PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Rolls-Royce Deutschland Ltd & Co KG: Docket No. FAA–2023–0660; Project Identifier MCAI–2022–01561–E.

(a) Comments Due Date

The FAA must receive comments on this airworthiness directive (AD) by May 22, 2023

(b) Affected ADs

None.

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to certain Rolls-Royce Deutschland Ltd & Co KG (RRD) Trent 1000– AE3, Trent 1000–CE3, Trent 1000–D3, Trent 1000–G3, Trent 1000–H3, Trent 1000–J3, Trent 1000–K3, Trent 1000–L3, Trent 1000– M3, Trent 1000–N3, Trent 1000–P3, Trent 1000–Q3, and Trent 1000–R3 model turbofan engines, as identified in European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) AD 2022– 0241, dated December 7, 2022 (EASA AD 2022–0241).

(d) Subject

Joint Aircraft System Component (JASC) Code 7240, Turbine Engine Combustion Section.

(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by reports of excessive wear on the inner seal fins of certain high-pressure turbine (HPT) triple seals. The FAA is issuing this AD to prevent excessive wear on the inner seal fins of certain HPT triple seals. The unsafe condition, if not addressed, could lead to a temperature increase at the intermediatepressure turbine (IPT) disk rim, possibly resulting in IPT disk failure and high energy debris release, with consequent damage to the airplane and reduced control of the airplane.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Required Actions

Except as specified in paragraphs (h) and (i) of this AD: Perform all required actions within the compliance times specified in, and in accordance with, EASA AD 2022– 0241.

(h) Exceptions to EASA AD 2022-0241

(1) Where EASA AD 2022–0241 refers to its effective date, this AD requires using the effective date of this AD.

(2) This AD does not adopt the Remarks paragraph of EASA AD 2022–0241.

(i) No Reporting Requirement

Although EASA AD 2022–0241 specifies to submit inspection results to the manufacturer, this AD does not include that requirement.

(j) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, ECO Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the certification office, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (k) of this AD and email to: *ANE-AD-AMOC@faa.gov*.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/ certificate holding district office.

(k) Additional Information

For more information about this AD, contact Sungmo Cho, Aviation Safety Engineer, ECO Branch, FAA, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803; phone: (781) 238–7241; email: *Sungmo.D.Cho@faa.gov*.

(l) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(i) European Union Aviation Safety Agency AD 2022–0241, dated December 7, 2022. (ii) [Reserved]

(3) For EASA AD 2022–0241, contact EASA, Konrad-Adenauer-Ufer 3, 50668 Cologne, Germany; phone: +49 221 8999 000; email: *ADs@easa.europa.eu;* website: *easa.europa.eu.* You may find this EASA AD on the EASA website at *ad.easa.europa.eu.*

(4) You may view this service information at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (817) 222–5110.

(5) You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, email: fr.inspection@nara.gov, or go to: www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibrlocations.html.

Issued on March 31, 2023.

Christina Underwood,

Acting Director, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 2023–07182 Filed 4–6–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2023-0664; Project Identifier MCAI-2022-01527-E]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; GE Aviation Czech s.r.o. (Type Certificate Previously Held by WALTER Engines a.s., Walter a.s., and MOTORLET a.s.) Turboprop Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all GE Aviation Czech s.r.o. (GEAC) M601E-11AS, M601E-11S, H75-100, H80–100, and H85–100 model turboprop engines. This proposed AD was prompted by reports of multiple failures of the needle bearing installed in propeller governors having part numbers (P/Ns) P-W11-1 or P-W11-2, caused by self-generated debris from the needle bearing, which led to oil contamination. This proposed AD would require replacement of the affected propeller governors with a redesigned propeller governor, and would prohibit installation of the affected propeller governors, as specified in a European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) AD, which is proposed for incorporation by reference (IBR). The FAA is proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: The FAA must receive comments on this proposed AD by May 22, 2023. **ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• Fax: (202) 493–2251.

• Mail: U.S. Department of

Transportation, Docket Operations, M– 30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

• *Hand Delivery:* Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

AD Docket: You may examine the AD docket at *regulations.gov* under Docket No. FAA–2023–0664; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except

Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this NPRM, the mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI), any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations is listed above.

