

a traditional religious site by practitioners. Tribal entities and other parties providing information on historic resources should designate information that they wish to be held as confidential and provide the reasons why BOEM should do so.

*Authority:* This notice of intent to prepare an EA is published pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*, 40 CFR part 1500, and 43 CFR 46.305.

**Karen Baker,**

*Chief, Office of Renewable Energy Programs,  
Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.*

[FR Doc. 2024-05699 Filed 3-15-24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4340-98-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1393]

### Certain Vehicle Telematics, Fleet Management, and Video-Based Safety Systems, Devices, and Components Thereof, Notice of Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 9, 2024, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Samsara Inc. of San Francisco, California. A supplement to the complaint was filed on February 29, 2024. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain vehicle telematics, fleet management, and video-based safety systems, devices, and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 11,190,373 (“the ‘373 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 11,127,130 (“the ‘130 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 11,611,621 (“the ‘621 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS)

at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Authority:* The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2023).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 12, 2024, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 15, 17, and 18 of the ‘373 patent; claims 1 and 5 of the ‘130 patent; and claims 1-5, 8-12, and 15-19 of the ‘621 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “AI dashcams, vehicle gateways, and corresponding software”;

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the

statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) *The complainant is:* Samsara Inc., 1 De Haro Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Motive Technologies Inc., 55 Hawthorne Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94105.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(5) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 12, 2024.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2024-05660 Filed 3-15-24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**