

(C) Clearing Agency's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

DTC has not received or solicited any written comments relating to this proposal. If any written comments are received, they would be publicly filed as an Exhibit 2 to this filing, as required by Form 19b-4 and the General Instructions thereto.

Persons submitting comments are cautioned that, according to Section IV (Solicitation of Comments) of the Exhibit 1A in the General Instructions to Form 19b-4, the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. Commenters should submit only information that they wish to make available publicly, including their name, email address, and any other identifying information.

All prospective commenters should follow the Commission's instructions on how to submit comments, available at www.sec.gov/regulatory-actions/how-to-submit-comments. General questions regarding the rule filing process or logistical questions regarding this filing should be directed to the Main Office of the Commission's Division of Trading and Markets at tradingandmarkets@sec.gov or 202-551-5777.

DTC reserves the right to not respond to any comments received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change, and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

- (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;
- (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and
- (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁵ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹⁶

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and

arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-DTC-2023-009 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-DTC-2023-009. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of DTC and on DTCC's website (<http://dtcc.com/legal/sec-rule-filings.aspx>). Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-DTC-2023-009 and should be submitted on or before October 19, 2023.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2023-21137 Filed 9-27-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. S7-05-22, OMB Control No. 3235-XXXX]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request: Rule 15c6-2

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-2736

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("PRA") (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information provided for 17 CFR 240.15c6-2 ("Rule 15c6-2") under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*). The Commission has submitted this collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for approval. The title of the information collection is "Rule 15c6-2." An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Rule 15c6-2 was adopted as part of the final rules to shorten the standard settlement cycle for securities transactions from two business days after the transaction date to one business day following the transaction date. The compliance date for adopted Rule 15c6-2 is May 28, 2024. Certain provisions of Rule 15c6-2 contain "collection of information" requirements within the meaning of the PRA.¹ The requirements for this collection of information is mandatory for any broker or dealer ("broker-dealer") engaging in the allocation, confirmation, or affirmation process with another party or parties to achieve settlement of a securities transaction that is subject to the requirements of § 240.15c6-1(a) to either enter into written agreements as specified in the rule or establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to address certain objectives related to completing

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ See 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

allocations, confirmations, and affirmations as soon as technologically practicable and no later than the end of trade date.²

Specifically, for a broker-dealer that determines to establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures pursuant to Rule 15c6–2(a), Rule 15c6–2(b) requires that such policies and procedures must be reasonably designed to (1) identify and describe any technology systems, operations, and processes that the broker-dealer uses to coordinate with other relevant parties, including investment advisers and custodians, to ensure completion of the allocation, confirmation, or affirmation process for the transaction; (2) set target time frames on trade date for completing the allocation, confirmation, and affirmation for the transaction; (3) describe the procedures that the broker-dealer will follow to ensure the prompt communication of trade information, investigate any discrepancies in trade information, and adjust trade information to help ensure that the allocation, confirmation, and affirmation can be completed by the target time frames on trade date; (4) describe how the broker-dealer plans to identify and address delays if another party, including an investment adviser or a custodian, is not promptly completing the allocation or affirmation for the transaction, or if the broker-dealer experiences delays in promptly completing the confirmation; and (5) measure, monitor, and document the rates of allocations, confirmations, and affirmations completed as soon as technologically practicable and no later than the end of the day on trade date.

The purpose of the collection under Rule 15c6–2 is to ensure that parties to institutional transactions—that is, transactions where a broker-dealer or its customer must engage with agents of the customer, including the customer’s investment adviser or its securities custodian, to prepare a transaction for settlement—can ensure the completion of the allocation, confirmation, and affirmation process as soon as technologically practicable and no later than the end of the day on trade date.

The respondents to the collection of information are broker-dealers that are parties to institutional trades. As of December 31, 2021, 3,508 broker-dealers were registered with the Commission.³

² See 17 CFR 240.15c6–2; Exchange Act Release No. 96930 (Feb. 15, 2023) 88 FR 13872 (Mar. 6, 2023) (“Rule 15c6–2 Adopting Release”); see also Exchange Act Release No. 94196 (Feb. 9, 2022), 87 FR 10436 (Feb. 24, 2022) (“Rule 15c6–2 Proposing Release”).

³ This estimate is derived from FOCUS Report data as of December 31, 2021.