Material Incorporated by Reference:

• For service information that is proposed for IBR in this NPRM, contact EASA, Konrad-Adenauer-Ufer 3, 50668 Cologne, Germany; phone: +49 221 8999 000; email: *ADs@easa.europa.eu;* website: *easa.europa.eu*. You may find this service information on the EASA website at *ad.easa.europa.eu*. It is also available at *regulations.gov* under Docket No. FAA-2023-0664.

• You may view this service information at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803. For information on the availability of this service information at the FAA, call (817) 222– 5110.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Barbara Caufield, Aviation Safety Engineer, ECO Branch, FAA, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803; phone: (781) 238–7146; email: barbara.caufield@faa.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

The FAA invites you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include "Docket No. FAA–2023–0664; Project Identifier MCAI–2022–01527–E" at the beginning of your comments. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. The FAA will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposal because of those comments.

Except for Confidential Business Information (CBI) as described in the following paragraph, and other information as described in 14 CFR 11.35, the FAA will post all comments received, without change, to *regulations.gov*, including any personal information you provide. The agency will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact received about this NPRM.

Confidential Business Information

CBI is commercial or financial information that is both customarily and actually treated as private by its owner. Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. 552), CBI is exempt from public disclosure. If your

comments responsive to this NPRM contain commercial or financial information that is customarily treated as private, that you actually treat as private, and that is relevant or responsive to this NPRM, it is important that you clearly designate the submitted comments as CBI. Please mark each page of your submission containing CBI as "PROPIN." The FAA will treat such marked submissions as confidential under the FOIA, and they will not be placed in the public docket of this NPRM. Submissions containing CBI should be sent to Barbara Caufield, Aviation Safety Engineer, ECO Branch, FAA, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803. Any commentary that the FAA receives which is not specifically designated as CBI will be placed in the public docket for this rulemaking.

Background

EASA, which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Union, has issued EASA AD 2022–0234, dated December 1, 2022 (EASA AD 2022-0234) (also referred to as the MCAI), to address an unsafe condition for all GEAC M601E-11AS, M601E-11S, M601FS, H75-100, H80-100 and H85-100 model turboprop engines. Model M601FS turboprop engines do not have an FAA type certificate, therefore this proposed AD does not include those engines in the applicability. The MCAI states that there have been reports of multiple needle bearing failures that affect propeller governors having P/Ns P-W11-1 and P-W11-2. Further investigation revealed that those failures were caused by self-generated debris from the needle bearing, which led to oil contamination. In response to the unsafe condition, the manufacturer developed a redesigned propeller governor to replace propeller governors having P/Ns P-W11-1 and P-W11-2.

You may examine the MCAI in the AD docket at *regulations.gov* under Docket No. FAA–2023–0664.

Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

The FAA reviewed EASA AD 2022– 0234, which specifies procedures for the replacement of propeller governors having P/Ns P–W11–1 and P–W11–2 with a redesigned propeller governor.

This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in **ADDRESSES**.

FAA's Determination

These products have been approved by the aviation authority of another country and are approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to the FAA's bilateral agreement with the State of Design Authority, it has notified the FAA of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI described above. The FAA is issuing this NPRM after determining that the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of these same type designs.

Proposed AD Requirements in This NPRM

This proposed AD would require accomplishing the actions specified in EASA AD 2022–0234, described previously, except for any differences identified as exceptions in the regulatory text of this proposed AD.

Explanation of Required Compliance Information

In the FAA's ongoing efforts to improve the efficiency of the AD process, the FAA developed a process to use some civil aviation authority (CAA) ADs as the primary source of information for compliance with requirements for corresponding FAA ADs. The FAA has since coordinated with other manufacturers and CAAs to use this process. As a result, the FAA proposes to incorporate by reference EASA AD 2022–0234 in the FAA final rule. This proposed AD would, therefore, require compliance with EASA AD 2022-0234 in its entirety through that incorporation, except for any differences identified as exceptions in the regulatory text of this proposed AD. Using common terms that are the same as the heading of a particular section in the EASA AD does not mean that operators need comply only with that section. For example, where the AD requirement refers to "all required actions and compliance times,' compliance with this AD requirement is not limited to the section titled "Required Action(s) and Compliance Time(s)" in EASA AD 2022-0234. Service information required by the EASA AD for compliance will be available at *regulations.gov* by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2023-0664 after the FAA final rule is published.

