

II. Chair's Comments  
 III. Discuss Project Proposal  
 IV. Next Steps  
 V. Public Comment  
 VI. Adjournment

Dated: February 3, 2023.

**David Mussatt,**

*Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.*

[FR Doc. 2023-02683 Filed 2-7-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-215-2022]

#### Approval of Expansion of Subzone 18G, Tesla, Inc., Oakland, California

On December 7, 2022, the Executive Secretary of the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board docketed an application submitted by the City of San Jose, grantee of FTZ 18, requesting an expansion of Subzone 18G subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 18, on behalf of Tesla, Inc., in Oakland, California.

The application was processed in accordance with the FTZ Act and Regulations, including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (87 FR 76178, December 13, 2022). The FTZ staff examiner reviewed the application and determined that it meets the criteria for approval. Pursuant to the authority delegated to the FTZ Board Executive Secretary (15 CFR 400.36(f)), the application to expand Subzone 18G was approved on February 2, 2023, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, and further subject to FTZ 18's 2,000-acre activation limit.

Dated: February 2, 2023.

**Elizabeth Whiteman,**

*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2023-02625 Filed 2-7-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-557-816]

#### Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020-2021

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain steel nails from Malaysia were

sold at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), July 1, 2020, through June 30, 2021.

**DATES:** Applicable February 8, 2023.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Drury or Emily Bradshaw, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0195 and (202) 482-3956.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 5, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2020-2021 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from Malaysia.<sup>1</sup> We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>2</sup> On November 21, 2022, we extended the deadline for these final results until February 1, 2023.<sup>3</sup> This review covers two mandatory respondents: Region and Inmax.<sup>4</sup> The producers/exporters not selected for individual examination are referenced in the "Final Results of Review" section below and listed in Appendix II of this notice. For a complete description of the events that followed the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>5</sup> Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

##### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the scope of the order are certain steel nails from Malaysia. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020-2021*, 87 FR 47978 (August 5, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>2</sup> See *Preliminary Results*, 87 FR at 47978.

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020-2021," dated November 21, 2022.

<sup>4</sup> As in the last completed administrative review, Commerce continues to treat Region International Co. Ltd. and Region System Sdn. Bhd. (collectively, Region) as a collapsed single entity, and to treat Inmax Sdn. Bhd. and Inmax Industries Sdn. Bhd. (collectively, Inmax) as a collapsed single entity in this administrative review. See, e.g., *Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019-2020*, 87 FR 5794 (February 2, 2022).

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2020-2021 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 2-4.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by interested parties in this review are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics included in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached as Appendix I to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be found at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

#### Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce determined that Astrotech Steels Private Limited, Trinity Steel Private Limited, and Geekay Wires Limited made no shipments of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. No parties commented on this determination. Therefore, for the final results of review, we continue to find that these companies made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. Consistent with our practice, we will issue appropriate instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) based on our final results.

#### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the margin calculation methodology used in the *Preliminary Results* and have changed the dumping margin for these final results of review, as discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

#### Rates for Non-Selected Respondents

The statute and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally "an amount equal to the weighted