitted the following information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This is the second notice for public comment; the first was published in the Federal Register, and no comments were received. NSF is forwarding the proposed submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice. DATES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to *www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAmain.* Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia 22314; telephone (703) 292– 7556; or send email to *splimpto® nsf.gov.* Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877– 8339, which is accessible 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year (including Federal holidays).

Copies of the submission may be obtained by calling 703–292–7556. NSF may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number, and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: Convergence Accelerator Evaluation & Monitoring Plan.

OMB Control No.: 3145-New. Abstract: The information collection will enable the Evaluation and Assessment Capability (EAC) Section within NSF to garner quantitative and qualitative information that will be used to inform programmatic improvements, efficiencies, and enhanced program monitoring for the Convergence Accelerator (CA). This information collection, which entails collecting information from CA applicants and grantees through a series of surveys, interviews, and case studies, is in accordance with the Agency's commitment to improving service delivery as well as the Agency's strategic goal to "advance the capability of the Nation to meet current and future challenges."

For this effort, four survey instruments have been developed, each of which will include closed-ended and open-ended questions to generate quantitative and qualitative data. For ease of use for our respondent pool, each of the four survey instruments will be programmed into interactive web surveys and distributed to eligible respondents by email. The surveys, which will serve as a census for all applicable CA applicants and/or grantees, will be used to collect baseline measures at the start of the program and vital information on how grantees progress through the program. Followup interviews will be conducted with project team leaders, such as Principal Investigators (PIs) and Principal Directors (PDs), and case studies that will use a project team as the unit of analysis will be used to collect qualitatively rich discursive and observational information that cannot be collected within a web survey. Both follow-up interviews and case studies will be conducted virtually with the possibility of in-person interviews and non-participant observation to be held in the future.

NSF/EAC will only submit a collection for approval under this generic clearance if it meets the following conditions:

• The collection is voluntary;

• The collection has a reasonably low burden for respondents (based on considerations of total burden hours, total number of respondents, or burdenhours per respondent) and is low-cost for the Federal government;

 The collection is non-controversial and does not raise issues of concern for other Federal agencies;

• The collection is targeted to the solicitation of opinions from respondents who have applied to the CA program (including those that have submitted successful grant applications and subsequently received funding);

 Personally identifiable information (PII) is collected only to the extent necessary; and

• Information gathered will be used for the dual and interrelated purposes of disseminating information about the CA program and using this information to make programmatic improvements, efficiencies, and enhanced program monitoring for the CA.

Feedback collected under this generic clearance provides useful information for the continued evolution of the CA program, but it may not vield data that can be generalized to the overall population in all instances. Our qualitative data collection activitiesfollow-up interviews and case studiesare designed to investigate outlier CA teams or CA teams that demonstrate exceptional performance or successfully overcome significant challenges in their work with the CA. While the web surveys, which will be deployed at different times during the program, will collect data that will help the EAC monitor trends over time and assess overall program performance, the follow-up interviews and case studies will gather supplemental data that is more specific to individual CA teams.

As a general matter, this information collection will not include questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.

Below we provide NSF's projected average estimates for the next three years:

Affected Public: Individuals and households, Businesses and other forprofit organizations, Not-for-profit institutions, Federal government.

Average Expected Annual Number of Activities: 10.

Respondents: 300 per activity. Annual Responses: 3,000. Frequency of Response: Once per request.

Average Minutes per Response: 75. *Burden Hours:* 1,400.

Comments: Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to the points of contact in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Dated: January 6, 2023.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2023–00412 Filed 1–10–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation (NSF) announces the following meeting:

Name and Committee Code: Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory Committee (#13883) (Virtual).

Date and Time: January 26, 2023; 9:30 a.m.–4:00 p.m.; January 27, 2023, 9:30 a.m.–4:00 p.m.

Place: National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22314 (Zoom Videoconference).

Attendance information for the meeting will be forthcoming on the AAAC website: *https://www.nsf.gov/mps/ast/aaac.jsp*.

Type of Meeting: Open.

Contact Person: Dr. Carrie Black, Program Director, Division of Astronomical Sciences, Suite W 9188, National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22314; Telephone: 703–292–2426.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations to the National Science Foundation (NSF), the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) on issues within the field of astronomy and astrophysics that are of mutual interest and concern to the agencies. To prepare the annual report.

Agenda: To hear presentations of current programming by representatives from NSF, NASA, DOE and other agencies relevant to astronomy and astrophysics; to discuss current and potential areas of cooperation between the agencies; to formulate recommendations for continued and new areas of cooperation and mechanisms for achieving them.