

SUBSIDY PROGRAMS ON CHEESE SUBJECT TO AN IN-QUOTA RATE OF DUTY—Continued

Country	Program(s)	Gross ³ subsidy (\$/lb)	Net ⁴ subsidy (\$/lb)
Switzerland	Deficiency Payments	0.00	0.00

[FR Doc. 2023–09689 Filed 5–5–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–904]

Certain Activated Carbon From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (Datong Juqiang) and Jilin Bright Future Chemicals Co., Ltd. (Jilin Bright), exporters of certain activated carbon from the People’s Republic of China (China), sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) April 1, 2021, through March 31, 2022. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable May 8, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jinny Ahn or Zachariah Hall, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0339 or (202) 482–6261, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This administrative review is being conducted in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Commerce published the notice of initiation of this administrative review on June 9, 2022.¹ On December 15, 2022, Commerce

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 87 FR 35165 (June 9, 2022).

extended the preliminary results deadline until April 25, 2022.²

Scope of the Order³

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is certain activated carbon. The products are currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 3802.10.00. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the *Order* remains dispositive.⁴

Continuation of Administrative Review for Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. On September 6, 2022, Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd. (Carbon Activated) timely withdrew its request for review.⁵ However, because there is still an active review request for Carbon Activated,⁶ we are not rescinding this review with respect to Carbon Activated, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Based on our analysis of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) information, and the no shipment certifications submitted by Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd., Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd., and Shanxi Dapu International Trade Co., Ltd.,

² See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated December 15, 2022.

³ See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China*, 72 FR 20988 (April 27, 2007) (*Order*).

⁴ For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China; 2021–2022,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See Carbon Activated’s Letter, “Withdrawal of Request for Antidumping Administrative Review,” dated September 6, 2022.

⁶ See Calgon Carbon Corporation and Cabot Norit Americas Inc.’s (collectively, the petitioners) Letter, “Petitioners’ Request for Initiation of 15th Annual Administrative Review,” dated April 29, 2022, at 2.

Commerce preliminarily determines that these companies had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

Consistent with our practice in non-market economy (NME) cases, we are not rescinding this review but instead intend to complete the review with respect to these three companies for which we have preliminarily found no shipments and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.⁷

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act. We calculated export prices and constructed export prices in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because China is an NME country within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, NV has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is available at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Verification

As provided in sections 782(i)(3)(A)–(B) of the Act, we intend to verify the information upon which we will rely in determining our final results of review with respect to the two mandatory respondents, Datong Juqiang and Jilin Bright.

Preliminary Results of the Review

Commerce preliminarily finds that seven companies for which a review

⁷ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694, 65694–95 (October 24, 2011) (*NME Practice*).

was requested, including Carbon Activated,⁸ did not establish eligibility for a separate rate because they failed to provide either a separate rate application or separate rate certification.

As such, we preliminarily determine that these seven companies are part of the China-wide entity.⁹

For those companies that have established their eligibility for a

separate rate,¹⁰ Commerce preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (U.S. dollars per kilogram) ¹¹
Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd	0.36
Jilin Bright Future Chemicals Co., Ltd	0.28

Review-Specific Rate Applicable to the Following Companies

Jacobi Carbons AB, Tianjin Jacobi International Trading Co. Ltd., and Jacobi Carbons Industry (Tianjin) Co., Ltd., and Jacobi Adsorbent Materials (Tianjin) Co., Ltd. ¹²	0.33
Ningxia Huahui Environmental Technology Co., Ltd. (formerly Ningxia Huahui Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.) ¹³	0.33
Ningxia Mineral & Chemical Limited	0.33
Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd	0.33
Shanxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd	0.33
Tancarb Activated Carbon Co., Ltd	0.33
Tianjin Channel Filters Co., Ltd	0.33

For the respondents that were not selected for individual examination in this administrative review but qualified for a separate rate, we have assigned to them the weighted-average margin calculated based on the publicly available ranged U.S. sales quantities of the mandatory respondents consistent with section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.¹⁴

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these preliminary results to the parties within five days after the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Because, as noted above, Commerce intends to verify the information upon which we will rely in making our final determination, interested parties may submit written comments in the form of case briefs within one week after the date of issuance of the last verification report and rebuttal comments in the form of rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, within seven days after the time limit for filing case briefs.¹⁵ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. Note that

Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.¹⁶

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues parties intend to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective

⁸ See Appendix II of this notice for a full list of the seven companies.

⁹ Because no interested party requested a review of the China-wide entity and Commerce no longer considers the China-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews, we did not conduct a review of the China-wide entity. Thus, the rate for the China-wide entity is not subject to change as a result of this review. See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963, 65969–70 (November 4, 2013). The China-wide entity rate of 2.42 U.S. dollars per kilogram was last reviewed in *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2012–2013, 79 FR 70163 (November 25, 2014).

¹⁰ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

¹¹ In the second administrative review of the Order, Commerce determined that it would calculate per-unit weighted-average dumping margins and assessment rates for all future reviews. See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Second Antidumping Duty*

Administrative Review, 75 FR 70208, 70211 (November 17, 2010).

¹² In the third administrative review of the Order, Commerce found that Jacobi Carbons AB, Tianjin Jacobi International Trading Co. Ltd., and Jacobi Carbons Industry (Tianjin) Co., Ltd. (collectively, Jacobi) should be treated as a single entity, pursuant to sections 771(33)(E), (F), and (G) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.401(f). See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 67142, 67145, n.25 (October 31, 2011); Further, in a changed circumstances review of the order, Commerce determined that Jacobi should be collapsed with its new wholly-owned Chinese affiliate, Jacobi Adsorbent Materials (JAM), and the single entity, inclusive of JAM, should be assigned the same antidumping (AD) cash deposit rate assigned to Jacobi for purposes of determining AD liability in this proceeding. See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 86 FR 58874 (October 25, 2021). Because there were no facts presented on the record of this review which would call into question our prior findings, we continue to treat these companies as part of a single entity for this administrative review.

¹³ In a changed circumstances review of the Order, Commerce found that Ningxia Huahui Environmental Technology Co., Ltd. is the successor-in-interest to Ningxia Huahui Activated Carbon Co. Ltd. (Ningxia Huahui) and should be assigned the same AD cash deposit rate assigned to Ningxia Huahui for purposes of determining AD liability in this proceeding. See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 86 FR 64184 (November 17, 2021).

¹⁴ See Memorandum, "Calculation of the Margin for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination," dated concurrently with this notice; see also Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii) and 351.309(d); see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements) and *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19*, 85 FR 17006 (March 26, 2020) ("To provide adequate time for release of case briefs via ACCESS, E&C intends to schedule the due date for all rebuttal briefs to be 7 days after case briefs are filed (while these modifications are in effect).").

¹⁶ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19: Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

case and rebuttal briefs.¹⁷ If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a date and time to be determined.¹⁸ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

All submissions to Commerce must be filed electronically using ACCESS¹⁹ and must also be served on interested parties.²⁰ An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time (ET) on the date that the document is due.

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, unless this deadline is extended.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.²¹ Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 35 days after the publication date of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

For each individually examined respondent whose (estimated) *ad valorem* weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, Commerce will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total quantity of those sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).²² Commerce will also calculate (estimated) *ad valorem* importer-specific assessment rates with which to assess whether the per-unit assessment rate is *de*

minimis.²³ We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is not zero or *de minimis*. Where either the respondent's *ad valorem* weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.²⁴

For the respondents that were not selected for individual examination in this administrative review but qualified for a separate rate, the assessment rate will be the margin established for these companies in the final results of this review.

For the final results, if we continue to treat the seven companies identified at Appendix II to this notice as part of the China-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to apply a per-unit assessment rate of \$2.42 per kilogram to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were exported by those companies.²⁵

For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales data submitted by companies individually examined during this review, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the rate for the China-wide entity.²⁶ Additionally, if Commerce determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's cash deposit rate) will be liquidated at the rate for the China-wide entity.²⁷

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, the final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated antidumping duties, as applicable.

²³ For calculated (estimated) *ad valorem* importer-specific assessment rates used in determining whether the per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis*, see Memoranda, "Preliminary Results Margin Calculation for Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.," and "Preliminary Results Calculation Memorandum for Jilin Bright," both dated concurrently with this notice, and accompanying Margin Calculation Program Logs and Output.

²⁴ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

²⁵ See, e.g., *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 70163, 70165 (November 25, 2014).

