

Dated: February 28, 2023.

Abdelali Elouaradia,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Review
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Partial Rescission of Administrative Review
- VI. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
- VII. Single Entity Treatment
- VIII. Discussion of the Methodology
- IX. Currency Conversion
- X. Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies/Company Groupings for Which the Administrative Review Is Being Rescinded

1. Anji Dasol Solar Energy Science & Technology Co., Ltd.
2. BYD (Shangluo) Industrial Co., Ltd.
3. Canadian Solar International Limited.
4. Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu) Inc.
5. Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Luoyang) Inc.
6. Chint Energy (Haining) Co., Ltd.; Chint Solar (Hong Kong) Company Limited; Chint Solar (Jiuquan) Co., Ltd.; Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd.; Chint New Energy Technology (Haining) Co. Ltd.
7. CSI Cells Co., Ltd.
8. CSI Solar Power (China) Inc.
9. CSI-GCL Solar Manufacturing (Yancheng) Co., Ltd.
10. De-Tech Trading Limited HK.
11. Hefei JA Solar Technology Co., Ltd.
12. Hengdian Group DMEGC Magnetics Co. Ltd.
13. JA Solar Co., Ltd.
14. JA Solar Technology Yangzhou Co., Ltd.
15. Jiangsu Jinko Tiansheng Solar Co., Ltd.
16. Jiawei Solarchina (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.
17. Jiawei Solarchina Co., Ltd.
18. JingAo Solar Co., Ltd.
19. Jinko Solar Co. Ltd.
20. Jinko Solar Import and Export Co., Ltd.
21. Jinko Solar International Limited.
22. JinkoSolar Technology (Haining) Co., Ltd.
23. Jiujiang Shengchao Xinye Technology Co., Ltd.
24. Jiujiang Shengzhao Xinye Trade Co., Ltd.
25. Lightway Green New Energy Co., Ltd.
26. Longi (HK) Trading Ltd.
27. Longi Solar Technology Co. Ltd.; Lerrri Solar Technology Co., Ltd.
28. Luoyang Suntech Power Co., Ltd.
29. Ningbo ETDZ Holdings, Ltd.
30. Ningbo Qixin Solar Electrical Appliance Co., Ltd.
31. Perlight Solar Co., Ltd.
32. Renesola Jiangsu Ltd.
33. ReneSola Zhejiang Ltd.
34. Risen (Luoyang) New Energy Co., Ltd.
35. Risen (Wuhai) New Energy Co., Ltd.
36. Risen Energy Co. Ltd.; Risen Energy (Changzhou) Co., Ltd.
37. Ruichang Branch, Risen Energy

- (HongKong) Co., Ltd.
38. Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd.
39. Shenzhen Sungold Solar Co., Ltd.
40. Shenzhen Topray Solar Co., Ltd.
41. Shenzhen Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Baoding Jiasheng Photovoltaic Technology Co., Ltd.; Baoding Tianwei Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Beijing Tianneng Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Hainan Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Hengshui Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Lixian Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Yingli Energy (China) Company Limited.
42. Sumec Hardware & Tools Co., Ltd.
43. Sunny Apex Development Ltd.
44. Suntech Power Co., Ltd.
45. Taizhou BD Trade Co., Ltd.
46. tenKsolar (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.
47. Wuxi Suntech Power Co., Ltd.
48. Wuxi Tianran Photovoltaic Co., Ltd.
49. Xiamen Yiyusheng Solar Co., Ltd.
50. Yingli Green Energy International Trading Company Limited.
51. Yuhuan Jinko Solar Co., Ltd.
52. Zhejiang Aiko Solar Energy Technology Co., Ltd.
53. Zhejiang Jinko Solar Co., Ltd.
54. Zhejiang Twinsel Electronic Technology Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2023-04854 Filed 3-8-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-469-818]

Ripe Olives From Spain: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain exporters/producers of ripe olives from Spain received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR) January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020.

DATES: Applicable March 9, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Kolberg or Theodore Pearson, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1785 or (202) 482-2631, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* on September 6, 2022.¹ On November 7, 2022, we released the final verification reports,² and, on November 14, 2022, we invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.³ For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ On December 15, 2022, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce extended the deadline for issuing the final results until March 3, 2023.⁵

Scope of the Order⁶

The products covered by the *Order* are ripe olives. A full description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by the interested parties in their case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of these issues is provided in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i)(3) of the Act, in September 2022, Commerce verified the subsidy information reported by Agro Sevilla Aceitunas

¹ See *Ripe Olives from Spain: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2020*, 87 FR 54460 (September 6, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See Memorandum, "Verification of the Questionnaire Responses of Agro Sevilla Aceitunas S.Coop. And.," dated November {7}, 2022; see also Memorandum, "Verification of the Questionnaire Responses of Angel Camacho Alimentacion, S.L. and Its Suppliers," dated November {7}, 2022.

³ See Commerce's Letter, "Briefing Schedule," dated November 14, 2022.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Ripe Olives from Spain," concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review 2020," dated December 15, 2022.

⁶ See *Ripe Olives from Spain: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order*, 83 FR 37469 (August 1, 2018) (*Order*).

S.Coop. And. (Agro Sevilla), Angel Camacho Alimentación, S.L. (Camacho) and certain suppliers to both companies. We used standard verification procedures, including an examination of relevant accounting and production records, and original source documents provided by Agro Sevilla, Camacho, and their suppliers.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on comments received from interested parties and issues originating from verification, we revised the calculation of the net countervailable subsidy rates for Agro Sevilla and Camacho. For a discussion of the issues, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Act of 1930. For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁷ For a full description of the methodology underlying all of Commerce’s conclusions, including our reliance, in part, on facts otherwise available, including adverse facts available (AFA), pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Non-Selected Companies’ Rate

We made no changes to the methodology for determining a rate for companies not selected for individual examination from the *Preliminary Results*. However, due to changes in calculations for Agro Sevilla and Camacho, the non-selected rate changed for each of the three non-selected companies for which a review was requested and not rescinded. For these companies, we are applying an *ad valorem* subsidy rate of 8.50 percent.

Final Results of the Administrative Review

We find the following net countervailable subsidy rates for the POR January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020:

Producer/exporter	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Agro Sevilla Aceitunas S.Coop. And	8.83

⁷ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

Producer/exporter	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Angel Camacho Alimentación, S.L. and its cross-owned affiliates ⁸	8.08
Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies⁹	
Aceitunas Guadalquivir, S.L.	8.50
Alimentary Group Dcoop S.Coop. And	8.50
Aceitunas Torrent, S.L	8.50

Disclosure

We intend to disclose calculations and analysis performed for these final results of review within five days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act, we also intend to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts shown above for the above-listed companies with regard to shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results of review. For all non-reviewed firms, CBP will continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the all-others rate or the most recent company-specific rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed,

⁸ Commerce found the following companies to be cross-owned with Angel Camacho Alimentación, S.L.: Grupo Angel Camacho, S.L., Cuarterola S.L., and Cucanoche S.L.

⁹ This rate is based on the rates for the respondents that were selected for individual review, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. See section 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act.

shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

The final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: March 3, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Subsidies Valuation
- V. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences
- VI. Non-Selected Rate
- VII. Analysis of Programs
- VIII. Analysis of Comments
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce’s Substantial Dependence Finding is Lawful and Supported by Substantial Evidence
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Apply AFA to All of Camacho’s Growers Because Two Growers Failed Verification
 - Comment 3: Whether Agro Sevilla’s Non-Responsive Growers Should Receive an AFA Rate Because They Are Affiliated with Agro Sevilla
 - Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Include Two of Camacho’s Suppliers in the BPS Program Calculation Since They Were Primarily Suppliers and Only Grew a Small Portion of Their Olives
 - Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Correct a Ministerial Error Regarding One of Agro Sevilla’s First-Tier Suppliers
- IX. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2023–04851 Filed 3–8–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P