Costs of Compliance

The FAA estimates that this AD, if adopted as proposed, would affect seven engines installed on airplanes of U.S. registry.

The FAA estimates the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

ESTIMATED COSTS

Action	Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product	Cost on U.S. operators
Remove and replace propeller governor	3 work-hours \times \$85 per hour = \$255	\$7,000	\$7,255	\$50,785

The FAA has included all known costs in its cost estimate. According to the manufacturer, however, some or all of the costs of this proposed AD may be covered under warranty, thereby reducing the cost impact on affected operators.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

The FAA is issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements. Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

The FAA determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

(1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866, (2) Would not affect intrastate

aviation in Alaska, and

(3) Would not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

GE Aviation Czech s.r.o. (Type Certificate Previously Held by WALTER Engines a.s., Walter a.s., and MOTORLET a.s.): Docket No. FAA–2023–0664; Project Identifier MCAI–2022–01527–E.

(a) Comments Due Date

The FAA must receive comments on this airworthiness directive (AD) by May 22, 2023.

(b) Affected ADs

None.

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to GE Aviation Czech s.r.o. M601E–11AS, M601E–11S, H75–100, H80–100, and H85–100 model turboprop engines, as identified in European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) AD 2022– 0234, dated December 1, 2022 (EASA AD 2022–0234).

(d) Subject

Joint Aircraft Service Component (JASC) Code 6122, Propeller governor; 7200, Engine (turbine/turboprop).

(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by multiple failures of the needle bearing installed in certain propeller governors, caused by self-generated debris from the needle bearing, which led to oil contamination. The FAA is issuing this AD to prevent needle bearing failures in certain propeller governors. The unsafe condition, if not addressed, could result in loss of propeller control oil pressure, failure of the engine, reduced control of the airplane, and damage to the airplane.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Requirements

Except as specified in paragraph (h) of this AD: Perform all required actions and

compliance times specified in, and in accordance with, EASA AD 2022–0234.

(h) Exceptions to EASA AD 2022-0234

(1) Where EASA AD 2022–0234 specifies compliance from its effective date, this AD requires using the effective date of this AD.

(2) This AD does not adopt the Remarks paragraph of EASA AD 2022–0234.

(i) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, ECO Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or responsible Flight Standards Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the certification office, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (j) of this AD and email to: ANE-AD-AMOC@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/ certificate holding district office.

(j) Additional Information

For more information about this AD, contact Barbara Caufield, Aviation Safety Engineer, ECO Branch, FAA, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803; phone: (781) 238–7146; email: barbara.caufield@faa.gov.

(k) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless this AD specifies otherwise.

- (i) European Union Aviation Safety Agency AD 2022–0234, dated December 1, 2022.
- (ii) [Reserved]

(3) For EASA AD 2022–0234, contact EASA, Konrad-Adenauer-Ufer 3, 50668 Cologne, Germany; phone: +49 221 8999 000; email: *ADs@easa.europa.eu;* website: *easa.europa.eu.* You may find this EASA AD on the EASA website at *ad.easa.europa.eu.*

(4) You may view this service information at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (817) 222–5110.

(5) You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, email: *fr.inspection@nara.gov*, or go to: *www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibrlocations.html*. Issued on April 1, 2023. **Christina Underwood,** *Acting Director, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.* [FR Doc. 2023–07178 Filed 4–6–23; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

39 CFR Parts 3035, 3050, and 3060

[Docket No. PI2023-3; Order No. 6471]

RIN 3211-AA36

Postal Costing

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Advanced notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: Due to recent legislation, the Commission is initiating a review of regulations that relate to postal cost attribution in order to determine whether any revisions to those regulations, or to any analytical principles (including any costing methodologies or cost models) used in postal cost attribution, are appropriate. The Commission takes certain administrative steps and invites public comment.

