

Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On September 14, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based on a complaint filed by Motorola Solutions, Inc. of Chicago, Illinois ("Motorola Solutions"); Avigilon Corporation of British Columbia, Canada; Avigilon Fortress Corporation of British Columbia, Canada; Avigilon Patent Holding 1 Corporation of British Columbia, Canada ("Avigilon Patent Holding"); and Avigilon Technologies Corporation of British Columbia, Canada (collectively, "Complainants"). See 86 FR 51182-83 (Sept. 14, 2021). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale within the United States after importation of certain video security equipment and systems, related software, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,868,912 ("the '912 patent"); 10,726,312 ("the '312 patent"); and 8,508,607 ("the '607 patent") (collectively, "the Asserted Patents"). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation ("NOI") names Verkada Inc. of San Mateo, California ("Verkada") as the only respondent. *Id.*

The complaint and NOI were previously amended to reflect the transfer of all right, title, and interest in: (1) the '312 patent from Avigilon Corporation to Motorola Solutions; (2) the '912 patent from Avigilon Fortress Corporation to Motorola Solutions; and (3) the '607 patent from Avigilon Patent Holding to Motorola Solutions. Order No. 7 (Dec. 28, 2021), *unreviewed by* 87 FR 4658-59 (Jan. 28, 2022). The complaint and NOI were further amended to add a new licensee, Avigilon USA Corporation of Dallas, Texas, as an additional complainant. *Id.*

The Commission previously terminated the investigation as to claims 4 and 10-12 of the '312 patent based on Complainants' partial withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 58 (June 14, 2022),

*unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (June 30, 2022). The Commission also previously terminated the investigation as to claims 6, 15, 25, and 26 of the '607 patent based on Complainants' partial withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 59 (July 13, 2022), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Aug. 4, 2022).

On October 24, 2022, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued a final initial determination ("FID") finding that a violation of section 337 has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain video security equipment and systems, related software, components thereof, and products containing same that infringe claims 6-11 of the '912 patent. The FID further finds no violation of section 337 with respect to the remaining asserted claims of the '912 patent, or as to the '312 patent or the '607 patent. The FID includes the ALJ's recommended determination on remedy, the public interest, and bonding should the Commission find a violation of section 337.

On November 23, 2022, Complainants and Verkada each filed a submission on the public interest pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4) (19 CFR 210.50(a)(4)). No submissions were received in response to the Commission notice seeking public interest submissions. 87 FR 65827-28 (Nov. 1, 2022).

On January 12, 2023, the Commission determined to review the FID in part. 88 FR 3435-37 (Jan. 19, 2023). Specifically, the Commission determined to review the FID's findings: (1) regarding "subject matter jurisdiction"; (2) that certain accused products infringe claims 6-11 of the '912 patent and finding a violation of section 337 as to those claims; and (3) that asserted claims 6-11 of the '912 patent are not invalid as anticipated or obvious. *Id.* The Commission asked the parties to address three questions related to the issues under review with respect to the '912 patent. *Id.*

On January 27, 2023, Complainants and Verkada each filed an initial written response to the Commission's request for briefing. On February 3, 2023, Complainants and Verkada each filed a reply submission.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including the FID and the parties' submissions, the Commission has determined to find no violation of section 337 with respect to the '912 patent. Specifically, the Commission has determined to: (1) vacate the FID's finding that the Commission has "subject matter jurisdiction" because

"subject matter jurisdiction" does not apply to administrative agencies; (2) affirm and supplement the FID's finding that respondent Verkada failed to demonstrate the Video Surveillance and Monitoring ("VSAM") testbed system as allegedly disclosed in multiple documents existed as prior art; (3) reverse the FID's finding that asserted claims 6-11 of the '912 patent are not anticipated by "Event Detection and Analysis from Video Streams" by Medioni et al., published in the IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Vol. 23, No. 8 in August 2001 ("Medioni"); (4) affirm and supplement the FID's finding that asserted claims 6-11 of the '912 patent are not rendered obvious by Medioni in combination with the asserted VSAM testbed; and (5) take no position on the issue of infringement of claims 6-11 of the '912 patent.

The investigation is terminated with a finding of no violation of section 337. The Commission's reasoning in support of its determinations is set forth more fully in its opinion.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on March 31, 2023.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 31, 2023.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2023-07165 Filed 4-5-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-539-C (Fifth Review)]

### Uranium From Russia

#### Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that termination of the suspended investigation on uranium from Russia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

### Background

The Commission instituted this review on September 1, 2022 (87 FR 53774) and determined on December 5, 2022 that it would conduct an expedited review (88 FR 11476, February 23, 2023).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on March 31, 2023. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5416 (March 2023), entitled *Uranium from Russia: Investigation No. 731-TA-539-C (Fifth Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 31, 2023.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2023-07166 Filed 4-5-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On March 31, 2023, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. River City Diesel LLC et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-01289-JES-JEH.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves claims in the Complaint, filed on August 30, 2022, in this matter which sought injunctive relief and civil penalties for violations of Title II of the Clean Air Act by River City Diesel, LLC (“RCD”), RCD Performance, LLC (“RCDP”), Midwest Truck and 4WD Center, LLC (“Midwest Truck”), and Joshua L. Davis (collectively, “Defendants”). The alleged violations relate to the manufacture, sale, and installation of aftermarket products for motor vehicles or motor vehicle engines and for tampering with motor vehicles and motor vehicle engines. The Complaint also alleged fraudulent transfers intended to avoid a debt of the United States in violation of the Federal Debt Collection Procedures Act. 28 U.S.C. 3304(b)(2); 28 U.S.C. 3304(b)(1)(A). The proposed Consent Decree requires injunctive relief and payment of a civil penalty of \$600,000, which is based on Defendants’ financial situation, to be made in two equal payments.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Todd Kim, and should refer to *United States v. River City Diesel LLC et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12233. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email .....	<a href="mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov">pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov</a> .
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General U.S. DOJ—ENRD P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044–7611

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to:

Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$16.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Patricia McKenna,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2023-07158 Filed 4-5-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Standard on Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor (DOL) is submitting this Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA)-sponsored information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). Public comments on the ICR are invited.

**DATES:** The OMB will consider all written comments that the agency receives on or before May 8, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

Comments are invited on: (1) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency’s estimates of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nicole Bouchet by telephone at 202–693–0213, or by email at [DOL\\_PRA\\_PUBLIC@dol.gov](mailto:DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Standard on Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals ensures that employers collect the information necessary to control and reduce injuries and fatalities in workplaces that have the potential for highly hazardous chemical catastrophes. For additional substantive information about this ICR, see the related notice published in the **Federal Register** on January 30, 2023 (88 FR 5923).

This information collection is subject to the PRA. A Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless the OMB approves it and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid OMB Control Number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6.

DOL seeks PRA authorization for this information collection for three (3) years. OMB authorization for an ICR cannot be for more than three (3) years without renewal. The DOL notes that information collection requirements submitted to the OMB for existing ICRs