submitters to substantiate their claim of confidentiality. Under CAA section 114(c), emissions information may not be claimed as confidential.

To develop the lists of acceptable and unacceptable substitutes, the Agency must assess and compare "overall risks to human health and the environment" posed by use of substitutes in the context of particular applications. EPA requires submission of information covering a wide range of health and environmental factors. These include intrinsic properties such as physical and chemical information, atmospheric effects including ozone depleting potential and global warming potential, toxicity, and flammability, and usespecific data such as substitute applications, process description, environmental release data, exposure data during use of a substitute, environmental fate and transport, and cost information of the substitute. Once a completed submission has been received, the SNAP program will commence its review. Any substitute which is a new chemical must also be submitted to the Agency under the Premanufacture Notice program under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Alternatives that will be used as sterilants must be filed jointly with EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs and with SNAP.

Form Numbers: 1264–14;1265–14. Respondents/affected entities: Manufacturers, importers, formulators and processors of substitutes for ODS.

Respondent's obligation to respond: Mandatory (40 CFR 82.176).

Estimated number of respondents: 154 (per year).

Frequency of response: Annual. Total estimated burden: 4,855 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

Total estimated cost: \$444,249, which includes \$22,912 annualized capital or O&M costs.

Changes in estimates: There is a decrease of 702 hours in the total estimated respondent burden compared with the ICR currently approved by OMB. The Agency anticipates the number of submissions to the SNAP program to remain the same as the previous ICR during the next 3 years. Many of the recent SNAP submissions, and those anticipated over the next three years, are for chemicals previously found acceptable for other SNAP applications or for blends of alternatives already found acceptable. For the expected submissions, the burden of developing supporting information for the majority of these submissions is expected to decrease because it is easier to find and review information for

substitutes that have been reviewed previously. EPA estimates a reduction in the number of respondents responsible for recordkeeping for substitutes acceptable subject to use conditions and narrowed use limits. The increased availability of alternatives reduces the need for industry to use alternatives previously listed as acceptable subject to narrowed use limits.

Cynthia A. Newberg,

Director, Stratospheric Protection Division. [FR Doc. 2023–01258 Filed 1–23–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OGC-2023-0028; FRL-10587-01-OGC]

Proposed Consent Decree, Clean Air Act Citizen Suit

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed consent decree; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Clean Air Act, as amended (CAA or the Act), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or the Agency) is providing notice of a proposed consent decree in California Communities Against Toxics, et al. v. Regan, No. 1:22-cv-01012-CRC (D.D.C.). On April 12, 2022, Plaintiffs California Communities Against Toxics, Coalition For A Safe Environment, and Sierra Club filed a complaint in the United States District Court in the District of Columbia. In the complaint, which was amended on July 6, 2022, Plaintiffs allege that EPA failed to perform its non-discretionary duty to review and revise as necessary the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Oil and Natural Gas Production Facilities and Natural Gas Transmission and Storage Facilities. Plaintiffs further allege that EPA has unreasonably delayed in responding to Plaintiffs' 2012 petition for administrative reconsideration of the final rule Oil and Natural Gas Sector: New Source Performance Standards and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Reviews. The proposed consent decree would establish deadlines for EPA to sign proposed and final actions.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed consent decree must be received by February 23, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OGC-2023-0028, online at https://

www.regulations.gov (EPA's preferred method). Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket ID number for this action. Comments received may be posted without change to https://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the "Additional Information about Commenting on the Proposed Consent Decree" heading under the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Huang Branning, Air and Radiation Law Office, Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; telephone (202) 564–1744; email address branning.amy@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Obtaining a Copy of the Proposed Consent Decree

The official public docket for this action (identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OGC-2023-0028) contains a copy of the proposed consent decree. The official public docket is available for public viewing at the Office of Environmental Information (OEI) Docket in the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OEI Docket is (202) 566-1752.

The electronic version of the public docket for this action contains a copy of the proposed consent decree and is available through https://www.regulations.gov. You may use https://www.regulations.gov to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, key in the appropriate docket identification number then select "search."

II. Additional Information About the Proposed Consent Decree

On April 12, 2022, Plaintiffs California Communities Against Toxics, Coalition For A Safe Environment, and Sierra Club (collectively "Plaintiffs") filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia alleging that EPA has failed to

perform its non-discretionary duty under CAA section 112(d)(6) to "review, and revise as necessary" the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Oil and Natural Gas Production Facilities and Natural Gas Transmission and Storage Facilities, 40 CFR part 60, subparts HH and HHH respectively ("Oil and Gas NESHAP"), at least every eight years. On July 6, 2022, Plaintiffs amended the complaint to also claim that EPA has unreasonably delayed in taking final action on Plaintiffs' 2012 petition for administrative reconsideration of the final rule Oil and Natural Gas Sector: New Source Performance Standards and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Reviews, 77 FR 49490 (Aug. 16, 2012) ("2012 Rule").

The 2012 Rule contains actions on both the new source performance standards ("NSPS") for the oil and natural gas sector under CAA section 111 and the Oil and Gas NESHAP under CAA section 112. Multiple parties, including Plaintiffs, sought judicial review of the 2012 Rule in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of the Columbia ("D.C. Circuit"). In addition, Plaintiffs submitted to EPA a petition for administrative reconsideration of the 2012 Rule ("Reconsideration Petition"). In that petition, Plaintiffs objected to multiple aspects of the NESHAP portion of the 2012 Rule, including provision of an affirmative defense to civil penalties for violating emissions standards caused by malfunctions ("affirmative defense").

The D.C. Circuit consolidated all petitions for judicial review of the 2012 Rule and granted EPA's motion to (1) sever NESHAP-related challenges from NSPS-related challenges and (2) hold the former in abeyance pending EPA's reconsideration of the NESHAP portion of the rule. American Petroleum Institute v. EPA, No. 12-1405 (D.C. Cir.). In a letter dated December 14, 2016, EPA informed Plaintiffs' counsel that EPA's reconsideration of the NESHAP portion of the 2012 Rule was still ongoing and that it was reviewing, among several other issues, the affirmative defense provisions raised in the Reconsideration Petition.

The proposed consent decree, if finalized, would establish deadlines for EPA to take proposed and final actions under CAA section 112(d)(6) for the Oil and Gas NESHAP and for addressing the Reconsideration Petition. Specifically, EPA would be required to sign the proposed action addressing the affirmative defense issue in the Reconsideration Petition by February 12, 2024, and final action by December 10, 2024. EPA would also be required to sign the proposed action on its review

of the Oil and Gas NESHAP under CAA section 112(d)(6) and on other Reconsideration Petition issues that EPA is reconsidering by December 10, 2024, and the final action by December 10, 2025.

In accordance with section 113(g) of the CAA, for a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this document, the Agency will accept written comments relating to the proposed consent decree. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed consent decree if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act.

III. Additional Information About Commenting on the Proposed Consent Decree

Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OGC-2023-0028, via https://www.regulations.gov. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from this docket. EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit to EPA's docket at https:// www.regulations.gov any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https:// www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epadockets. For additional information about submitting information identified as CBI, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION **CONTACT** section of this document. Note that written comments containing CBI and submitted by mail may be delayed and deliveries or couriers will be received by scheduled appointment

If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an email address or other contact information in the body of your comment. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows

EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. Any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Use of the https://www.regulations.gov website to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. The electronic public docket system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, email address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment.

Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

Gautam Srinivasan,

Associate General Counsel. [FR Doc. 2023–01303 Filed 1–23–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060-0819; FR ID 124047]

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or the Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection. Comments are requested concerning: whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize