

Response: No.

The use of M5TM fuel rod cladding material will not result in changes in the operation or configuration of the facility. The above cited topical reports demonstrated that the material properties of M5TM cladding are similar to those of standard Zircaloy. Therefore, M5TM fuel cladding material will perform similarly to those fabricated from standard Zircaloy. The fuel cladding itself is not a postulated initiator of previously evaluated accidents and does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

Therefore, the proposed exemption does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed exemption involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Response: No.

The proposed exemption will not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety because it has been demonstrated that the material properties of the M5TM cladding is not significantly different from those of the standard Zircaloy. M5TM fuel cladding material is expected to perform similarly to standard Zircaloy for all normal operating and accident scenarios. Use of M5TM cladding does not require changing any of the current regulatory acceptance criteria, or relaxation of the methods of analysis.

Therefore, the proposed exemption does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Based on the above evaluation of the standards set forth in 10 CFR 50.92(c), the NRC staff concludes that the proposed exemption involves no significant hazards consideration. Accordingly, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9)(i) are met.

Requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9)(ii)

The proposed exemption would allow the use of M5TM cladding at Millstone 3 for GAIA SBLOCA and RLBLOCA analyses performed using FRM methods. M5TM cladding has similar properties and performance characteristics as the currently licensed Zircaloy cladding. Thus, the use of M5TM fuel cladding material will not significantly increase the amount of effluents that may be released offsite. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9)(ii) are met.

Requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9)(iii)

The proposed exemption would allow the use of M5TM cladding at Millstone 3 for GAIA SBLOCA and RLBLOCA analyses performed using FRM

methods. M5TM cladding has similar properties and performance characteristics as the currently licensed Zircaloy cladding. Thus, the use of M5TM fuel cladding material will not significantly increase individual occupational radiation exposure, or significantly increase cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9)(iii) are met.

Based on the above, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed exemption meets the eligibility criteria for the categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Therefore, in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the NRC's issuance of this exemption.

IV. Conclusions

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants DENC an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 and 10 CFR part 50, appendix K. The proposed exemption request would permit application of the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 and appendix K to fuel rod cladding with M5TM at Millstone 3. As stated above, this exemption relates solely to the cladding material specified in these regulations.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of May, 2024.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jamie Pelton,

Deputy Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2024-11561 Filed 5-24-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-213 and 72-39; NRC-2024-0093]

Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company; Haddam Neck Plant; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering

issuance of an exemption in response to the April 24, 2023, request from Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company (CYAPCO), for the Haddam Neck Plant (HNP or Haddam Neck) located in East Hampton, Connecticut. The proposed exemption from NRC regulations, if granted, would permit CYAPCO to make withdrawals from a segregated account within Haddam Neck's overall nuclear decommissioning trust (NDT), on an annual basis, for spent nuclear fuel (SNF) and Greater than Class C (GTCC) waste management and non-radiological site restoration without prior notification to the NRC. The NRC staff is issuing an environmental assessment (EA) and finding of no significant impact (FONSI) associated with the proposed exemption.

DATES: The EA and FONSI referenced in this document are available on May 28, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2024-0093 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2024-0093. Address questions about Docket IDs in *Regulations.gov* to Stacy Schumann; telephone: 301-415-0624; email: Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, at 301-415-4737, or by email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, instructions about obtaining materials referenced in this document are provided in the "Availability of Documents" section.

- *NRC's PDR:* The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of publicly available documents, is open by appointment. To make an appointment to visit the PDR, please send an email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov or call 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. eastern time (ET), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tilda Liu, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 404-997-4730; email: Tilda.Liu@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Introduction**

By letter dated April 24, 2023, CYAPCO submitted a request to the NRC for an exemption from paragraphs 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(2) of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) for the Haddam Neck Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation¹ (ISFSI).

CYAPCO has established a separate (segregated) account within its overarching NDT, entitled “ISFSI Radiological Decom,” that identifies the funds for radiological decommissioning of the ISFSI apart from the larger balance of funds in the NDT allocated for ongoing management of SNF and GTCC waste and for non-radiological site restoration activities. Although 10 CFR 50.82 applies to the segregated account, it does not apply to the overall NDT.

If granted, the exemptions from 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 50.75(h)(2) would permit CYAPCO to make withdrawals from the segregated account, on an annual basis, for SNF and GTCC waste management and non-radiological site restoration without prior notification to the NRC. More specifically, with this exemption, CYAPCO would be able to annually transfer funds exceeding 110 percent of the inflation-adjusted decommissioning cost estimate (DCE), described in 10 CFR 50.75, from the segregated account to its overarching NDT and use those funds for SNF and GTCC waste management and non-radiological site restoration.

HNP began commercial operations in January 1968, and ceased power production in December 1996. CYAPCO initiated decommissioning shortly thereafter. In July 2007, HNP completed its final decommissioning of the reactor site, except for the ISFSI, which included dismantling and removing all

¹ As discussed in this document, the Haddam Neck ISFSI sits on the former site of HNP, which CYAPCO finished decommissioning in 2007. Although only the Haddam Neck ISFSI remains on the site, CYAPCO’s 10 CFR part 50 license, Facility Operating License No. DPR-61 remains in effect. Because CYAPCO requested an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50, this would be an exemption for CYAPCO’s 10 CFR part 50 license rather than for CYAPCO’s 10 CFR part 72 general license. Therefore, although CYAPCO’s submission requested an exemption for the Haddam Neck ISFSI, the NRC staff will consider it a request for an exemption for HNP.

reactor plant related facilities. As a result, only the ISFSI remains at the old plant site of HNP in East Hampton, Connecticut.

By letter dated November 26, 2007, NRC approved the release of most portions of the HNP site from the 10 CFR part 50 license (DPR-61) for unrestricted release, except for the ISFSI and immediately surrounding areas. Under its 10 CFR part 72 general license, HNP is authorized to possess, and store SNF at the permanently shut down and decommissioned facility under the provision of 10 CFR part 72, subpart K, “General License for Storage of Spent Fuel at Power Reactor Sites.”

The NRC staff is performing both a safety evaluation and an environmental review to determine whether to grant this exemption request. The NRC staff will prepare a separate safety evaluation report (SER) to document its safety review and analysis. The NRC’s SER will evaluate the proposed exemption for reasonable assurance of adequate protection of public health and safety, and the common defense and security. This EA documents the environmental review which the NRC staff prepared in accordance with 10 CFR 51.21 and 51.30(a). The NRC’s decision whether to grant the exemption will be based on the results of the NRC staff’s review as documented in this EA, and the staff’s safety review to be documented in the SER.

II. Environmental Assessment

By letter dated April 24, 2023, CYAPCO submitted a request to the NRC for an exemption from 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(2). If granted, the proposed exemption from 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 50.75(h)(2) would permit CYAPCO to make withdrawals from the segregated account, on an annual basis, for SNF and GTCC waste management and non-radiological site restoration without prior notification to the NRC. More specifically, with this exemption, CYAPCO would be able to annually transfer funds exceeding 110 percent of the inflation-adjusted DCE, described in 10 CFR 50.75, from the segregated account to its overarching NDT and use those funds for SNF and GTCC waste management and non-radiological site restoration activities.

Need for the Proposed Action

As required by 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A), decommissioning trust funds may be used by the licensee if the withdrawals are for legitimate decommissioning activity expenses, consistent with the definition of decommissioning in 10 CFR 50.2. This

definition addresses radiological decommissioning and does not include activities associated with management of SNF and GTCC waste or non-radiological site restoration. Similarly, the requirements of 10 CFR 50.75(h)(2) restrict the use of decommissioning trust fund disbursements (other than for ordinary and incidental expenses) to decommissioning expenses until final decommissioning has been completed.

CYAPCO stated that it has established a segregated account, entitled “ISFSI Radiological Decom,” within its overarching NDT, that identifies the funds for radiological decommissioning of the ISFSI. This segregated account is apart from the larger balance of funds in the NDT allocated for ongoing management of SNF and GTCC waste and for other non-radiological site restoration activities. Therefore, exemption from 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 50.75(h)(2) is needed to allow CYAPCO to use funds from the segregated account for SNF and GTCC waste management and other non-radiological site restoration activities.

In its Decommissioning Funding Assurance Status Report dated March 6, 2023, CYAPCO stated that, its inflation-adjusted DCE for the radiological decommissioning of the ISFSI is approximately \$7.1 million in 2022 dollars. It asserted this amount provides reasonable assurance of adequate funding to complete the NRC required decommissioning activities. In the same report, CYAPCO reported that the segregated “ISFSI Radiological Decom” account had \$46.4 million in 2022 dollars as of December 31, 2022. More specifically, in its exemption request, CYAPCO provided a table showing \$7,070,450, in 2022 dollars, as the inflation-adjusted DCE. CYAPCO’s exemption request further stated that the segregated account has a balance of \$47,609,309 as of December 31, 2022, meaning that the segregated account had a balance of \$40,538,859, or 573 percent beyond the inflation-adjusted DCE.

CYAPCO stated that, if the exemption is granted, funds in its segregated account which exceed 110 percent of the inflation-adjusted DCE for the radiological decommissioning of the ISFSI would be transferred to the overarching NDT on an annual basis without prior NRC notification. CYAPCO would then use those funds for SNF and GTCC waste management and non-radiological site restoration, which in turn, would allow CYAPCO to return its additional excess funds in the overarching NDT to its customers as part of future rate cases with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

The requirements of 10 CFR 50.75(h)(2) further provide that, except for withdrawals being made under 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8) or for payments of ordinary administrative costs and other incidental expenses of the NDT in connection with the operation of the NDT, no disbursement may be made from the NDT without written notice to the NRC at least 30 working days in advance. Therefore, an exemption from 10 CFR 50.75(h)(2) is also needed to allow CYAPCO to use funds from the segregated account for SNF and GTCC waste management and non-radiological site restoration activities without prior NRC notification.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The proposed action involves an exemption from requirements that are of financial and/or administrative nature and that do not have an impact on the environment. Before the NRC could approve the proposed action, it would have to conclude that there is reasonable assurance that adequate funds are available in the segregated account to complete all activities associated with radiological decommissioning as well as SNF and GTCC waste management and non-radiological site restoration. Therefore, there would be no decrease in safety associated with the use of funds from the segregated account to also fund activities associated with SNF and GTCC waste management and non-radiological site-restoration.

The requested exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(2), if approved, would allow transfers on an annual basis. CYAPCO stated that it will continue to provide its annual decommissioning funding assurance status report in accordance with 10 CFR 50.75(f)(1) and (2) and 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(v) and (vi) requirements. These reports provide the NRC staff with awareness of, and the ability to act on, any actual or potential funding deficiencies. As the proposed exemption would not affect these requirements, the NRC staff would have tools available for any potential funding deficiencies. Since the exemption would allow CYAPCO to use funds from the segregated account that are in excess of those required for radiological decommissioning, the adequacy of funds dedicated for radiological decommissioning would not be affected by the proposed exemption. Therefore, there is reasonable assurance that there would be no environmental impact due to lack of adequate funding for radiological decommissioning.

Further, there are no new accident precursors created by using the excess funds from the segregated account for SNF and GTCC waste management and non-radiological site-restoration. The exemption, if granted, would be financial and/or administrative in nature. Thus, the probability of postulated accidents is not increased. Also, the consequences of postulated accidents are not increased. No changes are being made in the types or amounts of effluents that may be released offsite. There is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, the requested exemption will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action would have no direct impacts on land use or water resources, including terrestrial and aquatic biota, as it involves no new construction or modification of plant operational systems. There would be no changes to the quality or quantity of non-radiological effluents. In addition, there would be no noticeable effect on socioeconomic conditions in the region, no environmental justice impacts, no air quality impacts, and no impacts to historic and cultural resources from the proposed action. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

For these reasons, the NRC concludes there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption request.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

In addition to the proposed action, the NRC staff also considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the “no-action” alternative). Denial of the exemption request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action would be similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

There are no unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources under the proposed action.

Agencies Consulted

By email dated April 5, 2024, the NRC provided a copy of the draft EA to the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, Radiation Division, for review. By email dated May 6, 2024, Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, Radiation Division, indicated that it had no comments.

Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA), requires Federal agencies to consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or National Marine Fisheries Service regarding actions that may affect listed species or designated critical habitats. The ESA is intended to prevent further decline of endangered and threatened species and restore those species and their critical habitat.

The NRC staff determined that a consultation under section 7 of the ESA is not required because the proposed action will not affect listed species or critical habitat.

National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Consultation

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) requires Federal agencies to consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties. As stated in the NHPA, historic properties are any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places.