DATES: Comments are due: June 14, 2023; Reply Comments are due: July 14, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at *http:// www.prc.gov.* Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at

202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Background II. Invitation To Comment III. Administrative Actions

I. Background

The PSRA, enacted on April 6, 2022, amended Title 39 of the United States Code and other relevant statutory provisions. Among other changes, section 203 of the PSRA added a note to 39 U.S.C. 3633, which requires that the Commission, no later than April 6, 2023, "initiate a review of the regulations issued pursuant to sections 3633(a) and 3652(a)(1) of title 39, United States Code, to determine whether revisions are appropriate to ensure that all direct and indirect costs attributable to competitive and market-dominant products are properly attributed to those products, including by considering the underlying methodologies in determining cost attribution and considering options to revise such methodologies." PSRA section 203. Section 203 also specifies that, if, after notice and public comment, the Commission determines "that revisions are appropriate, the Commission shall make modifications or adopt alternative methodologies as necessary." *See id.*

The Postal Service uses a two-tier costing system to categorize its accrued costs. The Postal Service first identifies all costs that can be reliably and causally linked to individual postal products or groups of products, using Commission-approved analytical principles, and attributes those costs to products or product groupings. All remaining costs are classified as institutional. This two-tier postal costing approach, which is deeply rooted in the Postal Service's historical treatment of costs,1 has been adopted into Title 39 of the United States Code.² Specifically, Sections 3631(b) and 3622(c) of Title 39 of the United States Code expressly codify "reliably identified causal relationships" as the standard for cost attribution. A detailed description of the approach to postal cost attribution currently employed by the Postal Service and the Commission appears in Docket Nos. RM2017-1 and RM2022-2.3

Since the enactment of the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (PAEA) and the Commission's subsequent promulgation of regulations,⁴ the Postal Service has

² Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act, Public Law 109–435, 120 Stat. 3201, 3205 (2006); 39 U.S.C. 3622(c)(2), 3631(b); *see also* S. Rep. No. 108–318, at 9–10 (2004). The enactment of the PSRA did not disturb this two-tier approach. *See* Docket Nos. RM2017–1 and RM2022–2, Order Finalizing Rule Relating to the Institutional Cost Contribution Requirement for Competitive Products, January 9, 2023, at 37 n. 40 (Order No. 6399).

³ Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Order Initiating the Third Review of the Institutional Cost Contribution Requirement for Competitive Products, November 18, 2021, at 11– 35 (Order No. 6043).

⁴ See generally Docket No. RM2007–1, Order Establishing Ratemaking Regulations for Market Dominant and Competitive Products, October 29, 2007 (Order No. 43). improved the information used as the basis for cost attribution by changing or upgrading numerous data systems and updating and improving special cost studies. As a result, the Commission has presided over numerous rulemakings that have affected cost attribution.

Cost attribution can be affected in major or minor ways through Commission proceedings that involve changes in "analytical principles." Analytical principles refer to economic, mathematical, or statistical theories, precepts, or assumptions applied by the Postal Service in producing required periodic reports. See 39 CFR 3050.1(c). Analytical principles include, but are not limited to, costing methodologies and cost models that the Postal Service relies upon to generate cost-related data. The Commission has presided over many such proceedings to change accepted analytical principles since the PAEA was enacted.

II. Invitation To Comment

The Commission invites interested persons to comment on regulations related to Postal Service cost attribution, as well as the analytical principles (including costing methodologies or cost models) underlying postal cost attribution. Comments should focus on whether revisions to any regulations or analytical principles are necessary "to ensure that all direct and indirect costs attributable to competitive and marketdominant products are properly attributed to those products" PSRA section 203. Commenters are reminded that the scope of this docket is focused on regulations and analytical principles pertaining to cost attribution.

III. Administrative Actions

Pursuant to section 203 of the PSRA, the Commission establishes Docket No. PI2023-2 in order to review regulations issued pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3633(a) and 3652(a)(1) relevant to the issue of postal cost attribution, as well as analytical principles (including costing methodologies or cost models) relevant to postal cost attribution. The Commission intends to evaluate the comments received and use those suggestions to help carry out the responsibilities described in section 203 of the PSRA. Comments are due no later than June 14, 2023. Reply comments are due no later than July 14, 2023.

Comments and other material filed in this proceeding will be available for review on the Commission's website unless the information contained therein is subject to an application for non-public treatment. The Commission's rules on non-public materials (including access to

¹In implementing the Postal Reorganization Act, Public Law 91–375, 84 Stat. 719 (1970), the former Postal Rate Commission developed the two-tier approach to costing. That approach was upheld by the Supreme Court of the United States. See Nat'l Ass'n of Greeting Card Publishers v. U.S. Postal Serv., 462 U.S. 810, 833 (1983) (specifically rejecting the imposition of an intermediary tier of costs, based on extended inferences of causation, between attributable and institutional costs).