Of those, approximately 143 broker-dealers are participants of the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”),⁴ a clearing agency registered with the Commission that provides central securities depository services for transactions in U.S. equity securities. Participants in DTC can facilitate the settlement of securities transactions on behalf of their customers. For example, broker-dealers that participate in DTC are often referred to as “clearing brokers” within the securities industry. In addition to broker-dealers, DTC participants include bank custodians that may also hold securities on behalf of institutional customers. Among other things, DTC facilitates the settlement of securities transactions using the delivery-versus-payment (“DVP”) and receipt-versus-payment (“RVP”) methods, both of which are commonly used by buyers and sellers to settle an institutional transaction once the parties have completed the allocation, confirmation, and affirmation process. Because DTC is the only clearing agency that provides central securities depository services for U.S. equities, the Commission believes that the set of participants at DTC that are broker-dealers are a useful, if partial, estimate of broker-dealers that participate in the allocation, confirmation, and affirmation process and therefore of broker-dealers that would be subject to the requirements of Rule 15c6–2.

In addition, other broker-dealers may participate in the allocation, confirmation, and affirmation process but, because they do not maintain status as a participant in DTC, rely on commercial relationships with DTC participants (*i.e.*, clearing brokers) to facilitate final settlement of their institutional transactions. Using annual statistics compiled by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”), the Commission estimates that approximately 268 additional broker-dealers may serve institutional customers.⁵ Accordingly, the Commission estimates that approximately 411 broker-dealers would be subject to the requirements of Rule 15c6–2.

Rule 15c6–2 will impose both initial and ongoing burdens. The extent to which a respondent will incur a burden

⁴ See DTCC, DTC Member Directories, <https://www.dtcc.com/client-center/dtc-directories> (last updated July 1, 2023).

⁵ Specifically, statistics compiled by FINRA suggest that approximately 256 small firms and 12 medium-sized firms in the “Trading and Execution” category perform “Institutional Brokerage.” FINRA, 2022 FINRA Industry Snapshot 33, 34 (2022), <https://www.finra.org/sites/default/files/2022-03/2022-industry-snapshot.pdf>.

to comply with the collection of information under Rule 15c6–2 will depend on the extent to which the broker-dealer determines that its policies and procedures, as opposed to its written agreements, will be used to comply with the rule and how any existing policies and procedures for ensuring timely settlement would need to be modified to address same-day affirmation. As a general matter, most broker-dealers maintain policies and procedures to ensure the timely settlement of their transactions, and the securities industry considers achieving “same-day affirmation” an industry best practice. Nonetheless, the Commission believes that respondent broker-dealers will need to evaluate existing policies and procedures, identify any gaps, and then update their policies and procedures to address any gaps identified. Accordingly, the Commission estimates that respondent broker-dealers would incur an aggregate one-time burden of approximately 240 hours⁶ to create policies and procedures required under the rule, and that the internal cost (or monetized value of the hour burden) of this one-time burden per broker-dealer would be \$88,880.⁷

Rule 15c6–2 also imposes ongoing burdens on a respondent broker-dealer as follows: (i) ongoing monitoring and compliance activities with respect to the written policies and procedures required by the proposed rule; and (ii) ongoing documentation activities with respect to its obligations to measure, monitor, and document the rates of allocations, confirmations, and affirmations completed as soon as technologically practicable and no later than the end of the day on trade date. The Commission estimates that the ongoing activities required by Rule 15c6–2 would impose an aggregate annual burden on a respondent broker-dealer of 480 hours,⁸ and an internal cost (or monetized value of the hour

⁶ This figure was calculated as follows: (Assistant General Counsel for 20 hours + Compliance Attorney for 120 hours + Senior Risk Management Specialist for 20 hours + Risk Management Specialist for 80 hours) = 240 hours × 411 respondents = 98,640 hours.

⁷ This figure was calculated as follows: (Assistant General Counsel at \$543/hour × 20 hours = \$10,860) + (Compliance Attorney at \$426/hour × 120 hours = \$51,120) + (Senior Risk Management Specialist at \$417/hour × 20 hours = \$8,340) + (Risk Management Specialist at \$232/hour × 80 hours = \$18,560) = \$88,880 × 411 respondents = \$36,529,680.

⁸ This figure was calculated as follows: (Assistant General Counsel for 48 hours + Compliance Attorney for 192 hours + Senior Risk Management Specialist for 48 hours + Risk Management Specialist for 192 hours) = 480 hours × 411 respondents = 197,280 hours.

burden) per broker-dealer of \$172,416.⁹ The total industry internal cost is estimated to be approximately \$107M.¹⁰

Rule 15c6–2 imposes a recordkeeping requirement on broker-dealers to maintain policies and procedures consistent with the rule. Where the Commission requests that a broker-dealer produce records retained pursuant to the requirements of Rule 15c6–2, a broker-dealer can request confidential treatment of the information. If such confidential treatment request is made, the Commission anticipates that it will keep the information confidential subject to applicable law.

Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 17a–4(b)(7), a broker or dealer registered pursuant to section 15 of the Exchange Act must preserve for a period of not less than three years, the first two years in an easily accessible place, all written agreements (or copies thereof) entered into by such member, broker or dealer relating to its business as such, including agreements with respect to any account.¹¹

Pursuant to 17 CFR 240.17a–4(e)(7), a broker or dealer registered pursuant to section 15 of the Exchange Act must maintain and preserve in an easily accessible place each compliance, supervisory, and procedures manual, including any updates, modifications, and revisions to the manual, describing the policies and practices of the member, broker or dealer with respect to compliance with applicable laws and rules, and supervision of the activities of each natural person associated with the member, broker or dealer until three years after the termination of the use of the manual.¹²

The public may view background documentation for this information collection at the following website: >www.reginfo.gov<. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent by

⁹ This figure was calculated as follows: (Assistant General Counsel at \$543/hour × 48 hours = \$26,064) + (Compliance Attorney at \$426/hour × 192 hours = \$81,792) + (Senior Risk Management Specialist at \$417/hour × 48 hours = \$20,016) + (Risk Management Specialist at \$232/hour × 192 hours = \$44,544) = \$172,416 × 411 respondents = \$70,862,976.

¹⁰ This figure was calculated as follows: \$36,529,680 (industry one-time burden) + \$70,862,976 (industry ongoing burden) = \$107,392,656.

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.17a–4(b)(7). The title of the information collection for 17 CFR 240.17a–4 is “Records to be Preserved by Broker-Dealers” (OMB Control No. 3235–0279).

¹² 17 CFR 240.17a–4(e)(7).

October 30, 2023 to (i) >MBX.OMB.OIRA.SEC_desk_officer@omb.eop.gov< and (ii) David Bottom, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o John Pezzullo, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, or by sending an email to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov.

Dated: September 22, 2023.

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2023–21167 Filed 9–27–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–98483; File No. SR–NYSEAMER–2023–44]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Change To Modify Rule 900.3NYP

September 22, 2023.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)² and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on September 18, 2023, NYSE American LLC (“NYSE American” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to modify Rule 900.3NYP(g)(1) regarding Complex Qualified Contingent Cross Orders. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at

the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to modify Rule 900.3NYP(g)(1) regarding Complex Qualified Contingent Cross (“QCC”) Orders to allow Complex QCC Orders in non-standard ratios (as defined below) to be processed electronically.⁴ The Exchange notes that an identical rule change was recently adopted on its affiliated exchange, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca”) and therefore this proposal raises no new or novel issues not previously considered by the Commission.⁵

Rule 900.3NYP(f) provides that a Complex Order is any order involving the simultaneous purchase and/or sale of two or more option series in the same underlying security (the “legs” or “components” of the Complex Order), for the same account, in a ratio that is equal to or greater than one-to-three (.333) and less than or equal to three-to-one (3.00) (referred to herein as the “standard ratio” or “standard ratio requirement”). The Exchange currently permits certain Complex Orders with ratios greater than three-to-one or less than one-to-three (“non-standard ratios”) for execution on the Exchange’s trading floor.⁶ This proposed change is competitive as at least one other options exchange permits Complex QCC Orders in non-standard ratios to be processed electronically.⁷ As such, the Exchange

⁴ The Exchange notes that this proposed change modifies a Pillar rule (*i.e.*, with a “P” modifier) that has not yet been implemented. The Exchange anticipates migrating to its Pillar trading platform beginning on October 23, 2023. As is the case with all Pillar rules, this proposed rule change (as well as the entire Rule 900.3NYP) will not be implemented until all other Pillar-related rule filings are approved or operative, as applicable, and the Exchange announces the migration of underlying symbols to Pillar by Trader Update.

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 98279 (September 1, 2023), 88 FR 62115 (September 8, 2023) (SR–NYSEARCA–2023–57) (immediately effective rule change to modify Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1) to allow Complex QCC Orders in non-standard ratios).

⁶ See, *e.g.*, Rule 900.3NYP(h)(6)(B) (regarding Stock/Complex Orders, which are a subset of Complex Orders (per Rule 900.3NYP(f)), that are only available for trading in Open Outcry and are not subject to the standard ratio requirement).

⁷ In June 2022, Cboe Exchange, Inc. (“Cboe”) began supporting the electronic processing of certain stock-option orders in non-standard ratios, including Complex QCC Orders. See Cboe Exchange

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b–4.