The NRC determined that the scope of activities described in this exemption request do not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties because the NRC’s approval of this exemption request will not authorize new construction or land disturbance activities. The NRC staff also determined that the proposed action is not a type of activity that has the potential to impact historic properties because the proposed action would occur within the established HNP site boundary. Therefore, in accordance with 36 CFR 800.3(a)(1), no consultation is required under section 106 of NHPA.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The environmental impacts of the proposed action—an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(2) allowing CYAPCO to make withdrawals from the segregated account, on an annual basis, for SNF and GTCC waste management and non-radiological site restoration without prior notification to the NRC—have been reviewed under the requirements in 10 CFR part 51, which implement the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended.

The proposed exemption would not have a significant adverse effect on the probability of an accident occurring and would not have any significant radiological or non-radiological impacts. The proposed exemption involves an

exemption from requirements that are of a financial and/or administrative nature and would not have an impact on the human environment. Consistent with 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC conducted the EA for the proposed exemption, and this FONSI incorporates by reference the EA included in this document. Therefore,

the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have significant effects on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

IV. Availability of Documents

The documents identified in the following table are available to interested persons through ADAMS, as indicated.

Document description	ADAMS accession No.
Request for Exemption from 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(2) for the Haddam Neck Plant Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation, dated April 24, 2023	ML23130A118.
Email to State of Connecticut providing draft environmental assessment related to Haddam Neck exemption request, dated April 5, 2024	ML24096B776.
Email Response from State of Connecticut on draft EA/FONSI, dated May 6, 2024	ML24129A058.
Haddam Neck Decommissioning Funding Assurance Status Report, dated March 6, 2023	ML23088A202.
Haddam Neck Plant—Release of Land from Part 50 License, November 26, 2007	ML073120350.

Dated: May 21, 2024.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Yoira Diaz-Sanabria,

Chief, Storage and Transportation Licensing Branch, Division of Fuel Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2024-11553 Filed 5-24-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 99902100; NRC-2024-0078]

US SFR Owner, LLC.; Construction Permit Application

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice; receipt.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is providing public notice each week for four consecutive weeks of receipt and availability of an application for a construction permit for a single unit reactor facility from US SFR Owner, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of TerraPower, LLC. The application for the construction permit was received on March 28, 2024, and a supplement to the application was submitted on May 2, 2024.

DATES: May 28, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2024-0078 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2024-0078. Address questions about Docket IDs in *Regulations.gov* to Stacy Schumann; telephone: 301-415-0624; email: Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov. For technical

questions, contact the individual listed in the **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, at 301-415-4737, or by email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document.

- *NRC's PDR:* The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of publicly available documents, is open by appointment. To make an appointment to visit the PDR, please send an email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov or call 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. eastern time (ET), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mallecia Sutton, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-0673, email: Mallecia.Sutton@nrc.gov.

I. Discussion

On March 28, 2024, TerraPower, LLC (TerraPower), on behalf of US SFR Owner, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of TerraPower, filed an application for a construction permit for a single-unit power reactor facility located in Lincoln County, Wyoming, pursuant to section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act, as amended, and part 50 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal*

Regulations (10 CFR), "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities." The single-unit facility is to be identified as Kemmerer Power Station, Unit 1 and would be based on the TerraPower and General Electric-Hitachi Natrium reactor design which is a pool-type sodium fast reactor using metal fuel.

The application submitted on March 28, 2024, is available in ADAMS under Package Accession No. ML24088A059. Along with other documents, the ADAMS package includes the transmittal letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML24088A060), the preliminary safety analysis report (ADAMS Accession No. ML24088A065), and the environmental report (ADAMS Accession No. ML24088A072). The application was supplemented on May 2, 2024 (ADAMS Accession No. ML24123A242). The information submitted by the applicant includes certain administrative information submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.33, such as on financial qualifications; technical information submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.34; and the environmental report submitted pursuant to 10 CFR part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions." These notices are being provided in accordance with the requirements in 10 CFR 50.43(a)(3).

The NRC staff is currently undertaking its acceptance review of the application. If the application is accepted for docketing, a subsequent **Federal Register** notice will be issued that addresses the acceptability of the construction permit application for docketing and provisions for participation of the public in the permitting process.

Dated: May 13, 2024.