²⁶ See *NME Practice* for a full discussion.

²⁷ *Id.*

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for each specific company listed in the final results of this review, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except that if the *ad valorem* rate is *de minimis*, then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific cash deposit rate; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity; and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.213, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: April 25, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

¹⁹ See 19 CFR 351.303.

²⁰ See 19 CFR 351.303(f).

²¹ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

²² In these preliminary results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

IV. Discussion of the Methodology
 V. Recommendation

Appendix II—Companies Preliminarily Determined To Be Part of the China-Wide Entity

1. Beijing Pacific Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd.
2. Bengbu Modern Environmental Co., Ltd.
3. Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd.
4. Shanxi DMD Corp.
5. Shanxi Tianxi Purification Filter Co., Ltd.
6. Sinoacarbon International Trading Co., Ltd.
7. Tianjin Maijin Industries Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2023–09731 Filed 5–5–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–883]

Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products From the Republic of Korea: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review in Part; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is amending the final results of the administrative review, in part, of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled steel flat products from the Republic of Korea (Korea), covering the period of review (POR) October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021, to correct a ministerial error.

DATES: Applicable May 8, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Williams, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5166.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce published the *Final Results* of this review in the **Federal Register** on April 20, 2023.¹ On April 24, 2023, we received a timely submitted ministerial error allegation from Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai Steel).² We are amending the *Final*

Results to correct the ministerial error raised by Hyundai Steel.

Legal Framework

A ministerial error, as defined in section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), includes “errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the administering authority considers ministerial.”³ With respect to final results of administrative reviews, 19 CFR 351.224(e) provides that Commerce “will analyze any comments received and if appropriate, correct any ministerial error by amending . . . the final results of review”

Ministerial Error

We agree with Hyundai Steel that Commerce made a ministerial error in the *Final Results* within the meaning of section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f) by inadvertently not updating the program with revised cost data from the *Final Results* when merging it with U.S. sale data, resulting in an incorrect margin calculation for Hyundai Steel. Accordingly, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e), Commerce is amending the *Final Results* to reflect the correction of this ministerial error in the calculation of Hyundai Steel’s antidumping duty margin rate, which changes from 0.88 percent to 0.84 percent.

For a detailed discussion of Commerce’s analysis, see the Ministerial Error Memorandum and Amended Final Results Analysis Memorandum.⁴ Furthermore, we are amending the rate for the companies not selected for individual examination in this review based on the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the mandatory respondents,⁵ which changes from 0.88 percent to 0.84 percent.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of correcting the ministerial error described above, Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping

margins exist for the period October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Hyundai Steel Company	0.84

Review-Specific Average Rate
 Applicable to the Following Companies:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Del Trading Inc	0.84
Dongkuk Industries Co., Ltd	0.84
Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd ..	0.84
Gs Global Corp	0.84
Gs Holdings Corp	0.84
KG Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd ...	0.84
Marubeni-Itochu Steel Korea, Ltd	0.84
Samsung C and T Corpora- tion	0.84
Snp Ltd	0.84
Soon Ho Co., Ltd	0.84
Soon Hong Trading Co. Ltd	0.84
Sungjin Co., Ltd	0.84

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these amended final results of review to parties in this review within five days after public announcement of the amended final results, or if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the amended final results of this review.

For Hyundai Steel, we calculated importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of those sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific assessment rate is *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), the entries by that importer will be liquidated without regard to antidumping duties. Because POSCO’s weighted-average dumping margin was not changed in these amended final results, we will continue to instruct CBP to liquidate POSCO’s entries without

¹ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 88 FR 24387 (April 20, 2023) (*Final Results*).

² See Hyundai Steel’s Letter, “Hyundai Steel’s Comments on Ministerial Error in Final Results,” dated April 24, 2023.

³ See 19 CFR 351.224(f).

⁴ See Memoranda, “Ministerial Error Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021: Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Ministerial Error Memorandum); and “Amended Final Results for Hyundai Steel Company,” dated concurrently with this notice (Amended Final Results Analysis Memorandum).

⁵ The margin for the other mandatory respondent, POSCO (Commerce treated POSCO and POSCO International Corporation as a single entity) remains unchanged from the *Final Results* and continues to be 0.00 percent.